

BUDDHIST VALUES AND ACTIONS TOWARDS PEACE AND ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION¹. A SOCIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE²

By
José A. Rodríguez Díaz, Dr., PhD
Professor of Sociology
University of Barcelona, Spain

Peace and Environmental Protection are two key issues and central avenues of Buddhist global social and political action contributing to a better future world.

This paper looks into the social values and actions of Buddhist people of some Asian countries regarding war-peace and environmental protection issues. I try to place the analysis within the Buddhist cosmovision (meanings and practices) regarding the self (or no-self) and specially regarding the other beings (interconnection, dependent origination). Buddhist orientations and practices such as Loving Kindness of the Four Immeasurable are also part of such cosmovision. I use loving kindness (love and orientation towards others) as a larger cultural and social identity frame acting as umbrella under which views and practices towards peace and environment emerge.

HOW

The theoretical and methodological approaches used in the paper are the result of combining, and in some cases fusing, Buddhism with Sociology.

Using survey data, I explore the existence of similarities and differences between Buddhist people of different countries of the region. The objective is to understand some of the social cognitive and action structures existing aimed at providing useful knowledge to strengthen relations among Buddhist people as a way to make a stronger contribution to global peace and environmental sustainability.

I use the best and largest global sociological survey currently being carried out (World Values Survey) which focuses on general social values and attitudes and has questions and indicators related to the issues of war and environmental protection. The latest wave of the survey (2014) gathers information from more than 90 thousand people from 62 countries worldwide with an important presence of countries with large Buddhist populations. This ample data-set will allow me to compare Buddhist people in

¹ Paper prepared for the UNDV 2018 Celebrations and Conference (BUDDHIST CONTRIBUTION TO HUMAN DEVELOPMENT) to be held at Mahachulalongkornrajavidyalaya University, MCU-Wangnoi Main Campus, Ayutthaya, Thailand, 25-27 May 2018.

² Acknowledgements: This paper has benefited from the help and love of Joanne M. Vitello and Alex Rodríguez, and from ideas and suggestions from John Mohr, José Luis C. Bosch, Abraham Velez, Ricardo Sasaki, Eduardo Cabalieri and Lama Dondrub of Shanga Activa. It owes some of its daring exploration to the inspirational teachings of Juan J. Linz and Wendell Bell. Antonio and Maruja were an inspiring example.

Asia, where the vast majority (99%) of Buddhist live³. The data-set includes Thailand, Vietnam, Malaysia and Singapore (of the ASEAN Region) along with China, Japan, South Korea, Hong Kong, Taiwan and India. It will provide a glimpse of how, through their positions and actions, Buddhist people help to contribute to a better world.

As technical, and conceptual, apparatus I use Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) and Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) with the statistical program SPSS.

MDS is a statistical procedure that calculates similarities and differences between countries and translates them into Euclidean distances in order to place countries in a two dimensional space. The positioning in the Euclidean space is metrically equivalent to the physical distances between all countries in the standard geographical map. Here however physical distances are substituted by social distances producing social maps. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) mathematically identifies and groups together the most similar countries in what we could think of as social regions.

The journey starts with the analysis of the background values and action towards others followed by those related to war and peace and the environment. Each dimension starts with a comparative study of the indicators and it is completed by a MDS and HQ analysis placing Asian countries in a two dimensional social map representing their proximities and distances to each other.

THE OTHERS

The views regarding others and the actions towards others are the general meaning and practice frame nurturing the positions and actions towards peace and the environment.

The values and practices of Buddhist people in the Asian countries studied regarding others can be seen as part of Loving-Kindness⁴ and The Four Immeasurable.⁵ They are indicators of their visions and actions contributing to a better world by focusing on the wellbeing of others and facilitating peace and harmony, dialogue and cooperation (Table 1).

As indicators (proxies) for Visions of Others we are using several variables (meanings and practices) dealing with importance given to tolerance values in children, social closure towards others (not wanting to have them as neighbors), and trust (general and towards specific population groups).

As with other indicators analyzed, the distribution of these values and practices is not even throughout the Buddhist population, existing contrasting positions and similarities between countries. Thailand and Malaysia follow Hong Kong and Taiwan stressing the importance of **tolerance** in the value system of children, well above the Buddhists and overall population means. In this dimension, Singapore and Vietnam coincide in lower rates than the average, just behind South Korea with the lowest.

Social closure somehow reflects equanimity as their visions and perceptions of others. Vietnam Buddhists stands out, following Buddhists from Japan, as the most socially open and differ from those from Thailand and Malaysia along those of South Korea and India with higher rates of closure and non equanimical positions. Buddhists

³ Pew Research Center: The Global Religious Landscape. <http://www.pewforum.org/2012/12/18/global-religious-landscape-buddhist/>

⁴ Loving-Kindness is an English equivalent for the term Metta, described in the [Metta Sutta](#) of the [Pali Canon's Sutta Nipata](#) (Sn 1.8) and Khuddakapatha (Khp 9)

⁵ Mettam Sutta: The Brahma-viharas. SN 46.54


**May all sentient beings have happiness and its causes,
May all sentient beings be free of suffering and its causes,
May all sentient beings never be separated from bliss without suffering,
May all sentient beings be in equanimity, free of bias, attachment and anger.**

in general, and especially Asian Buddhists, are more socially open than the global non-Buddhists and general population.

More than one third of the Buddhists (35%) believe that **most people can be trusted**, and their trust in others is above that of the overall population average and global non-Buddhists (25%). There are some differences among countries worth mentioning: Vietnam follows India and China trusting most people (48%, 53% and 65%) while Malaysia stands out with the lowest trust rate (7%) (Graph 1).

Trust in others connects us to concepts such as interdependence and no-ego, equanimity, and interrelation and closeness. It becomes a crucial indicator of social richness and potential. By facilitating interaction with others, cooperation can be very intense and with a potential social impact.

GRAPH 1: Love and Compassion



When trust refers to specific groups of people (in a scale of 1: total trust to 5: no trust) the indexes vary, with middle low levels of **trust towards people from other religions or other nations**. Buddhists in Singapore along with those of Hong Kong, Taiwan, and India stand out for their highest level of trust towards others, above the Buddhists and overall population averages. The rates in Vietnam are the lowest of all.

As a resume of the contrasting positions, it is interesting to note some tension between tolerance values and social closure. Thailand and Malaysia are the ones that most support give to tolerance in children, however they have high levels of social closure (number of types of people not wanted as neighbors). On the opposite situation we find Singapore and Vietnam. Their support for tolerance in children is almost the lowest but their level of social closure is also very low.

Regarding trust, it is worth looking into the different behaviors when talking about trust in general and when referring to specific groups of people. For instance, Buddhists in Vietnam stand out for the high proportion (48%) considering most people can be trusted, however they highly distrust people from other religions and nations. Buddhists in Hong Kong and Singapore, 40% and 38% of which trust most people, stand out for trusting people from other countries and regions. Malaysia is the opposite of Vietnam: low levels of trusting most people but high levels of trusting people from other nations and/or religions. In Thailand, where 33% of Buddhists trust most people, they trust people from other nations but much less from another religion. In contrast, the majority

of Buddhist from China trust most people in general and they also trust people from other religions.

In order to look into the ways Buddhists articulate their Action Towards Others I use several variables as meanings and practices indicators (proxies) representing Supporting altruism in children, Being member and active in civil organizations; and Caring for others.


Buddhist people in Malaysia and Singapore, along with those from South Korea, Hong Kong and Taiwan, are below the mean considering the value of **altruism in children**. Only India has a larger proportion of its Buddhist population (53%) stressing altruism in the new generations.

Action towards the **wellbeing of others** often takes the form of participation in social life, of membership and active volunteering in civil organizations dedicated to issues such as religion, sports and recreation, education and culture, labor unions, political parties, environmental, professional, or charity. Altogether the Buddhists are slightly more socially involved than non-Buddhists and global population. Comparing Buddhist populations, the ASEAN average is a bit lower than that of the global Asian countries (but higher than non-Buddhist and the global population of the survey) (Graph 2).

The form and intensity of **active participation** (membership and volunteering) in civil society differs among countries producing an interesting image. Thailand follows Taiwan, Hong Kong and India, as the most social active Buddhist populations with level of membership above average and with also the highest active volunteering rates. The other Buddhist populations have participation and active rates below and/or close to the mean.

In the realm of meanings and closely associated to love and compassion, we use the Schwartz indicator (Table 1) of level of identification (in a scale from 1 total identification to 6 no identification at all) with a person for whom it is important to do things for the good of society. The Buddhist population of the survey (WVS) strongly identifies with those who **do things for the good of society** (means 2.9) but a bit less than the general and non-Buddhist populations. ASEAN Buddhists have stronger orientation towards others than the average of Buddhists in Asia, but still below global non-Buddhist and global population. In brief, Buddhists in Vietnam and India are the ones more oriented towards and loving others, but in contrast, those from Thailand and Hong Kong are very socially active but with lower orientation towards others.

GRAPH 2. Towards Others




On average, Buddhists from the ASEAN region seem to be more involved and active in civil society than global non-Buddhist and General population (although less than the average of Asian Buddhists); they seem to care less about others than global non-Buddhist and General population (although more than the other Asian Buddhists).

In summary, Buddhist views and actions towards others are definitely seeds of peace and environmental sustainability which will grow into a better future.

The representation of distances (similarities and differences) between Asian Buddhists populations done with MDS produces a social map (with characteristics similar to geographical maps) according to their values and actions towards others. MDS places the countries (their Buddhist people) spread through the space, some countries close to the center (Vietnam, Singapore) and others around towards the edges (Malaysia on the extreme right top corner, South Korea in the right bottom corner, India on the left top corner, and China on left bottom) (Graph 3).

The amount of trust towards most people in general seems to be a strong force placing countries along the horizontal axis, from more trust on the left towards less trust on the right.

GRAPH 3: Social Map of Towards Others



Hierarchical Clustering (HQ) identifies three clear groups of countries, with high levels of similarities, and leaves India and China isolated on the left due to the fact that the majority of their Buddhist people consider, above the rest of people, that most people can be trusted. The group formed by Malaysia and Taiwan, towards the top right corner, is characterized by their high support to values of tolerance in children but their levels of trusting people (specially Malaysia) are low. The cluster on the bottom right formed by South Korea and Hong Kong, having many other elements in common with all Buddhists, can be differentiated by their low support to values of altruism in children. The central and larger group gathers the other three ASEAN (dots in red) countries (Thailand, Vietnam and Singapore) with Japan differentiated by their middle positions trusting most people.

WAR AND PEACE

As indicators of Buddhist values and actions toward war and peace, I use several variables dealing with justifications of violence and war, eventual participation in war, and concern about war.

As expected, (or wanted to) Buddhists, in average, do not consider violence against others justifiable (a value of 1,95 in a scale from 1 to 10) and most of them do not support war (even to attain justice).


In average, Buddhists, and specially the Asian ones, are the population that **least justifies violence against other people**, less than the general and non-Buddhist populations. However, in few countries (India, followed by Singapore and China) the Buddhist populations justify violence a bit more.

Two thirds of the Buddhists (the same amount as the entire and non- Buddhist populations) considers that **war is not necessary, not even for justice**. There is a split regarding war. In Malaysia and Singapore, along Japan and South Korea, there is little support for war. However, in Thailand, following China, Hong Kong and India, more than half the Buddhist consider war might be necessary for justice. Buddhists, as most people in the world in general, would fight for their country. Two of the ASEAN countries along Taiwan stand out for their positions. In Thailand 90% of the Buddhists would fight for their country, 89% in Vietnam and 86% in Taiwan (Graph 4).

The idea of order is sometimes associated to the justification of violence and war. Here we see that about 40% of Buddhists in general consider maintaining order as important, a bit less than the general and non-Buddhist populations. However, in Vietnam and in Malaysia about half of the Buddhists consider order important. In Thailand only one third is concerned about it.


People, Buddhist and non-Buddhist are indeed always **concerned about war**. They have some worries about the possibilities of civil war or wars involving and/or affecting their countries. In a scale from 1 (more) to 4 (less) Buddhists worry an average of 2,28 about civil war and 2,12 about war involving their country. The least worried are those from Singapore and Thailand in the ASEAN region and Hong Kong and China in the vicinity. Buddhists in Malaysia seem to be the most concerned about the possibilities of war.

GRAPH 4: War and Peace




In Graph 5 it is interesting to note the negative relation between worries of war and justification of violence in Singapore where there is little concern about the possibilities of war but higher justification of violence. In China and Hong Kong there is also justification of war. In Japan and Taiwan, the relation is the contrary: more worries but not justification of violence.

GRAPH 5: Regarding War



In brief, overall Buddhists are definitely against violence and against war. They are against violence a bit more than non-Buddhists but a bit less against war. MDS represents the system of similarities and differences, translated into metric Euclidean distances, placing Asian countries in a two dimensional space according to distances between their values and actions regarding war and peace. Countries, their Buddhist populations, are placed around the center, close to which is Singapore (Graph 6). The axes cut the space providing meaning to the positions. From left to right countries seem to be placed according to be less or more in favor of the idea of fighting to defend the country. The left cluster is formed by populations where the idea of fighting for the country has the least support (Japan and Hong Kong). Buddhists in the right cluster are more in support of the idea of fighting for the country. The top and the bottom of the space is also divided according to the more or less agreement with the importance given to maintaining order in the country. Those at the top agreeing more with the need of order and those at the bottom considering it less important. And Singapore plays again a central reference role in the Asian region.

GRAPH 6: War and Peace Social Map



ENVIRONMENT


To assess the positions and actions of Buddhist people I use several variables as indicators of meaning and practices of caring for the environment.

The first one is a Schwartz indicator (Table 1) of level of **identification** (in a scale from 1 total identification to 6 no identification at all) with a person for whom it is **important to look after the environment**. Even though Buddhist people do not identify/see themselves the most as people caring for the environment, they do so in their practices. Compared to general and non-Buddhist populations, Buddhists are more directly active to protect the environment.

In average, Buddhists in the ASEAN region identify themselves as caring for the environment more than the rest of Buddhists. Among them Vietnam and Malaysian Buddhists are the most identified with that kind of person. On the opposite position, Japan, Singapore and South Korea seem to be the ones caring least.

One fourth of the Buddhists (double percentage than the general and non-Buddhist people) believe the deterioration of the **environment to be the most serious problem** nowadays. Buddhists from Japan, Taiwan and South Korea lead in this perception while those from the ASEAN region and India are the ones least considering it as the most serious problem (Graph 7).

GRAPH 7: Views of the Environment




But not only Buddhists in general are the ones most considering the environment as a prime importance but they also favor, a bit more than the general and non-Buddhist people, **environmental protection over economic development**. Buddhists in Thailand and Malaysia, along with those from Taiwan, China and Hong Kong are the ones favoring the most the environment over the economy. Alternatively, those from Singapore, following those from Japan and India, are the ones favoring the most the contrary position (economy over environment). It is worth noting that, even though ASEAN Buddhists are (in average) below the mean considering the environment as the most serious problem they do lead placing environmental protection as more important than economic growth (Graph 7).

Buddhists also stand out with **direct action in the protection of the environment** by giving money to environmental protection organizations and also belonging and/or being active in them. Their level of action is above the general and non-Buddhist populations, with the exception of attendance to political demonstration for the environment (Graph 8).

Buddhists, in this aspect, have a leading active and direct role protecting the environment. In average, some ASEAN countries have a prominent role giving money (Vietnam and Malaysia, following Hong Kong and India); being members of organizations to defend the environment (Thailand, following Hong Kong, Taiwan and India); and as active members in those organizations (Vietnam, following Taiwan and India) (Graph radial).

In brief, Buddhist people nowadays stand out, and have a leading role, in both their convictions and their actions to protect the environment as a priority.


GRAPH 8: Protecting the Environment



The representation of distances (similarities and differences) between Asian Buddhists populations done with MDS produces a social map according to their values and actions towards the environment. MDS places countries around the center occupied by Thailand (playing therefore a reference and intermediating role for the entire Asian space). The axes divide the social space in a somehow meaningful manner. The vertical axis divides the space between less care for the environment on the left and more care on the right side. On top, with the exception of India, we find Buddhist considering the environment as the most serious problems and on the bottom those not seeing it as the most serious problem.

Hierarchical Clustering (HQ) identifies four different groups of countries internally quite similar in their values and actions towards the defense of the environment. ASEAN countries are close together forming, along China, the largest cluster placed at the bottom of the map. Singapore is placed again at the center, as a reference, of a star system formed by ASEAN and China Buddhists (Graph 9). This points to a quite similar model of values and actions towards the care of the environment by ASEAN Buddhists. They might not see themselves as caring too much for the environment, or even considering the Environment as the most serious problem, but however they stand out by their very high levels of practices and actions towards the protection of the environment (either giving money or/and participating and being active in environmental organizations).

GRAPH 9: Towards the Environment's Social Map




The two top cluster (with the exception of India) formed by Japan and South Korea, and Thailand and Hong Kong, highly consider the environment as the most important problem. India, on the right toper corner, is isolated with some extreme high levels of providing money, being member, and participating actively in environmental organizations.

WAR-PEACE-ENVIRONMENT

When we add the war-peace indicators and the environment ones MDS calculates the entire system of proximities and distances and places the countries (their Buddhist populations) in a two dimensional space (similar to a physical map). It is worth noting the central position again of Singapore and Malaysia, as main reference points for the whole system (Graph 10).

Environment and war-peace issues interact creating horizontal forces (where the will to fight for country stands out) as well as vertical forces (here represented by the importance given to environment as a problem. The direction of the forces is as follows: less will to fight for the country on the left and more on the right. Being the most serious problems on the bottom and not being such a serious problem on top.

GRAPH 10: WAR-PEACE-ENVIRONMENT



As before, HQ helps to identify the best grouping of the countries according to their characteristics. It identifies two clusters. A very large one, occupying almost the whole right side of the graphic, gathering almost all the Asian Buddhist pointing to a high level of values and actions shared. It is made up by the ASEAN countries (dots in red) plus South Korea and Taiwan, and China and India on the extremes. Singapore and Malaysia are close to the center in both dimensions. Vietnam and Thailand are more leaning towards fighting for the country and India and China are in the extremes between almost not seeing the environment as an important problem on top and considering it as the most serious problem at the bottom. The smaller one on the left, formed by Hong Kong and Japan whose Buddhist populations coincide being the least inclined to fight for their country.

Overall, and spite of differences, there is a high level of similarity among many countries. ASEAN countries are spatially quite close together which means a high level of similarities in their values and actions both towards war and towards the environment. Singapore and Malaysia occupy a central middle point in the whole system with values and position closer to middle views and paths.

Conclusions

Buddhist views and actions towards others are seeds of peace and environmental sustainability.

Overall, their level of trust towards other people in general and their participation in society as members of civil organizations is higher than the average for the global and the non-Buddhist populations.

Regarding war, Buddhists share their opposition with the global and non-Buddhist populations in a very similar pattern. Most, with obvious differences, do not support nor

justify violence against others or war, even for justice. It seems to be some concern for potential future wars affecting their countries and lives and most would fight to defend their country.

Buddhists also stand out by their high level of social activity, above the means of the global and non-Buddhist populations, defending and caring for the environment, which a substantial part of them consider as the current most important problem in the world. Their values and practices caring for the environment place Buddhists in the leading positions of the social activity addressed to defend and improve the environment.

In spite of some differences, there is an overall high level of similarity among Buddhists with respect to most values and actions. Among them, ASEAN Buddhists are spatially positioned quite close together and near the center and middle of the social map indicating a high level of cohesion and centrality in the spatial system. And the central position of Singapore and Malaysia Buddhists points to the relevance of their values and actions as reference for the whole Asian Buddhist system.

In conclusion, Buddhists values and practices are a very positive contribution to a better and more harmonious world.

TABLE 1: Values and Actions regarding War, Peace, Environment and the Others in Buddhist people of the ASEAN Region and neighbors.

WV06 2015 ⁶	Thailand	Vietnam wvs05	Malaysia	Singapore	China	Japan	South Korea	Hong Kong	Taiwan	India	ASIAN Buddhist Population (all countries)	Global Population	NON BUDDHIST	ASEAN BUDDHIST (mean for the 3 countries)	All Buddhist
WAR & PEACE															
Fight for country %	89,4	88,0	62,7	76,0	75,9	36,5	68,3	47,2	86,0	74,0	73,2	67,9	67,7	82,8	72,7
Importance Maintaining Order %	32,4	53,6	48,2	41,9	26,4	54,1	31,6	48,6	33,0	50,0	40,3	42,6	42,7	37,0	40,3
Sometimes war is necessary for justice %	51,1		30,5	27,3	63,2	19,1	14,2	56,8	34,0	48,5	36,1	35,3	35,3	41,8	36,2
Worries: civil war (scale: 1+4-)	2,20		1,37	2,87	2,67	2,03	2,18	2,69	2,19	2,40	2,24	2,26	2,27	2,23	2,28
Worries: war involving country (scale: 1+4-)	2,35		1,31	2,78	2,58	1,44	2,14	2,63	2,04	2,24	2,11	2,13	2,13	2,35	2,12
Violence against other people justifiable (scale: 1-10+)	1,60		2,13	3,11	2,85	1,40	1,57	1,93	1,54	3,31	1,93	1,97	1,97	2,12	1,95
ENVIROMENT															
Care for environment (scale: 1+6-)	2,61	2,22	2,27	2,99	2,78	3,26	2,89	2,50	2,53	2,71	2,81	2,52	2,51	2,68	2,80
Give \$ to ecological organization	16,9	35,9 ⁷	20,9	19,0	11,0	13,8	15,4	40,7	17,6	37,3	17,7	13,1	12,9	18,0	17,9
Member Environmental Organization %	15,9	3,6	5,5	9,0	4,7	4,1	11,4	21,2	30,1	36,6	12,7	11,0	10,9	12,8	13,1
Active Environmental Organization %	6,5	9,4	1,4	2,6	0,5	1,4	4,1	8,0	12,1	13,9	4,7	3,6	3,5	4,8	4,9
Most serious problem: environment %	17,3	9,1	14,5	14,3	21,7	47,2	34,1	30,1	35,2	7,8	26,5	12,9	12,3	16,2	26,2
Environment vs. Economic growth %	58,4	51,4	68,2	42,3	55,1	36,4	47,8	54,9	57,7	38,0	51,1	50,9	50,9	55,0	51,3
Demonstration for Environment %	4,8		6,8	9,6	0,5	0,5	8,4	7,1	2,0	25,7	5,0	7,5	7,6	6,4	5,3
TOWARDS OTHERS															
Quality Altruism in Children Important (%)	43,9	39,5	27,7	26,5	32,1	44,2	12,1	15,0	24,9	57,8	35,9	33,5	33,4	37,2	36,0
# Civil Organizations: Member	2,20	1,42	0,82	1,58	0,96	1,16	1,80	2,62	3,63	5,56	1,93	1,71	1,69	1,87	1,97
Do something good for society (scale: 1+6-)	2,72	2,14 ⁸	2,65	2,72	2,69	3,78	3,44	2,85	2,45	2,08	2,95	2,48	2,46	2,71	2,93
Quality Tolerance in Children Important (%)	65,1	55,1	67,3	55,1	66,0	64,2	48,1	71,7	78,9	62,7	64,1	68,3	68,5	62,5	64,2
TrustMostPeople (%)	32,5	48,1	6,8	38,0	64,7	38,7	23,5	40,2	29,7	52,5	35,0	25,1	24,6	31,0	34,8
Social Closure (- to +)	4,09	2,91	4,32	3,03	3,38	1,46	5,62	3,31	3,68	4,94	3,34	3,45	3,45	3,82	3,32
Trust People AnotherReligion (scale: 1+4-)	3,04	3,64	2,80	2,41	2,98	3,09	2,61	2,4	2,34	2,35	2,79	2,76	2,76	2,84	2,78
Trust People AnotherNation (scale: 1+4-)	3,18	3,81	3,16	2,52	3,08	2,99	2,89	2,50	2,51	2,50	2,91	2,83	2,83	3,00	2,90
N	1154	276	220	532	212	893	214	113	342	102	3876	90167	86310	1906	3876

⁶ Sources of data: WVS wave 06 (2015), WVS Wave 05 (2007)

⁷ \$ to Stop Pollution (%) in (WVS05)

⁸ V74 in WVS05)

References:

- Bell, I.P (1979) "Buddhist Sociology: Some Thoughts on the Convergence of Sociology and Eastern Paths of Liberation" in Scott G. McNall, ed. *Theoretical Perspectives in Sociology*. New York: St Martin's Press.
- Borg, I., Groenen, P. (2005). *Modern Multidimensional Scaling: theory and applications* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Johnson, J. C. (2013). *Analyzing social networks*. SAGE Publications Limited.
- Borgatti, S.P., Everett, M.G. and Freeman, L.C. 2002. *Ucinet for Windows: Software for Social Network Analysis*. Harvard, MA: Analytic Technologies.
- Bhikkhu Bodhi, *The Buddha's Teachings on Social and Communal Harmony: An Anthology of Discourses from the Pali Canon*. Wisdom Publications (2016).
- Buddhadasa Bhikkhu, *Handbook for Mankind*, Mahachula Buddhist University (1980)
- Cox, T.F., Cox, M.A.A. (2001). *Multidimensional Scaling*. Chapman and Hall.
- Dhammadasa, Hansa. *Wisdom for a Harmonious and Awakening Society*. Ayutthaya, Thailand: MCU, 2014.
- Guruge, A., *Buddhist Answers to Current Issues: Studies in Socially Engaged Humanistic Buddhism*. AuthorHouse, 2005
- Hanh, Thich Nhat, *Thich Hhat Hanh's Sociological Imagination: Essays and Commentaries on Engaged Buddhism*. Human Architecture, 2009
- Hastie, Trevor; Tibshirani, Robert; Friedman, Jerome (2009). "14.3.12 Hierarchical clustering". *The Elements of Statistical Learning* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer
- Heine, S. & Prebish, C. *Buddhism in the Modern World: Adaptations of an Ancient Tradition*. Oxford University Press, 2003.
- Inglehart R. y Wayne E. Baker (2000): Modernization, Cultural Change, and the Persistence of Traditional Values, *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 65, No. 1, pg. 23
- Inglehart, R., Basáñez, M., Díez-Medrano, J., Halman, L. & Luijkx, R. (2004). *Human beliefs and values. A cross-cultural sourcebook based on the 1999-2002 values surveys*. México: Siglo XXI Editores.
- Kaufman, L.; Rousseeuw, P.J. (1990). *Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis* (1 ed.). New York: John Wiley.
- Kruskal, J. B., and Wish, M. (1978), *Multidimensional Scaling*, Sage University Paper series on Quantitative Application in the Social Sciences. Beverly Hills and London: Sage Publications.
- Loyd D., *The Great Awakening: A Buddhist Social Theory*. NY. Wisdom Publications, 1997
- Mohr, John, and Vincent Dugenne. 1997. "The Duality of Culture and Practice" *Theory and Society* 26:305-356.
- Payutto, Phra. *Buddhadhamma* (Suny Series, Buddhist Studies). SUNY Press, 1995.
- Pew Research Center, *The Global Religious Landscape*. December 2012: <http://www.pewforum.org/2012/12/18/global-religious-landscape-exec/>
- Puntarigivat, Tavivat. *Thai Buddhist Social Theory*. World Buddhist University (2013)
- Rodríguez, J.A. , "Being Buddhist in New Lands: Mapping Buddhist Social-Cultural Identities" in IABU, *Teaching Dhamma in New Lands: Academic Papers presented at the 2nd IABU*, Ayutthaya, Thailand (Bangkok, Thailand: Mahachulalongkornrajavidyalaya University, 2012).
- Rodríguez Díaz. José A. " Being Buddhist in the XXI Century Society. A Sociological Analysis of Buddhist People Social Values and Attitudes" in M.V.Dr. Thich Nhat Tu and M.V.Dr. Thich Duc Then (editors), *Buddhism for Sustainable Development and Social Change*. Ho Chi Minh: Religion Press. Vietnam Buddhist Universities Series 21, 2014.
- Ratnapala, Nandasena. *Buddhist Sociology*. India: Sri Satguru Publications, 1993.
- Schipper, Janine, "Toward a Buddhist Sociology: Theories, Methods, and Possibilities". *American Sociologist*, v43 n2 p203-222 Jun 2012.
- Sharon Salzberg, *Lovingkindness: The Revolutionary Art of Happiness*. Shambhala Classics, 2002.
- Sivaraksa, Sulak, *Seeds of Peace: Buddhist Vision for Renewing Society*. Parallax Press, 1993

Sivaraksa, Sulak, *Conflict, Culture, Change: Engaged Buddhism in a Globalizing World*, Wisdom Publications, 2005.

Thich Nhat Hanh, *Being Peace*, Parallax Press; 2nd edition (2005)

Wasserman, Stanley y K. Faust, *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1994).

World Values Survey, WVS 2005-2007: <http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/>

World Values Survey, WV6 2010-2014: <http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/>



Buddhist Contribution to Human Development

JIABU Special Edition on the United Nations Day of Vesak Conference 2561/2018



IBSC
INTERNATIONAL BUDDHIST STUDIES COLLEGE

INTERNATIONAL BUDDHIST STUDIES COLLEGE (IBSC)
MAHACHULALONGKORNRAJAVIDYALAYA UNIVERSITY



Journal of the International
Association of Buddhist Universities


Table of Contents

Editorial Message	iv
Preface	vi
Schopenhauer and Buddhist View on Counselor, Morals and Life <i>Phra Holger Lammert Hiridhammo, Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahattanadull, Phramaha Somphong Khunakaro, Dr.</i>	1
A Study of Ānāpānasati based on the Visuddhimagga and the Vimuttimagga in the Perspective of Chinese Buddhism <i>Mr. Wang Dongcheng, Phramaha Anon Anando, Asst. Prof. Dr., Prof. Dr. Lu Kai Wen</i>	10
A Metta-Buddhist Integrated Method for Conflict Management in The Modern Societies <i>Venerable Okkansa Siddhi, Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahatthanadull, Phramaha Somboon Vuddhikaro, Dr.</i>	24
An analytical study of Mindfulness from Buddhism to Psychology <i>Miss Guo Zaiping, Phramaha Anon Anando, Asst. Prof. Dr., Prof. Dr. Lu Kai Wen</i>	49
A Comparison on the structure of Buddhist Sangha Administrative System Between Thailand and Vietnam <i>Ven. Nguyen Anh Tuan, Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahatthanadull, Ven. Walmoruwe Piyaratana, Dr.</i>	65
The Concept of Kāmarāga in Theravāda Buddhism <i>Ven. Dharma Rakshit Bhikkhu, Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahatthanadull, Phramaha Somboon Vuddhikaro, Dr.</i>	84
Is Suicide a Crisis or an Opportunity of the End of Suffering from Buddhist Perspective? <i>Phramaha Nantakorn Piyabhani, Dr.</i>	94

Current Problems of Faith Towards the Sangha Community and their Solution <i>Ven. Sumanpriya Bhikkhu (Suman Barua),</i> <i>Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahatthanadull, Phramaha Nantakorn Piyabhani Dr.</i>	106 90
The educational need to preserve Buddhist cultural identity in an inter-connected world <i>Assoc. Prof. Dipti Visuddhangkoon</i>	122
A Conceptual Model for the Development of Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy for Improving Self-confidence <i>Mark Hoolahan</i>	138
Buddhism and Human Development: Buddhist Influenced Factors Enables Resilience in Adolescents <i>Nadnapang Phophichit</i>	154
Meditation Without Stages: A Study on the Concept of Directedness in Mahāyāna Buddhism <i>Norbert Nemeth</i>	165
The Five Precepts: Criteria and the Promotion of Individual and Social Peace <i>Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahatthanadull, Dr. Sarita Mahatthanadull</i>	180
The Trend of the Role of Ramañña Nikāya in the Next Decade in Mon State <i>Ven. Za Wa Na, Phramaha Hansa Dhammaso, Assoc. Prof. Dr.,</i> <i>Asst. Prof. Dr. Sanu Mahattanadull</i>	194
The Contribution of Thai Bhikkhunīs to the Society <i>Bhikkhunī Sobhita Malikul, Soontaraporn Techapalokul, Ph.D.</i>	212
How Does Hoang Phap Monastery Promote the Propagation of Buddhism and its Teaching Towards the Growth of Social Development in Vietnam <i>Ven. Phan Tai Thuc, Asst. Prof. Dr Sanu Mahatthanadull</i>	226
The Origins and Development of Abhidhamma <i>Phra Weerasak Jayadhammo (Suwannawong)</i>	239
Sang Hyang Kamahāyānikan: Translation and Analytical Study <i>Ven. Budi Utomo Ph.D. (Bhikkhu Ditthisampanno)</i>	255

Threefold Training and Human Development <i>Prof.Dr. Phra Rajapariyatkavi Vice Rector for Academic Affairs, MCU</i>	268
Mindfulness for Youth: A Case Study of Peaceful Samanera Project, Thailand <i>Ven. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hansa Dhammahaso</i>	274
An Analytical Study of Āyu and Causes Leading to Its Deterioration and Prosperity in Buddhist Scriptures <i>Miss Rachanee Pornsi</i>	284
Empowering Youth through Buddhist Education <i>Dr. Arvind Kumar Singh</i>	298
Preserving multiple identities in an interconnected world through non-attachment to identities: A self case-study of a Buddhist social worker <i>Huong Nguyen, PhD.</i>	311
Cultural Identity and Buddhism in Japan <i>Prof. Dr. Jundo Nagashima</i>	326
Buddhism: A Way of Life and Promoter of Social Welfare <i>Dr. P. A. Kiriwandeniya</i>	334
Eudaimonistic Buddhism: can metta transform and redirect our societies and save our environment? <i>Dr. Michael Vermeulen</i>	340
Buddhist Contributions to Human Development <i>H.E. Lyonchen Dasho Tshering Tobgay</i>	354
Engaged Buddhism for Social Welfare <i>Judy Lief</i>	365
Empowering Youth through Buddhist Education <i>Kevin Chin Kai Ying</i>	372
Engaged Buddhist for Social Welfare <i>Ven. Ban Ruo Shi</i>	378
The Power of the Samboilbae: The Korean experience of three steps and one bow <i>Ven. Prof. Chongdok C.H. Park</i>	383

Empowering Youth through Buddhist Education <i>Ven. Kuppiyawatte Bodhananda Thero</i>	393
Grief Management in Buddhism for Human Development <i>Prof. Wasantha Priyadarshana</i>	401
Non-Buddhists Promoting and Preserving Buddhist Cultural Heritage through Performing Arts in Indonesia <i>Wilis Rengganiasih Endah Ekowati</i>	407
Dharma on Wheels: A Youth's Bicycling Pilgrimage around the Globe <i>Zilong Wang</i>	415
African Buddhist Perspectives on Challenges and Opportunities in Youth Participation in Good Governance and Peace Building <i>Jacob Waiswa Buganga</i>	432
Nonviolent Buddhist Peace Work: Textual Support for Nonviolent Peace Work in Early Buddhism <i>Weston Elliot Strickler</i>	442
A Critical Theory-Praxis for Contemplative Studies <i>Zachary David Walsh</i>	456
Universal Responsibility for a Sustainable World Peace: Buddhist Initiative <i>Prerna Bhardwaj</i>	473
Preserving Cultural Identity in an Interconnected World: The Case of Thailand <i>Professor Dr. Pataraporn Sirikanchana</i>	479
Buddhist values and Actions towards Peace and Environment Protection. A Sociological Perspective <i>José A. Rodríguez Díaz, Dr., PhD</i>	486
How Did the Buddha Foster Critical Thinking and Thereby Contribute to Human Development? <i>Professor Frank J. Hoffman, PhD</i>	506
The 84000 Dhammakhandha of Buddhism in Service of Human Enlightenment <i>Dr. Vinod D. Rangari (Suddhadhamma)</i>	512



Buddhist Values and Actions Towards Peace and Environment Protection.¹ A Sociological Perspective²

José A. Rodríguez Díaz, Dr., PhD
Professor of Sociology
University of Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Peace and Environmental Protection are two key issues and central avenues of Buddhist global social and political action contributing to a better future world.

This paper looks into the social values and actions of Buddhist people of some Asian countries regarding war-peace and environmental protection issues. I try to place the analysis within the Buddhist cosmovision (meanings and practices) regarding the self (or no-self) and specially regarding the other beings (interconnection, dependent origination). Buddhist orientations and practices such as Loving Kindness of the Four Immeasurable are also part of such cosmovision. I use loving kindness (love and orientation towards others) as a larger cultural and social identity frame acting as umbrella under which views and practices towards peace and environment emerge.

Keywords: Buddhist Values, Towards Peace, Environment, Protection

¹ Paper prepared for the UNDV 2018 Celebrations and Conference (BUDDHIST CONTRIBUTION TO HUMAN DEVELOPMENT) to be held at Mahachulalongkornrajavidyalaya University, MCU-Wangnoi Main Campus, Ayutthaya, Thailand, 25-27 May 2017.

² Acknowledgements: This paper has benefited from the help and love of Joanne M. Vitello and Alex Rodríguez, and from ideas and suggestions from John Mohr, José Luis C. Bosch, Abraham Velez, Ricardo Sasaki, Eduardo Cabalieri and Lama Dondrub of Shanga Activa. It owes some of its daring exploration to the inspirational teachings of Juan J. Linz and Wendell Bell. Antonio and Maruja were an inspiring example.

ISSN : 1906-8190

