

# structure tensors

Lek-Heng Lim

July 18, 2017

# acknowledgments

- kind colleagues who nominated/supported me:
  - ◇ Shmuel Friedland
  - ◇ Pierre Comon
  - ◇ Ming Gu
  - ◇ Jean Bernard Lasserre
  - ◇ Sayan Mukherjee
  - ◇ Jiawang Nie
  - ◇ Bernd Sturmfels
  - ◇ Charles Van Loan
- postdocs: Ke Ye, Yang Qi, Jose Rodriguez, Anne Shiu
- students: Liwen Zhang, Ken Wong, Greg Naitzat, Kate Turner, many MS students as well
- brilliant collaborators: too many to list
- funding agencies: AFOSR, DARPA (special thanks to Fariba Fahroo), NSF

thank you all from the bottom of my heart

motivation

# goal: find fastest algorithms

- fast algorithms are rarely obvious algorithms
- want fast algorithms for bilinear operation  $\beta : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$

$$(A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}, \quad (A, B) \mapsto AB, \quad (A, B) \mapsto AB - BA$$

- embed into appropriate algebra  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ \mathbb{W} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

- systematic way to discover new algorithms via structure tensors  $\mu_\beta$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$
- fastest algorithms: rank of structure tensor
- stablest algorithms: nuclear norm of structure tensor

# ubiquitous problems

- linear equations, least squares, eigenvalue problem, etc

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \quad \min \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|, \quad A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \exp(A)\mathbf{b}$$

- backbone of numerical computations
- almost always:  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  has structure
- very often:  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  prohibitively high-dimensional
- impossible to solve without exploiting structure

# structured matrices

- sparse: “any matrix with enough zeros that it pays to take advantage of them” [Wilkinson, 1971]
- classical: circulant, Toeplitz, Hankel

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} t_0 & t_{-1} & & t_{1-n} \\ t_1 & t_0 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & t_{-1} \\ t_{n-1} & & t_1 & t_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 & \cdots & h_{n-1} \\ h_1 & h_2 & \ddots & h_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{n-1} & h_n & \cdots & h_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- many more: banded, triangular, Toeplitz-plus-Hankel,  $f$ -circulant, symmetric, skew-symmetric, triangular Toeplitz, symmetric Toeplitz, etc

# multilevel

- 2-level: block-Toeplitz-Toeplitz-blocks (BTTB):

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_0 & T_{-1} & & T_{1-n} \\ T_1 & T_0 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & T_{-1} \\ T_{n-1} & & T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$$

where  $T_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  are Toeplitz matrices

- 3-level: block-Toeplitz with BTTB blocks
- 4-level: block-BTTB with BTTB blocks
- and so on
- also multilevel versions of:
  - block-circulant-circulant-blocks (BCCB)
  - block-Hankel-Hankel-blocks (BHHB)
  - block-Toeplitz-plus-Hankel-Toeplitz-plus-Hankel-blocks (BTHTHB)

# Krylov subspace methods

- easiest way to exploit structure in  $A$
- basic idea: by Cayley–Hamilton,

$$\alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A + \cdots + \alpha_d A^d = 0$$

for some  $d \leq n$ , so

$$A^{-1} = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} I - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0} A - \cdots - \frac{\alpha_d}{\alpha_0} A^{d-1}$$

and so  $\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{b}, A\mathbf{b}, \dots, A^{d-1}\mathbf{b}\}$

- one advantage:  $d$  can be much smaller than  $n$ , e.g.

$d =$  number of distinct eigenvalues of  $A$

if  $A$  diagonalizable

- another advantage: reduces to forming matrix-vector product  $(A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$  efficiently



# fastest algorithms

- **bilinear complexity**: counts only multiplication of variables, ignores addition, subtraction, scalar multiplication
- Gauss's method

$$\begin{aligned}(a + bi)(c + di) &= (ac - bd) + i(bc + ad) \\ &= (ac - bd) + i[(a + b)(c + d) - ac - bd]\end{aligned}$$

- usual: 4  $\times$ 's and 2  $\pm$ 's; Gauss: 3  $\times$ 's and 5  $\pm$ 's
- Strassen's algorithm

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 & \beta + \gamma + (a_1 + a_2 - a_3 - a_4) b_4 \\ \alpha + \gamma + a_4(b_2 + b_3 - b_1 - b_4) & \alpha + \beta + \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\alpha = (a_3 - a_1)(b_3 - b_4), \quad \beta = (a_3 + a_4)(b_3 - b_1), \quad \gamma = a_1 b_1 + (a_3 + a_4 - a_1)(b_1 + b_4 - b_3)$$

- usual: 8  $\times$ 's and 8  $\pm$ 's; Strassen: 7  $\times$ 's and 15  $\pm$ 's

# why minimize multiplications?

- nowadays: latency of FMUL  $\approx$  latency of FADD
- may want other measures of computational cost: e.g. energy consumption, number of gates, code space
- multiplier requires many more gates than adder (e.g. 18-bit: 2200 vs 125)  $\rightarrow$  more wires/transistors  $\rightarrow$  more energy
- may not use general purpose CPU: e.g. ASIC, DSP, FPGA, GPU, motion coprocessor, smart chip
- block operations:  $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$(A + iB)(C + iD) = (AC - BD) + i[(A + B)(C + D) - AC - BD]$$

matrix multiplication vastly more expensive than matrix addition

structure tensors

# structure tensor

- bilinear operator  $\beta : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,

$$\beta(a_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}) = a_1 \beta(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}) + a_2 \beta(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}),$$

$$\beta(\mathbf{u}, a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2) = a_1 \beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1) + a_2 \beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2)$$

- there exists unique **3-tensor**  $\mu_\beta \in \mathbb{U}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}^* \otimes \mathbb{W}$  such that given any  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V}$  we have

$$\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \mu_\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \cdot) \in \mathbb{W}$$

- examples of  $\beta : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,

$$(A, B) \mapsto AB, \quad (A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}, \quad (A, B) \mapsto AB - BA$$

- call  $\mu_\beta$  **structure tensor** of bilinear map  $\beta$

# structure constants

- if we give  $\mu_\beta$  coordinates, i.e., choose bases on  $\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}$ , get **hypermatrix**

$$(\mu_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times p}$$

where  $m = \dim \mathbb{U}, n = \dim \mathbb{V}, p = \dim \mathbb{W}$ ,

$$\beta(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^p \mu_{ijk} \mathbf{w}_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$$

- $d$ -dimensional hypermatrix is  $d$ -tensor in coordinates
- call  $\mu_{ijk}$  **structure constants** of  $\beta$

# example: physics

- $\mathfrak{g}$  Lie algebra with basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$

$$[\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_k$$

- $(c_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n \times n}$  structure constants measure self-interaction
- **structure tensor** of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n c_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_i^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_j^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_k \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

- take  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_3$ , real  $3 \times 3$  skew symmetric matrices and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- structure tensor of  $\mathfrak{so}_3$  is

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{so}_3} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{e}_i^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_j^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_k,$$

where  $\varepsilon_{ijk} = \frac{(i-j)(j-k)(k-i)}{2}$  is Levi-Civita symbol

# example: numerical computations

- for  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B = (b_{jk}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ ,

$$AB = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m,n,p} a_{ik} b_{kj} E_{ij} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m,n,p} E_{ik}^*(A) E_{kj}^*(B) E_{ij}$$

where  $E_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}_j^T \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  and  $E_{ij}^* : \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A \mapsto a_{ij}$

- let

$$\mu_{m,n,p} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m,n,p} E_{ik}^* \otimes E_{kj}^* \otimes E_{ij}$$

write  $\mu_n = \mu_{n,n,n}$

- **structure tensor** of matrix-matrix product

$$\mu_{m,n,p} \in (\mathbb{C}^{m \times n})^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}^{n \times p})^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m \times p} \cong \mathbb{C}^{mn \times np \times pm}$$

- later: rank gives minimal number of multiplications required to multiply two matrices [Strassen, 1973]

# example: computer science

- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , there exists  $K_G > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \in \mathbb{S}^{m+n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j \rangle \\ \leq K_G \max_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, +1\}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \varepsilon_i \delta_j. \end{aligned}$$

- remarkable:  $K_G$  independent of  $m$  and  $n$  [Grothendieck, 1953]
- important: unique games conjecture and SDP relaxations of NP-hard problems
- best known bounds:  $1.676 \leq K_G \leq 1.782$
- Grothendieck's constant is injective norm of **structure tensor** of matrix-matrix product [LHL, 2016]

$$\|\mu_{m,n,m+n}\|_{1,2,\infty} := \max_{A, X, Y \neq 0} \frac{\mu_{m,n,m+n}(A, X, Y)}{\|A\|_{\infty,1} \|X\|_{1,2} \|Y\|_{2,\infty}}$$



# example: algebraic geometry

- quantum potential of quantum cohomology

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(xy^2 + x^2z) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N(d) \frac{z^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} e^{dy}$$

$N(d)$  is number of rational curves of degree  $d$  on the plane passing through  $3d-1$  points in general position

- $\Phi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(xy^2 + x^2z) + \phi(y, z)$ , then  $\phi$  satisfies

$$\phi_{zzz} = \phi_{yyz}^2 - \phi_{yyy}\phi_{yzz}$$

- can be transformed into Painlevé-six
- equivalent to third order derivative of  $\Phi$  being **structure tensor** of an associative algebra [Kontsevich–Manin, 1994]

# bilinear complexity = tensor rank

- $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times p}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{w} := (u_i v_j w_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n \times p}$

$$\text{rank}(A) = \min \left\{ r : A = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{w}_i \right\}$$

- number of multiplications given by  $\text{rank}(\mu_n)$
- asymptotic growth
  - usual:  $O(n^3)$
  - earliest:  $O(n^{\log_2 7})$  [Strassen, 1969]
  - longest:  $O(n^{2.375477})$  [Coppersmith–Winograd, 1990]
  - recent:  $O(n^{2.3728642})$  [Williams, 2011]
  - latest:  $O(n^{2.3728639})$  [Le Gall, 2014]
  - exact:  $O(n^\omega)$  where

$$\omega := \inf \{ \alpha : \text{rank}(\mu_n) = O(n^\alpha) \}$$

- see [Bürgisser–Clausen–Shokrollahi, 1997]

# rank, decomposition, nuclear norm

- tensor rank

$$\text{rank}(\mu_\beta) = \min \left\{ r : \mu_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{w}_i \right\}$$

gives least number of multiplications needed to compute  $\beta$

- tensor decomposition

$$\mu_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{w}_i$$

gives an explicit algorithm for computing  $\beta$

- tensor nuclear norm [Friedland–LHL, 2016]

$$\|\mu_\beta\|_* = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r |\lambda_i| : \mu_\beta = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i \otimes \mathbf{w}_i, r \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

quantifies optimal numerical stability of computing  $\beta$

# example: Gauss's method

- $\beta : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, (z, w) \mapsto zw$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map
- $\mu_\beta \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \otimes (\mathbb{R}^2)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ , as a hypermatrix [Knuth, 1998]

$$\mu_\beta = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2 \times 2}$$

- $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0), \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbf{e}_1^*, \mathbf{e}_2^*$  dual basis in  $(\mathbb{R}^2)^*$
- usual multiplication

$$\mu_\beta = (\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^* - \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^* + \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_2$$

- Gauss multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\beta &= (\mathbf{e}_1^* + \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1^* + \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 \\ &\quad + \mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) - \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) \end{aligned}$$

- $\text{rank}(\mu_\beta) = 3 = \overline{\text{rank}}(\mu_\beta)$  [De Silva–LHL, 2008]

# stability of Gauss's method

- nuclear norm

$$\|\mu_\beta\|_* = 4$$

- attained by usual multiplication

$$\mu_\beta = (\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^* - \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^* + \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_2$$

- but not Gauss multiplication

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\beta &= (\mathbf{e}_1^* + \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1^* + \mathbf{e}_2^*) \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 \\ &\quad + \mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) - \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^* \otimes (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)\end{aligned}$$

coefficients (upon normalizing) sums to  $2(1 + \sqrt{2})$

- Gauss's algorithm less stable than the usual algorithm
- optimal bilinear complexity and stability:

$$\mu_\beta = \frac{4}{3} \left( \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_2 \right]^{\otimes 3} + \left[ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_2 \right]^{\otimes 3} + (-\mathbf{e}_2)^{\otimes 3} \right)$$

attains both  $\text{rank}(\mu_\beta)$  and  $\|\mu_\beta\|_*$  [Friedland-LHL, 2016]

# sparse, banded, triangular

- matrices with **sparsity pattern**  $\Omega$  is

$$\mathbb{C}_{\Omega}^{m \times n} := \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } (i, j) \notin \Omega\}$$

- special case: **banded matrices** with upper bandwidth  $k$  and lower bandwidth  $l$

$$\Omega = \{(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, n\} : k < j - i < l\}$$

- diagonal** if  $(k, l) = 0$
  - lower bidiagonal** if  $(k, l) = (0, 1)$
  - upper bidiagonal** if  $(k, l) = (1, 0)$
  - tridiagonal** if  $(k, l) = (1, 1)$
  - pentadiagonal** if  $(k, l) = (2, 2)$
  - lower triangular** if  $(k, l) = (0, n - 1)$
  - upper triangular** if  $(k, l) = (n - 1, 0)$
- fastest sparse matrix-vector multiply?

$$\beta_{\Omega} : \mathbb{C}_{\Omega}^{m \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$$

# Toeplitz, Hankel, circulant

Toeplitz  $\text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(t_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : t_{ij} = t_{i-j}\}$

Hankel  $\text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(h_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : h_{ij} = h_{i+j}\}$

Circulant  $\text{Circ}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(c_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : c_{ij} = c_{i-j \bmod n}\}$

- all vector spaces but  $\text{Circ}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is an algebra

$$\dim \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \dim \text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C}) = 2n - 1, \quad \dim \text{Circ}_n(\mathbb{C}) = n$$

- structured matrix-vector multiplication:

$$\beta_t : \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (T, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto T\mathbf{x}$$

$$\beta_h : \text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (H, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto H\mathbf{x}$$

$$\beta_c : \text{Circ}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (C, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto C\mathbf{x}$$

- what are the fastest algorithms?

# other structures

- symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices:  $S^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$
- $f$ -circulant

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ fx_n & x_1 & \dots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ fx_3 & fx_4 & \dots & x_1 & x_2 \\ fx_2 & fx_3 & \dots & fx_n & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

- Toeplitz-plus-Hankel:  $\text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) + \text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C})$
- multilevel structures
  - 2-level block Toeplitz with Toeplitz blocks (BTTB)
  - 3-level block Toeplitz with BTTB blocks
  - 4-level block BTTB with BTTB blocks
  - $k$ -level and so on
- mixed: e.g. block BCCB with Toeplitz-plus-Hankel blocks



# fastest algorithms

- want the tensor ranks of

$$\mu_t \in \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C})^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mu_h \in \text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C})^* \otimes (\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and other structured matrices

- without structure:  $\text{rank}(\mu_{m,n}) = mn$  [Ye–LHL, 2016]

$$\beta_{m,n} : \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$$

- ditto for sparse matrices [Ye–LHL, 2016]

$$\text{rank}(\mu_\Omega) = \#\Omega$$

generalizing Cohn–Umans

# representation theory

- $G$  finite group,  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  group algebra
- $S, T, U \subseteq G$  of sizes  $m, n, p$  with **triple product property**

$$stu = s't'u' \Rightarrow s = s', t = t', u = u'$$

for all  $s, s' \in S, t, t' \in T, u, u' \in U$  [Cohn–Umans, 2003]

- for  $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{jk}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , set

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} s_i t_j^{-1}, \hat{B} = \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk} t_j u_k^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$$

- $AB$  can be read off from entries of  $\hat{A}\hat{B} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$
- use non-abelian FFT [Wedderburn, 1908] to compute  $\hat{A}\hat{B}$

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{V}_i \otimes \mathbb{V}_i^* \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{C}^{d_i \times d_i}$$

$\mathbb{V}_1, \dots, \mathbb{V}_k$  irreducible representations of  $G$

# what we did

- do this for more general bilinear operations

$$\beta : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$$

with  $\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}$  in place of  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

- do this for more general algebraic object  $\mathcal{A}$  in place of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$
- generalize triple product property

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ \mathbb{W} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

- relate ranks of multiplication tensors  $\mu_\beta$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$
- apply these to answer our earlier questions

# generalizing Cohn–Umans

- $\beta : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  bilinear map
- $\mathcal{A}$  algebra with multiplication  $m : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$
- $\iota : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  embedding of vector spaces
- $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  projection of vector spaces
- if following diagram commutes [Ye–LHL, 2016]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ \mathbb{W} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

then we may determine  $\beta(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  by computing within  $\mathcal{A}$

- if  $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{W} = \mathcal{B}$  algebra, then  $\text{rank}(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{rank}(\mu_{\mathcal{B}})$

# example: Cohn–Umans

- apply this to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[G] \end{array}$$

- define  $\iota : \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$  by

$$\iota(A, B) = \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} s_i t_j^{-1}, \sum_{j,k=1}^n b_{jk} t_j u_k^{-1} \right) = (\hat{A}, \hat{B})$$

- triple product property ensures commutativity
- $\pi : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  reads entries of  $AB$  from entries of  $\hat{A}\hat{B}$

# example: fast integer multiplications

- apply this to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{j_p} & \mathbb{Z}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta' \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow{\text{ev}_p} & \mathbb{Z}[x] \end{array}$$

- for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f_n(x) := \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

where  $n = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i p^i$  is  $p$ -adic expansion

- embedding  $j_p$  is

$$j_p(m \otimes n) = f_m(x) \otimes f_n(x)$$

- evaluation map  $\text{ev}_p$  sends  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  to  $f(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$
- **divide-and-conquer**, **interpolation**, **discrete Fourier transform**, **fast Fourier transform** for polynomials gives **Karatsuba**, **Toom–Cook**, **Schönhage–Strassen**, **Fürer** for integers

# example: circulant matrices

- apply this to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Circ}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}_n] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}_n] \\
 \beta_c \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\
 \mathbb{C}^n & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}_n]
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_n = \{1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{n-1}\}$  and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$

- in this case  $\iota$  and  $\pi$  determined by isomorphism

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & c_0 & \dots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_0 & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n-1} & c_0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \omega^k$$

- may show  $\text{rank}(\beta_c) = \overline{\text{rank}(\beta_c)} = n$  [Ye-LHL, 2016]



# Toeplitz and Hankel?

- note that  $ST \notin \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C})$  even if  $S, T \in \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C})$
- however any  $T_n \in \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C})$  can be embedded as

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_n & S_n \\ S_n & T_n \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Circ}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

- extends to Hankel:  $H \in \text{Hank}_n(\mathbb{C})$  iff  $JH$  or  $HJ \in \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- use these to define  $\iota$  and  $\pi$  with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}_{2n}]$
- may show

$$\text{rank}(\beta_t) = \overline{\text{rank}}(\beta_t) = 2n - 1, \quad \text{rank}(\beta_h) = \overline{\text{rank}}(\beta_h) = 2n - 1$$

# symmetric matrices

- $S^2(\mathbb{C}^n) := \{(a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} : a_{ij} = a_{ji}\}$ , want

$$\beta_s : S^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (A, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$$

- express as sum of Hankel matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & d & e \\ c & e & f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & c & e \\ c & e & f \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d-c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b & e & f & g \\ c & f & h & i \\ d & g & i & j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b & c & d & g \\ c & d & g & i \\ d & g & i & j \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e-c & f-d & 0 \\ 0 & f-d & e-c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h-g & e+c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- apply result for Hankel matrices to get [Ye-LHL, 2016]

$$\text{rank}(\beta_s) = \overline{\text{rank}}(\beta_s) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

# multilevels

- use Kronecker product  $\circledast$

$$\text{Toep}_m(\mathbb{C}) \circledast \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{BTTB}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\text{Toep}_m(\mathbb{C}) \circledast \text{Toep}_n(\mathbb{C}) \circledast \text{Toep}_p(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Toep}_m(\mathbb{C}) \circledast \text{BTTB}_{n,p}(\mathbb{C})$$

- $\mathbb{U} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  linear subspaces

$$\beta_{\mathbb{U}} : \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \beta_{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \beta_{\mathbb{U} \circledast \mathbb{V}} : (\mathbb{U} \circledast \mathbb{V}) \times \mathbb{C}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{mn}$$

matrix-vector products with structure tensors  $\mu_{\mathbb{U}}, \mu_{\mathbb{V}}, \mu_{\mathbb{U} \circledast \mathbb{V}}$

- if  $\text{rank}(\mu_{\mathbb{U}}) = \dim \mathbb{U}$ ,  $\text{rank}(\mu_{\mathbb{V}}) = \dim \mathbb{V}$ , then [Ye-LHL, 2016]

$$\text{rank}(\mu_{\mathbb{U} \circledast \mathbb{V}}) = \text{rank}(\mu_{\mathbb{U}}) \text{rank}(\mu_{\mathbb{V}})$$

- e.g. structure tensor of  $\beta_{\text{BTTB}} : \text{BTTB}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{mn}$  has  $\text{rank} = (2m - 1)(2n - 1)$
- extends to arbitrary number of levels

# no time for these

- other subspaces of matrices:
  - skew-symmetric
  - Toeplitz-plus-Hankel
  - $f$ -circulant
- other operations
  - matrix-matrix product
  - simultaneous matrix product
  - commutator
- other algebras:
  - coordinate rings of schemes
  - cohomology rings of manifolds
  - polynomial identity rings

# references

- S. Friedland and L.-H. Lim, “Nuclear norm of higher-order tensors,” *Math. Comp.*, (2016), [ams:journals/mcom/earlyview/mcom3239](https://ams.org/journals/mcom/earlyview/mcom3239)
- K. Ye and L.-H. Lim, “Algorithms for structured matrix-vector product of optimal bilinear complexity,” *Proc. IEEE Inform. Theory Workshop (ITW)*, **16** (2016), pp. 310–314
- K. Ye and L.-H. Lim, “Fast structured matrix computations: tensor rank and Cohn–Umans method,” *Found. Comput. Math.*, (2016), [doi:10.1007/s10208-016-9332-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10208-016-9332-x)