

PROPORTIONAL HAZARDS REGRESSION MODEL

RESULTS

```
resul0<-coxph(Surv(time,status)~x1+x2+x3+x4,datatm)
```

```
summary(resul0)
```

Call:

```
coxph(formula = Surv(time, status) ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4,  
data = datatm)
```

n= 400, number of events= 298

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
x1	0.08659	1.09045	0.12773	0.678	0.49782
x2	0.29946	1.34913	0.12048	2.486	0.01294 *
x3	1.63301	5.11924	0.12866	12.692	< 2e-16 ***
x4	-0.37200	0.68936	0.11728	-3.172	0.00151 **

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

	exp(coef)	exp(-coef)	lower .95	upper .95
x1	1.0904	0.9171	0.8490	1.4006
x2	1.3491	0.7412	1.0654	1.7085
x3	5.1192	0.1953	3.9782	6.5875
x4	0.6894	1.4506	0.5478	0.8675

Concordance= 0.728 (se = 0.018)

Rsquare= 0.385 (max possible= 1)

Likelihood ratio test= 194.6 on 4 df, p=0

Wald test = 181.9 on 4 df, p=0

Score (logrank) test = 213.9 on 4 df, p=0

here we test the proportional hazards assumption for a
Cox regression model

```
time.test<- cox.zph(resul0)
```

```
print(time.test)
```

	rho	chisq	p
x1	0.0369	0.400	0.5272
x2	-0.0559	0.898	0.3432
x3	-0.1026	2.953	0.0857
x4	0.0723	1.565	0.2109
GLOBAL	NA	5.955	0.2025