

Correction on “The importance of being the upper bound in the bivariate family”

Carles M. Cuadras¹ and Ali Dolati²

¹ *University of Barcelona* and ² *Shiraz University*

1 Introduction

Let us perform two corrections on Cuadras (2006) and add a short note. First, the cumulative distribution function of the Pareto distribution should be $F(x) = 1 - (x/a)^{-c}$ if $x > a$, instead of $1 - (x/a)^c$. This misprint does not alter the formulas for the Lorenz curve, Gini coefficient and the role of the upper bound for evaluating the social inequality.

Second, a general expression for a measure of stochastic dependence between two random variables with cdf H was proposed in the same paper. For uniform marginals this measure reduces to

$$A(U, V) = c \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} (C_H(u, v) - uv) d\mu,$$

where c is a normalizing constant, μ is a suitable measure and C_H is the copula related to H . Then it is said that Kendall's τ and Spearman's ρ_s are coefficients of dependence computed from the copula C_H by using $d\mu = dC_H$ and $d\mu = dudv$, respectively. However, the first statement is not true. Thus, while the second equation in

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} (C_H(u, v) - uv) dC_H(u, v) \\ &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{I}^2} C_H(u, v) dC_H(u, v) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

is correct, the first one is incorrect.

As it was proved by Behboodian *et al.* (2005), if we impose the condition $A(U, V) = 1$ for the upper bound $C^+ = \min\{u, v\}$, then $c = 6$ and $A(U, V)$ is maximum. Therefore from

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_s &= 12 \int_{\mathbb{F}} C_H(u, v) dudv - 3, \\ 3\tau &= 12 \int_{\mathbb{F}} C_H(u, v) dC_H(u, v) - 3,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{F}} C_H(u, v) dudv = \int_{\mathbb{F}} uv dC_H(u, v),$$

where C_H is any copula, this measure can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}A(U, V) &= 6 \int_{\mathbb{F}} (C_H(u, v) - uv) dC_H(u, v) \\ &= \frac{3\tau - \rho_s}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the above proposed measure can not give τ . Actually $A(U, V)$ combines both coefficients Kendall's τ and Spearman's ρ_s and is an example of average quadrant dependence measure.

Finally note that $A(U, V)$ is not a measure of concordance in the sense of Scarsini (1984). Specifically, it does not preserve the concordance ordering property, that is, if C_1, C_2 are two copulas such that $C_1 \leq C_2$ then not necessarily $A_1 \leq A_2$. See Section 5 and Example 5.1 in Behboodian *et al.* (2005) for further details. In fact, $A(U, V)$ is just a measure of association.

References

- Behboodian, J., Dolati, A. and Úbeda-Flores, M. (2005). Measures of association based on average quadrant dependence. *Journal of Probability and Statistical Science*, 3, 161-173.
- Cuadras, C. M. (2006). The importance of being the upper bound in the bivariate family. *SORT*, 30, 55-84.
- Scarsini, M. (1984). On measures of concordance. *Stochastica*, 8, 201-218.