#### N. BOHR

"On the Constitution of Atoms and Molecules"

Philosophical Magazine 26, 1-25, 476-502, 857-875.

From the Philosophical Magazine for July 1918.

On the Constitution of Atoms and Molecules. By N. Bohr, Dr. phil. Copenhagen \*.

#### Introduction.

In order to explain the results of experiments on scattering of  $\alpha$  rays by matter Prof. Rutherford  $\dagger$  has given a theory of the structure of atoms. According to this theory, the mass of the atom, and to have linear dimensions exceedingly small compared with the linear dimensions of the whole atom. The number of electrons in an atom is deduced from the nucleus; the total negative charge of the electrons is equal to the positive charge of the nucleus. Further, the nucleus is assumed to be the seat of the essential part of scattering of the a rays t. nuclei, as those in question, seems to be necessary in order to account for the results of the experiments on large angle to be approximately equal to half the atomic weight. Great interest is to be attributed to this atom-model; for, as the atoms consist of a positively charged nucleus surrounded Rutherford has shown, the assumption of the existence of by a system of electrons kept together by attractive forces

In an attempt to explain some of the properties of matter on the basis of this atom-model we meet, however, with difficulties of a serious nature arising from the apparent

\* Communicated by Prof. E. Rutherford, F.R.S.
+ E. Rutherford, Phil. Mag. xxi. p. 669 (1911).
+ See also Geiger and Marsden, Phil. Mag. April 1913.

Phil. Mag. S. 6. Vol. 26. No. 151. July 1913.

avoided in atom-models previously considered, for instance, in the one proposed by Sir J. J. Thomson \*. According to move in circular orbits. uniform positive electrification, inside which the electrons the theory of the latter the atom consists of a sphere of instability of the system of electrons: difficulties purposely According to

extension of the atom, while such a length does not appear among the quantities characterizing the second atom, viz. nucleus; nor can it be determined solely by help of the such configurations, however, apparently do not exist for the second atom-model. The nature of the difference in appears—the radius of the positive sphere—of dimensions latter quantities. the charges and masses of the electrons and the positive of a length and of the same order of magnitude as the linear among the quantities characterizing the first atom a quantity question will perhaps be most clearly seen by noticing that electrons for which the system is in a stable equilibrium; by Thomson and Rutherford consists in the circumstance Thomson allow of certain configurations and motions of the that the forces acting on the electrons in the atom-model of The principal difference between the atom-models proposed

often is called the elementary quantum of action. By the introduction of this quantity the question of the stable configuration of the electrons in the atoms is essentially changed, the classical electrodynamics, i.e. Planck's constant, or as it often is called the elementary quantum of action. By the the classical electrodynamics in describing the behaviour of systems of atomic size†. Whatever the alteration in the laws of motion of the electrons may be, it seems necessary determine a length of the order of magnitude required together with the mass and charge of the particles, can as this constant is of such dimensions and magnitude that it, to introduce in the laws in question a quantity foreign to seems to be a general acknowledgment of the inadequacy of nomena such as specific heats, photoelectric effect, Röntgenand the direct affirmation of the new assumptions introduced to the development of the theory of the energy radiation ever, undergone essential alterations in recent years owing rays, &c. in this theory, found by experiments on very different phe-The way of considering a problem of this kind has, how-The result of the discussion of these questions

the above ideas to Rutherford's atom-model affords a basis This paper is an attempt to show that the application of

\* J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. vii. p. 237 (1904).
† See f. inst., 'Théorie du rayonnement et les quanta.' Rapports de la réunion à Bruxelles, Nov. 1911. Paris, 1912.

constitution of molecules. be shown that from this theory we are led to a theory of the for a theory of the constitution of atoms. It will further

of Atoms and Molecules.

reasons are given for a principal hypothesis on which the considerations contained in the following parts are based. way for the law of the line spectrum of hydrogen. Further, relation to Planck's theory. It will be shown that it is possible from the point of view taken to account in a simple the binding of electrons by a positive nucleus is discussed In the present first part of the paper the mechanism of Ħ

his kind and encouraging interest in this work. I wish here to express my thanks to Prof. Rutherford for

# PART I.—BINDING OF ELECTRONS BY POSITIVE NUCLEI.

### § 1. General Considerations.

small compared with that of light. around it. For simplicity, let us assume that the mass of the electron is negligibly small in comparison with that of small dimensions and an electron describing closed orbits system consisting of a positively charged nucleus of very counting for the properties of atoms from an atom-model as Rutherford's, will appear very clearly if we consider a simple the nucleus, and further, that the velocity of the electron is The inadequacy of the classical electrodynamics in ac-

nucleus. Denoting the charge of the electron and of the nucleus by -e and E respectively and the mass of the electron In this case the electron will describe stationary elliptical orbits. The frequency of revolution  $\omega$  and the major-axis of the orbit 2a will depend on the amount of energy W which must be transferred to the system in order to remove the electron to an infinitely great distance apart from the by m, we thus get Let us at first assume that there is no energy radiation.

3 ||  $e \mathbb{E} \sqrt{m}$ ₩\$  $2a = \frac{e\mathbb{E}}{W}.$ •  $\Xi$ 

system in question. kinetic energy of the electron taken for a whole revolution is equal to W. We see that if the value of W is not given, there will be no values of  $\omega$  and a characteristic Further, it can easily be shown that the mean value of the

tion into account, calculated in the ordinary way from the acceleration of the electron. In this case the electron will acceleration of the electron. Let us now, however, take the effect of the energy radia-

of Atoms and Molecules

It is obvious that the behaviour of such a system will be very different from that of an atomic system occurring in nature. In the first place, the actual atoms in their permanent state seem to have absolutely fixed dimensions and frequencies. Further, if we consider any molecular process, the result seems always to be that after a certain amount of energy characteristic for the systems in question is radiated out, the systems will again settle down in a stable state of equilibrium, in which the distances apart of the particles are of the same order of magnitude as before the process.

of the same order of magnitude as before the process. Now the essential point in Planck's theory of radiation is that the energy radiation from an atomic system uses not take place in the continuous way assumed in the ordinary electrodynamics, but that it, on the contrary, takes place in distinctly separated emissions, the amount of energy radiated out from an atomic vibrator of frequency  $\nu$  in a single emission being equal to  $\tau h \nu$ , where  $\tau$  is an entire number, and h is a universal constant\*.

Returning to the simple case of an electron and a positive nucleus considered above, let us assume that the electron at the beginning of the interaction with the nucleus was at a great distance apart from the nucleus, and had no sensible velocity relative to the latter. Let us further assume that the electron after the interaction has taken place has settled down in a stationary orbit around the nucleus. We shall, for reasons referred to later, assume that the orbit in question is circular; this assumption will, however, make no alteration in the calculations for systems containing only a single electron.

Let us now assume that, during the binding of the electron, a homogeneous radiation is emitted of a frequency  $\nu$ , equal to half the frequency of revolution of the electron in its final

\* See f. inst., M. Planck, Ann. d. Phys. xxxi. p. 758 (1910); xxxvii p. 642 (1912); Verh. deutsch. Phys. Ges. 1911, p. 138.

orbit; then, from Planck's theory, we might expect that the amount of energy emitted by the process considered is equal to  $\tau l \nu$ , where l is Planck's constant and  $\tau$  an entire number. If we assume that the radiation emitted is homogeneous, the second assumption concerning the frequency of the radiation suggests itself, since the frequency of revolution of the electron at the beginning of the emission is 0. The question, however, of the rigorous validity of both assumptions, and also of the application made of Planck's theory, will be more closely discussed in § 3.

Putting  $W = \tau h \frac{\omega}{2}$ , . . . . . . (2)

we get by help of the formula (1)

$$W = \frac{2\pi^2 me^8 E^2}{\tau^2 h^2}, \quad \omega = \frac{4\pi^2 me^2 E^2}{\tau^3 h^3}, \quad 2a = \frac{\tau^2 h^2}{2\pi^2 me} E \cdot \cdot \quad (3)$$

If in these expressions we give  $\tau$  different values, we get a series of values for W,  $\omega$ , and a corresponding to a series of configurations of the system. According to the above considerations, we are led to assume that these configurations will correspond to states of the system in which there is no radiation of energy; states which consequently will be stationary as long as the system is not disturbed from outside. We see that the value of W is greatest if  $\tau$  has its smallest value 1. This case will therefore correspond to the most stable state of the system, i. e. will correspond to the binding of the electron for the breaking up of which the greatest amount of energy is required.

Putting in the above expressions  $\tau=1$  and E=e, and introducing the experimental values

$$e=4.7.10^{-10}$$
,  $\frac{e}{m}=5.31.10^{17}$ ,  $h=6.5.10^{-27}$ 

we ge

$$2a=1.1.10^{-8}$$
 cm.,  $\omega=6.2.10^{15}\frac{1}{\text{sec.}}$ ,  $\frac{W}{e}=13$  volt.

We see that these values are of the same order of magnitude as the linear dimensions of the atoms, the optical frequencies, and the ionization-potentials.

frequencies, and the ionization-potentials.

The general importance of Planck's theory for the discussion of the behaviour of atomic systems was originally pointed out by Einstein\*. The considerations of Einstein

\* A. Einstein, Ann. d. Phys. xvii. p. 132 (1905); xx. p. 199 (1906); xxii. p. 180 (1907).

to explain the meaning and the value of Planck's constant on the basis of J. J. Thomson's atom-model, by help of the linear dimensions and frequency of an hydrogen atom. similar to those given above, has been the subject of much and values for these quantities calculated by considerations observed for the frequencies and dimensions of the atoms, discussion. have been developed and applied on a number of different phenomena, especially by Stark, Nernst, and Sommerfield The agreement as to the order of magnitude between values It was first pointed out by Haas\*, in an attempt

of whole numbers. senting the ratio of energy to frequency by a simple function the theory a more complicated form, still, however, reprelatest paper cited Nicholson has found it necessary to give the quantity which we have denoted above by W. In the quantity Nicholson refers to as the energy is equal to twice ring is equal to an entire multiple of Planck's constant. the energy of the system and the frequency of rotation of the theory showing that the ratios between the wave-length of different sets of lines of the coronal spectrum can be accounted for with great accuracy by assuming that the ratio between are compared with the ratios between the frequencies correelectrons. sponding to consist simply of a ring of a few electrons surrounding bodies of certain hypothetical elements of exactly indicated constitution. The atoms of these elements are supposed to between the frequencies corresponding to the lines in question positive nucleus of negligibly small dimensions. The ratios and that of the solar corona, by assuming the presence in these forces between the particles vary inversely as the square of the distance, are discussed in relation to Planck's theory by J. W. Nicholson†. In a series of papers this author hitherto unknown origin in the spectra of the stellar nebulæ has shown that it seems to be possible to account for lines of Systems of the kind considered in this paper, in which the Nicholson has obtained a relation to Planck's different modes of vibration of the ring of

677, 693, 729 (1912). Lindemann, Vern. uewww. Perh. deutsch. Phys. Ges. 1911, p. 1117. † J. W. Nicholson, Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. Ixxii. pp. 49, 189, \* A. E. Haas, Jahrb. d. Rad. u. El. vii. p. 261 (1910). See further, A. Schidlof, Ann. d. Phys. xxxv. p. 90 (1911); E. Wertheimer, Phys. Zeitschr. xii. p. 409 (1911), Verh. deutsch. Phys. Ges. 1912, p. 431; F. A. Lindemann, Verh. deutsch. Phys. Ges. 1911, pp. 482, 1107; F. Haber, Tank Americal Dhim Cam 1011, 1117 question seems a strong argument in favour of the validity observed values of the ratios between the wave-lengths in The excellent agreement between foundation of Nicholson's calculations. the calculated and Serious

of Atoms and Molecules.

of the ordinary elements. account for the well-known laws of Balmer and Rydberg connecting the frequencies of the lines in the line-spectra may be only formal (see p. 23)—it must be remarked, some modes of vibration. Apart from such objections - which emission of radiation is started, the energy and also the frequency of the system are altered. Further, according to the calculation of Nicholson, the systems are unstable for is sent out in quanta; but systems like those considered, in which the frequency is a function of the energy, cannot emit a finite amount of a homogeneous radiation; for, as soon as the culations the frequency of lines in a line-spectrum is identified with the frequency of vibration of a mechanical system in a objections are intimately connected with the problem of the homogeneity of the radiation emitted. In Nicholson's cal-Planck's theory is used, we might expect that the radiation distinctly indicated state of equilibrium. As a relation from objections, however, may be raised against the theory. theory in the form given does not seem to be able to that

lations on p. 5. be useful to restate briefly the ideas characterizing the calcupoint of view taken in this paper. Before proceeding it may question disappear if we consider the problems from It will now be attempted to show that the difficulties The principal assumptions used are:

(2) That the latter process is followed by the emission (1) That the dynamical equilibrium of the systems in ordinary mechanics, while the passing of the systems between different stationary states cannot be treated stationary states can be discussed by on that basis. help of the

of a homogeneous radiation, for which the relation between the frequency and the amount of energy emitted is the one given by Planck's theory.

particles, we need not distinguish between the actual motions and their mean values. The second assumption is state in which there is no relative displacement of but appears to be necessary in order to account for experiin obvious contrast to the ordinary ideas of electrodynamics, in the calculations of the dynamical equilibrium in a stationary values of the motion of the electrons. validity, but will only hold in calculations of certain mean known that the ordinary mechanics cannot have an absolute first assumption seems to present itself; for it On the other hand,

In the calculations on page 5 we have further made use

of the more special assumptions, viz. that the different stationary states correspond to the emission of a different number of Planck's energy-quanta, and that the frequency of the radiation emitted during the passing of the system from a state in which no energy is yet radiated out to one of the stationary states, is equal to half the frequency of revolution of the electron in the latter state. We can, however (see § 3), also arrive at the expressions (3) for the stationary states by using assumptions of somewhat different form. We shall, therefore, postpone the discussion of the special assumptions, and first show how by the help of the above principal assumptions, and of the expressions (3) for the stationary states, we can account for the line-spectrum of hydrogen.

### § 2. Emission of Line-spectra.

Spectrum of Hydrogen.—General evidence indicates that an atom of hydrogen consists simply of a single electron rotating round a positive nucleus of charge e\*. The reformation of a hydrogen atom, when the electron has been removed to great distances away from the nucleus—e.g. by the effect of electrical discharge in a vacuum tube—will accordingly correspond to the binding of an electron by a positive nucleus considered on p. 5. If in (3) we put E=e, we get for the total amount of energy radiated out by the formation of one of the stationary states,

$$W_{\tau} = \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{h^2 \tau^2}$$
.

The amount of energy emitted by the passing of the system from a state corresponding to  $\tau = \tau_1$  to one corresponding to  $\tau = \tau_2$ , is consequently

$$\mathbf{W}_{\tau_2} \! - \! \mathbf{W}_{\tau_1} \! = \! \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{h^2} \! \left( \! \frac{1}{\tau_2^2} \! - \! \frac{1}{\tau_1^2} \! \right) \! .$$

If now we suppose that the radiation in question is homogeneous, and that the amount of energy emitted is equal to  $h\nu$ , where  $\nu$  is the frequency of the radiation, we get

$$W_{\tau_2} - W_{\tau_1} = h\nu,$$

\* See f. inst. N. Bohr, Phil. Mag. xxv. p. 24 (1913). The conclusion drawn in the paper cited is strongly supported by the fact that hydrogen, in the experiments on positive rays of Sir J. J. Thomson, is the only element which never occurs with a positive charge corresponding to the loss of more than one electron (comp. Phil. Mag. xxiv. p. 672 (1912)).

of Atoms and Molecules.

and from this

$$\nu = \frac{2\pi^2 me^4}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{r_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_1^2}\right). \qquad (4)$$

We see that this expression accounts for the law connecting the lines in the spectrum of hydrogen. If we put  $\tau_2 = 2$  and let  $\tau_1$  vary, we get the ordinary Balmer series. If we put  $\tau_2 = 3$ , we get the series in the ultra-red observed by Paschen\* and previously suspected by Ritz. If we put  $\tau_2 = 1$  and  $\tau_2 = 4$ ,  $\delta_1$ , we get series respectively in the extreme ultraviolet and the extreme ultra-red, which are not observed, but the existence of which may be expected.

The agreement in question is quantitative as well as qualitative. Putting

$$e=4.7.10^{-10}$$
,  $\frac{e}{m}=5.31.10^{17}$ , and  $h=6.5.10^{-27}$ 

TeS OAL

$$\frac{2\pi^2 me^4}{h^3} = 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 10^{15}.$$

The observed value for the factor outside the bracket in the formula (4) is

$$3.290.10^{15}$$
.

The agreement between the theoretical and observed values is inside the uncertainty due to experimental errors in the constants entering in the expression for the theoretical value. We shall in § 3 return to consider the possible importance of the agreement in question.

It may be remarked that the fact, that it has not been possible to observe more than 12 lines of the Balmer series in experiments with vacuum tubes, while 33 lines are observed in the spectra of some celestial bodies, is just what we should expect from the above theory. According to the equation (3) the diameter of the orbit of the electron in the different stationary states is proportional to  $\tau^2$ . For  $\tau=12$  the diameter is equal to  $1.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$  cm., or equal to the mean distance between the molecules in a gas at a pressure of about 7 mm. mercury; for  $\tau=33$  the diameter is equal to  $1.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$  cm., corresponding to the mean distance of the molecules at a pressure of about 0.02 mm. mercury. According to the theory the necessary condition for the appearance of a great number of lines is therefore a very small density of the gas; for simultaneously to obtain an

<sup>\*</sup> F. Paschen, Ann. d. Phys. xxvii. p. 565 (1908)

might, however, be possible to observe the lines by investithe Balmer series of the emission spectrum of hydrogen; it tubes to observe the lines corresponding to high numbers of gas must be very great. If the theory is right, we may intensity sufficient for observation the space filled with the therefore never expect to be able in experiments with vacuum

gation of the absorption spectrum of this gas (see § 4).

It will be observed that we in the above way do not obtain other series of lines, generally ascribed to hydrogen; for instance, the series first observed by Pickering\* in the found by Fowler† by experiments with vacuum tubes containing a mixture of hydrogen and helium. We shall, however, see that, by help of the above theory, we can for instance, the series first observed by rickering in the spectrum of the star ? Puppis, and the set of series recently account naturally for these series of lines if we ascribe them

two electrons. Now considering the binding of a sin electron by a helium nucleus, we get, putting E=2e in expressions (3) on page 5, and proceeding in exactly the same way as above, Rutherford's theory, of a positive nucleus of charge 2e and A neutral atom of the latter element consists, according a single

$$\nu = \frac{8\pi^2 m e^4}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{r_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_1^2}\right) = \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{r_2}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{r_1}{2}\right)^2}\right).$$

second principal series of the hydrogen spectrum. If we put  $\tau_2 = 4$ , we get the series observed by Pickering in the spectrum of  $\xi$  Puppis. Every second of the lines in this series is identical with a line in the Balmer series of the hydrogen spectrum; the presence of hydrogen in the star in question may therefore account for the fact that 71 vary, we get a series which includes 2 of the series observed by Fowler, and denoted by him as the first and formula put  $\tau_2 = 5, 6,...$ , we get series, the strong lines of which are to be expected in the ultra-red. series of the hydrogen spectrum. riments of Fowler, and denoted in his paper as the Sharp these lines are of a greater intensity than the rest of the lines in the series. The series is also observed in the expelines in the extreme ultra-violet. If we put 72=3, and let If we in this formula put  $\tau_2=1$  or  $\tau_2=2$ , we get series of If we finally in the above

The reason why the spectrum considered is not observed in

\* E. C. Pickering, Astrophys. J. iv. p. 369 (1896); v. p. 92 (1897). † A. Fowler, Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. lxxiii. Dec. 1912.

negative charge; therefore the presence of hydrogen in the experiments of Fowler may effect that more electrons are removed from some of the helium atoms than would be the electron from a helium atom is much greater than that to be used in removing the first. Further, it is known from experiments on positive rays, that hydrogen atoms can acquire a case if only helium were present. that the amount of energy to be used in removing the second they have lost both their electrons. theory, that helium atoms are present in a state in which for the appearance of the spectrum is, according to the above through a mixture of hydrogen and helium. experiments of Fowler, where a strong discharge was sent of helium is not so complete as in the star considered or in the ordinary helium tubes may be that in such tubes the ionization Now we must assume The condition

experiments—expect more complicated laws for the line-spectra than those considered. I shall try to show that the understanding of the laws observed. point of view taken above allows, at any rate, a certain more electrons we must-in conformity with Spectra of other substances.—In case of systems containing ore electrons we must—in conformity with the result of

the spectrum of an element can be expressed by given by Ritz \*-the frequency corresponding to the lines of According to Rydberg's theory-with the generalization

$$\nu = \mathbf{F}_r(\tau_1) - \mathbf{F}_s(\tau_2),$$

functions of  $\tau$  which approximately are equal to  $(\tau + a_1)^{2j}$ where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are entire numbers, and  $F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots$  are outside the bracket in the formula (4) for the spectrum of  $\frac{(\tau + a_1)^2}{(\tau + a_2)^2}$ ,... K is a universal constant, equal to the factor to a fixed number and let the other vary. hydrogen. The different series appear if we put  $\tau_1$  or  $\tau_2$  equal

correspond to a radiation emitted during the passing of the sideration as stationary states. configurations of the electrons which can be taken into conmay be very complicated, as there will be many different containing more than one electron the detailed discussion system between two different stationary states. an origin of the lines in the spectra in question similar to The circumstance that the frequency can be written as a difference between two functions of entire numbers suggests the one we have assumed for hydrogen; i. e. that the lines different sets of series in the line spectra emitted from the This may account for the For systems

\* W. Ritz, Phys. Zeitschr. ix. p. 521 (1908).

Let us assume that the spectrum in question corresponds to the radiation emitted during the binding of an electron; and

a great distance apart from the nucleus and the electrons previously bound, will be very nearly the same as in the above therefore for  $\tau$  great be very nearly equal to that given by the expression (3) on p. 5, if we put E=e. For  $\tau$  great we case of the binding of an electron by a hydrogen nucleus. The energy corresponding to one of the stationary states will considered is neutral. The force on the electron, when at let us further assume that the system including the electron

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (\tau^2 \cdot F_1(\tau)) = \lim_{t \to \infty} (\tau^2 \cdot F_2(\tau)) = \dots = \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{h^3},$$

in conformity with Rydberg's theory

### § 3. General Considerations continued

electron rotating round a nucleus. We shall now return to the discussion (see p. 7) of the special assumptions used in deducing the expressions (3) on p. 5 for the stationary states of a system consisting of an

out the frequency is altered. We shall now see that we can leave the assumption used and still retain the equation (2) on p. 5, and thereby the formal analogy with Planck's is a function of the energy, this assumption, however, may states correspond to an emission of a different number of energy-quanta. Considering systems in which the frequency be regarded as improbable; for as soon as one quantum is sent For one, we have assumed that the different stationary

case a radiation is sent out corresponding to more than a single energy-quantum, hv. Further information on the expressions (3) for the stationary states, to assume that in any in order to account for the law of the spectra by help of the energy radiation in the named region. on the latter basis are in agreement with experiments on the based on the ordinary mechanics. As is known, calculations vibrations based on the above assumptions with calculations calculations of the energy radiation in the region of slow frequency of the radiation may be obtained by comparing Firstly, it will be observed that it has not been necessary

Let us assume that the ratio between the total amount of

of Atoms and Molecules.

energy emitted and the frequency of revolution of the electron for the different stationary states is given by the equation  $W = f(\tau) \cdot h\omega$ , instead of by the equation (2). instead of (3)Proceeding in the same way as above, we get in this case

$$W = \frac{\pi^2 m e^2 E^2}{2h^2 f^2(\tau)}, \quad \omega = \frac{\pi^2 m e^2 E^2}{2h^3 f^3(\tau)}.$$

to  $\tau = \tau_1$  to one for which  $\tau = \tau_2$  is equal to  $h\nu$ , we get instead during the passing of the system from a state corresponding Assuming as above that the amount of energy emitted

$$= \frac{\pi^2 m e^2 \mathbf{E}^2}{2 h^3} \left( \frac{1}{f^2(\tau_2)} - \frac{1}{f^2(\tau_1)} \right).$$

as the Balmer series we must put  $f(\tau) = c\tau$ . We see that in order to get an expression of the same form

get for the frequency of the radiation emitted sponding to  $\tau = N$  and  $\tau = N - 1$ ; introducing  $f(\tau) = c\tau$ , we the system between two successive stationary states corre-In order to determine c let us now consider the passing of

$$\nu = \frac{\pi^2 m e^2 \Xi^2}{2c^2 l^3} \cdot \frac{2N-1}{N^2 (N-1)^2}.$$

after the emission we have For the frequency of revolution of the electron before and

$$\omega_{N} = \frac{\pi^{2} m e^{2} E^{2}}{2c^{3} h^{3} N^{3}} \text{ and } \omega_{N-1} = \frac{\pi^{2} m e^{2} E^{2}}{2c^{3} h^{3} (N-1)^{3}}.$$

after the emission will be very near equal to 1; and according to the ordinary electrodynamics we should therefore expect condition will only be satisfied if  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ . Putting  $f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}$ , we, frequency of revolution also is very nearly equal to 1. This however, again arrive at the equation (2) and consequently that the ratio between the frequency of radiation and the If N is great the ratio between the frequency before and

at the expression (3) for the stationary states. If we consider the passing of the system between two states corresponding to  $\tau=N$  and  $\tau=N-n$ , where n is small compared with N, we get with the same approximation as

above, putting 
$$f(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{2}$$
,

of Atoms and Molecules.

frequency may also be interpreted from analogy with the ordinary electrodynamics, as an electron rotating round a according to Fourier's theorem can be resolved into homonucleus in an elliptical orbit will emit a radiation which the frequency of revolution of the electron. geneous components, the frequencies of which are  $n\omega$ , if  $\omega$  is The possibility of an emission of a radiation of such a

equation (2) is not that the different stationary states corbut that the frequency of the energy emitted during the passing of the system from a state in which no energy is yet radiated out to one of the different stationary states, is equal respond to an emission of different numbers of energy-quanta We are thus led to assume that the interpretation of the

to different multiples of  $\frac{\omega}{2}$ , where  $\omega$  is the frequency of revo-

liminary considerations on p. 5 only as a simple form representing the results of the theory.

Before we leave the discussion of this question, we shall assumption we get exactly the same expressions as before for the stationary states, and from these by help of the principal assumptions on p. 7 the same expression for the law of the lution of the electron in the state considered. From this dydrogen spectrum. Consequently we may regard our pre-

series of the hydrogen spectrum. From the above consideration it will follow that, taking the starting-point in the a moment return to the question of the significance of the the different lines correspond to a homogeneous radiation emitted during the passing between different stationary of slow vibrations. the system between successive stationary states will coincide with the frequency of revolution of the electron in the region constant in question as that given by (4), if we only assume (1) that the radiation is sent out in quanta  $h\nu$ , and (2) that states, we shall arrive at exactly the same expression for the the constant entering in the expressions (4) for the Balmer agreement between the observed and calculated values of the frequency of the radiation emitted during the passing of form of the law of the hydrogen spectrum and assuming that

of experimental determinations of the constants e, m, and h. considering is a sound one—an absolute agreement between senting the theory are of what we may call a qualitative character, we are justified in expecting—if the whole way of may therefore be of value in the discussion of the results and not only an approximate agreement. the values calculated and observed for the constant in question. As all the assumptions used in this latter way of repre-The formula (4)

> revolution and T the kinetic energy of the electron; for a circular orbit we further have T=W (see p. 3) and from (2), of the calculation on p. 5 by help of symbols taken from the ordinary mechanics. Denoting the angular momentum of the electron round the nucleus by M, we have immediately p. 5, we consequently get ever, possible to give a very simple interpretation of the result foundation of the calculations given in this paper, it is, howfor a circular orbit  $\pi \mathbb{M} = \frac{T}{\omega}$ , where  $\omega$  is the frequency of While there obviously can be no question of a mechanical

where 
$$M_0=\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}=1.04 imes10^{-2 au}.$$

in the discussion of atomic systems in relation to Planck's theory is emphasized by Nicholson \*. of a universal value, independent of the charge on the angular momentum of the electron round the nucleus in a on p. 5 can be expressed by the simple condition: that the nucleus. stationary state of the system is equal to an entire multiple the stationary states is circular, the result of the calculation If we therefore assume that the orbit of the electron in The possible importance of the angular momentum

From the preceding considerations we are immediately led to the assumption that the "permanent" state is the one equation (3) on p. 5, this state is the one which corresponds the greatest amount of energy is emitted. According to the among the stationary states during the formation of which distinct state, i. e. the state of the atoms at low temperature. of radiation. In most of the other physical phenomena, however, we only observe the atoms of the matter in a single observe except by investigation of the emission and absorption The great number of different stationary states we do not

#### § 4. Absorption of Radiation.

circumstances can absorb a radiation of a frequency equal to of a nucleus and an electron rotating round it under certain radiation which correspond to those we have used considering introduce assumptions on the mechanism of absorption of the frequency of the homogeneous radiation emitted during In order to account for Kirchhoff's law it is necessary Thus we must assume that a system consisting

\* J. W. Nicholson, loc. cit. p. 679.

the passing of the system between different stationary states. Let us consider the radiation emitted during the passing of the system between two stationary states  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  corresponding to values for  $\tau$  equal to  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_1 > \tau_2$ . As the necessary condition for an emission of the radiation in question was the presence of systems in the state  $A_1$ , we must assume that the necessary condition for an absorption of the radiation is the presence of systems in the state  $A_2$ . These considerations seem to be in conformity with expe-

These considerations seem to be in conformity with experiments on absorption in gases. In hydrogen gas at ordinary conditions for instance there is no absorption of a radiation of a frequency corresponding to the line-spectrum of this gas; such an absorption is only observed in hydrogen gas in a luminous state. This is what we should expect according to the above. We have on p. 9 assumed that the radiation in question was emitted during the passing of the systems between stationary states corresponding to  $\tau \ge 2$ . The state of the atoms in hydrogen gas at ordinary conditions should, however, correspond to  $\tau = 1$ ; furthermore, hydrogen atoms at ordinary conditions combine into molecules, i. e. into systems in which the electrons have frequencies different from those in the atoms (see Part III.). From the circumstance that certain substances in a non-luminous state, as, for instance, sodium vapour, absorb radiation corresponding to lines in the line-spectra of the substances, we may, on the other hand, conclude that the lines in question are emitted during the passing of the system between two states, one of which is the permanent state.

How much the above considerations differ from an interpretation based on the ordinary electrodynamics is perhaps most clearly shown by the fact that we have been forced to assume that a system of electrons will absorb a radiation of a frequency different from the frequency of vibration of the electrons calculated in the ordinary way. It may in this connexion be of interest to mention a generalization of the considerations to which we are led by experiments on the photo-electric effect, and which may be able to throw some light on the problem in question. Let us consider a state of the system in which the electron is free, i.e. in which the electron possesses kinetic energy sufficient to remove to infinite distances from the nucleus. If we assume that the motion of the electron is governed by the ordinary mechanics and that there is no (sensible) energy radiation, the total energy of the system—as in the above considered stationary states—will be constant. Further, there will be perfect continuity between the two kinds of states, as the difference between

of Atoms and Molecules.

frequency and dimensions of the systems in successive stationary states will diminish without limit if  $\tau$  increases. In the following considerations we shall for the sake of brevity refer to the two kinds of states in question as "mechanical" states; by this notation only emphasizing the assumption that the motion of the electron in both cases can be accounted for by the ordinary mechanics

the assumption that the motion of the electron in both cases can be accounted for by the ordinary mechanics.

Tracing the analogy between the two kinds of mechanical

states, we might now expect the possibility of an absorption of radiation, not only corresponding to the passing of the system between two different stationary states, but also corresponding to the passing between one of the stationary states and a state in which the electron is free; and as above, we might expect that the frequency of this radiation was determined by the equation  $E = h\nu$ , where E is the difference between the total energy of the system in the two states. As it will be seen, such an absorption of radiation is just what is observed in experiments on ionization by ultra-violet light and by Röntgen rays. Obviously, we get in this way the same expression for the kinetic energy of an electron ejected from an atom by photo-electric effect as that deduced by Einstein \*, i.e.  $T = h\nu - W$ , where T is the kinetic energy of the electron ejected, and W the total amount of energy emitted during the original binding of the electron.

The above considerations may further account for the result of some experiments of R. W. Wood † on absorption of light by sodium vapour. In these experiments, an

The above considerations may further account for the result of some experiments of R. W. Wood f on absorption of light by sodium vapour. In these experiments, an absorption corresponding to a very great number of lines in the principal series of the sodium spectrum is observed, and in addition a continuous absorption which begins at the head of the series and extends to the extreme ultra-violet. This is exactly what we should expect according to the analogy in question, and, as we shall see, a closer consideration of the above experiments allows us to trace the analogy still further. As mentioned on p. 9 the radii of the orbits of the electrons will for stationary states corresponding to high values for \(\tau\) be very great compared with ordinary atomic dimensions. This circumstance was used as an explanation of the non-appearance in experiments with vacuum-tubes of lines corresponding to the higher numbers in the Balmer series of the hydrogen spectrum. This is also in conformity with experiments on the emission spectrum of this substance

<sup>\*</sup> A. Einstein, Ann. d. Phys. xvii. p. 146 (1905).
† R. W. Wood, Physical Optics, p. 513 (1911).

experiments in question we consequently observe an absorption of radiation which is not accompanied by a complete transition the pressure was not very low, and the states corresponding to high values for r could therefore not appear; yet in the chanical states, is in perfect analogy with the assumption generally used that a free electron will have an absorbing there will be an absorption (scattering) of any radiation corresponding to a transition between two different mecase be no question of a coincidence of the frequency of the influence on a homogeneous radiation, as soon as the frequency of the radiation is greater than W/h, where W is the in question is transformed by collisions into kinetic energy of a radiation of the same frequency as that absorbed, and there absorption spectrum about 50 lines were detected rather few lines are observed. sponding considerations will hold for the emission of radiation. radiation and a characteristic frequency of vibration of the electron. It will further be seen that the assumption, that absorption as the one sketched above, as there can in such a electron. total amount of energy emitted during the binding of the there is no ionization—will have an absorbing (scattering) riments conclude that a bound electron—also in cases in which radiation; a true absorption will not occur unless the energy will be no true absorption but only a scattering of the original between the different systems this energy will be emitted as to the original stationary state. present theory we must assume that this absorption is followed between two different stationary states. According to the free particles. by an emission of energy during which the systems pass back (scattering) influence on light of any frequency. Corre-This would be highly in favour of a theory of In analogy we may now from the above expe-Now in Wood's experiments If there are no collisions

emission of line-spectra is due to the re-formation of atoms after one or more of the lightly bound electrons are removed, experiments: here we shall only mention briefly a problem assumption is in quantitative agreement with the results of emitted during the settling down of the systems after one of the firmly bound electrons escapes, e.g. by impact of cathode we may assume that the homogeneous Röntgen radiation is with which we meet in such a calculation. constitution of atoms, we shall consider the question more closely and try to show that a calculation particles \*. In analogy to the assumption used in this paper that the In the next part of this paper, dealing with the based on this

\* Compare J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. xxiii. p. 456 (1912)

kinetic energy: two colliding electrons, bound or free, will, after the collision as well as before, be in mechanical states. view of the "mechanical" states we see, however, that the following assumption—which is in accord with the above thing like equipartition of kinetic energy between free electrons and electrons bound in atoms. From the point of of the collisions was governed by the usual mechanical laws. an atom and colliding with the electrons bound will loose energy in distinct finite quanta. As is immediately seen, suggest that an electron of great velocity in passing through of  $\beta$ -particles emitted from radioactive substances recently published by Rutherford \* These calculations strongly treated by the help of the ordinary electrodynamics, but not only the emission and absorption of radiation cannot be sponding to successive stationary states, and consequently that the free electron which collides with it could not lose a collision between two free particles. But, considering a collision between a free and a bound electron, it would follow analogy-might be able to account for the result of Ruthermight also be expected beforehand from the absence of any-The failure of the classical mechanics in such a problem this is very different from what we might expect if the result shown by some very instructive calculations on the energy even the result of a collision between two electrons of which that the bound electron by the collision could not acquire a make any alteration necessary in the classical treatment of a Obviously, the introduction of such an assumption would not ford's calculation and for the absence of equipartition of the one is bound in an atom. less amount of energy than the difference in energy correless amount. Experiments on the phenomena of X-rays suggest that not This is perhaps most clearly

satisfactory explanation has been given by dynamics and the wave theory of light. of the theory of the stationary states possibly may afford a simple basis of representing a number of experimental facts considerations needs not to be emphasized. The intention, which cannot be explained by help of the ordinary electrohowever, has been to show that the sketched inconsistent with experiments on phenomena for which a dynamics, and that the assumptions used do not seem to The preliminary and hypothetical character of the above generalization the classical

\* E. Rutherford, Phil. Mag. xxiv. pp. 453 & 893 (1912):

The permanent State of an Atomic System.

equal to  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ . according to the above, determined by the condition that the angular momentum of the electron round the nucleus is We shall now return to the main object of this paper—the discussion of the "permanent" state of a system consisting of nuclei and bound electrons. For a system consisting of a nucleus and an electron rotating round it, this state is,

a and  $\omega$  calculated on p. 5. Unfortunately, however, we know very little of the behaviour of hydrogen atoms on account of the small dissociation of hydrogen molecules at ordinary temperatures. In order to get a closer comparison On the theory of this paper the only neutral atom which contains a single electron is the bydrogen atom. The permanent state of this atom should correspond to the values of with experiments, it is necessary to consider more complicated systems.

of the stability of the ring. Disregarding for a moment this latter difficulty, we shall first consider the dimensions and frequency of the systems in relation to Planck's theory of discussion of this problem on the basis of the ordinary electrodynamics, we meet—apart from the question of the energy radiation—with new difficulties due to the question presents itself as a permanent state is one in which the electrons are arranged in a ring round the nucleus. In the radiation. positive nucleus, a configuration of the electrons which Considering systems in which more electrons are bound by

Let us consider a ring consisting of n electrons rotating round a nucleus of charge E, the electrons being arranged at equal angular intervals around the circumference of a

circle of radius a. electrons and the nucleus is The total potential energy of the system consisting of the

 $\mathbf{P} = -\frac{ne}{a} \left( \mathbf{E} - e s_n \right), \quad$ 

 $s_n = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s=1}^{s=n-1} \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s\pi}{n}.$ 

and the other electrons we get For the radial force exerted on an electron by the nucleus

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{1}{n}\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{da} = -\frac{e}{a^2}(\mathbf{E} - es_n).$$

neglecting the electromagnetic forces due to the motion of the electrons (see Part II.), we get, putting the centrifugal Denoting the force on an electron equal to the radial force, kinetic energy of an electron by T and

$$\frac{2T}{a} = \frac{e}{a^2} (E - es_n),$$

유

$$T = \frac{e}{2a} (\mathbb{E} - es_n).$$

From this we get for the frequency of revolution

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{e(E - es_n)}{ma^3}}.$$

system in order to remove the electrons to infinite distances apart from the nucleus and from each other is The total amount of energy W necessary transferred to the

$$W = -P - nT = \frac{ne}{2a} (E - es_n) = nT,$$

equal to the total kinetic energy of the electrons.

at any moment are situated at equal angular intervals on a circle with the nucleus as the centre. The major axis and frequency of the orbit of the single electrons will for this motion be given by the expressions (1) on p. 3 if we orbit round a nucleus is the exchange of E for E-esn. It is replace H by H- $es_n$  and W by  $\frac{\mathrm{W}}{n}$ . Let us now suppose motion of the n electrons in which each rotates in an ellipelectron in an elliptical orbit round a nucleus, there will be a tical orbit with the nucleus in the focus, and the n electrons also immediately seen that corresponding to the motion of an those holding for the motion of a single electron in a circular We see that the only difference in the above formula and

a single electron rotating round a nucleus. It will thus be assumed that the electrons, before the binding by the nucleus, homogeneous radiation is emitted. As in the case of a single electron, we have here that the total amount of energy emitted energy of the electrons. If we now suppose that during the nucleus is formed in a way analogous to the one assumed for during the formation of the system is equal to the final kinetic no sensible velocities, and also that during the binding a were at a great distance apart from the latter and possessed that the system of n electrons rotating in a ring round a

22

entity. This would, however, lead to the same result, for in this case the frequency of revolution  $\omega$  will be replaced by an entire number, h Planck's constant, and  $\omega$  the frequency of revolution. The configuration in which the greatest circle with the nucleus in the centre, from analogy with the considerations on p. 5 we are here led to assume the existence of a series of stationary configurations in which formation of the system the electrons at any moment are situated at equal angular intervals on the circumference of a the frequency  $n\omega$  of the radiation from the whole ring calculated from the ordinary electrodynamics, and T by the total single electrons we might have considered the ring as an that the angular momentum of each of the electrons is equal in a single ring. state of the system if the electrons in this state are arranged amount of energy is emitted is, as before, the one in which the kinetic energy per electron is equal to  $\tau h \frac{\omega}{2}$ , where  $\tau$  is This configuration we shall assume to be the permanen It may be remarked that instead of considering the As for the case of a single electron we get

as far as I can see, no indication of the existence of stationary states in which all the electrons are arranged in a ring and existence of such states seems necessary in order to account for the line-spectra of systems containing more than one electron (p. 11); it is also suggested by the theory of emitted than the one we above have assumed to be which correspond to greater values for the total energy to other ways of forming the system. a moment. permanent state. Nicholson There may be many other stationary states corresponding other ways of forming the system. The assumption of the mentioned on p. 6, to which we shall return in The consideration of the spectra, however, gives,

kinetic energy n'l'.

system are arranged in a single ring. not essential for our determination of the permanent state, as long as we assume that the electrons in this state of the system of n electrons and a nucleus of charge E in which all however, of the existence of such stationary configurations is system are arranged in a single ring. Systems corresponding to more complicated configurations will be discussed on the electrons are not arranged in a single ring. The question, Further, there may be stationary configurations of a

expressions for T and  $\omega$ , values for a and  $\omega$  corresponding to Using the relation  $T = h \frac{\omega}{2}$  we get, by help of the above

> those the permanent state of the system which only differ from E for E -es..

of Atoms and Molecules.

of the electrons in the plane of the ring; one concerning displacements perpendicular to this plane. As Nicholson's J. Thomson \*. round a positive charge is discussed in great detail by Sir J. number of electrons is not great; the ring is in no case considered by Nicholson stable for displacements of the first calculations show, the answer to the question of stability differs very much in the two cases in question. While the ring for the latter displacements in general is stable if the case here considered of a ring rotating round a nucleus of megligibly small linear dimensions is given by Nicholson in two parts: one concerning the stability for displacements The investigation of the problem in question naturally divides The question of stability of a ring of electrons rotating An adaption of Thomson's analysis for the

nomentum, together with the further condition that the configuration of the particles is the one by the formation of electrons rotating round a nucleus is secured through the above condition of the universal constancy of the angular calculations. electrons, and like the latter cannot be treated on the basis of According, however, to the point of view taken in Paper, the question of stability for displacements of of the ring, equivalent to that used in ordinary mechanical for a displacement of the electrons perpendicular to the plane shown, this hypothesis is, concerning the question of stability Which the greatest amount of energy is emitted. As will be the ordinary dynamics. The hypothesis of which we shall make use in the following is that the stability of a ring of electron. with the question of the mechanism of the binding of the electrons in the plane of the ring is most intimately connected this the

lines observed in the spectrum of the solar corona, we shall now see that the difficulties mentioned on p. 7 may be only formal. In the first place, from the point of view considered radiation. not dealing with a true emission but only with a scattering of in question, if we assume that in the coronal spectrum we are not be valid. above the objection as to the instability of the systems for radiation in quanta will not have reference to the calculations displacements of the electrons in the plane of the ring may Returning to the theory of Nicholson on the origin This assumption seems probable if we consider Further, the objection as to the emission of the

\* Loc. cit

† Loc. cit.

considered in this paper. the conditions in the celestial body in question; for on account of the enormous rarefaction of the metter there may be comparatively few collisions to disturb the stationary of the systems in the different stationary states. Nicholson and those connecting the ordinary line-spectra different form for the laws connecting the lines discussed by assumption is correct, we immediately understand the entirely light of all frequencies which may excite the natural vibrations hand there will in the solar corona be intense illumination of the transition between different stationary states; on the other states and to cause a true emission of light corresponding to If the above

Proceeding to consider systems of a more complicated constitution, we shall make use of the following theorem, which can be very simply proved :-

velocity of light, the kinetic energy will be numerically equal to half the potential energy." "In every system consisting of electrons and positive nuclei, in which the nuclei are at rest and the electrons move in circular orbits with a velocity small compared with the

of the particles are infinitely great and in which the particles have no velocities relative to each other, is equal to the of a single electron or of a ring rotating round a nucleus—that the total amount of energy emitted, by the formation of the systems from a configuration in which the distances apart By help of this theorem we get—as in the previous cases

the orbit, we are therefore led to the following simple generalization of the hypotheses mentioned on pp. 15 and 22. figurations the one corresponding to the greatest amount of energy emitted will be the one in which  $\tau$  for every electron equal to \(\pi\) times the angular momentum round the centre of to frequency for a particle rotating in a circular orbit is is equal to 1. Considering that the ratio of kinetic energy Planck's constant. In any such series of stationary conenergy of every electron is equal to the frequency of revofigurations of the system will exist in which the kinetic kinetic energy of the electrons in the final configuration.
In analogy with the case of a single ring we are here led to assume that corresponding to any configuration of equililution multiplied by  $rac{ au}{2}h$  where au is an entire number and hbrium a series of geometrically similar, stationary con-"In any melecular system consisting of positive nuclei and

and the electrons move in circular orbits, the angular momentum electrons in which the nuclei are at rest relative to each other

of Atoms and Molecules.

permanent state of the system be equal to  $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ , where h is Planck's constant \*\*. of every electron round the centre of its orbit will in the

angular momentum of the electrons.

As mentioned in the introduction, the above hypothesis will if the total energy of the system is less than in any neighbouring configuration satisfying the same condition of the assume that a configuration satisfying this condition is stable In analogy with the considerations on p. 23, we shal

that it leads to results which seem to be in conformity with the constitution of atoms and molecules. It will be shown be used in a following communication as a basis for a theory of

considerations given later it will be attempted to throw some further light on the foundation of it from another experiments on a number of different phenomena.

The foundation of the hypothesis has been sought entirely in its relation with Planck's theory of radiation; by help of point of view.

April 5, 1913.

<sup>\*</sup> In the considerations leading to this hypothesis we have assumed that the velocity of the electrons is small compared with the velocity of light. The limits of the validity of this assumption will be discussed in

On the Constitution of Atoms and Molecules.

By N. Bohr, Dr. phil. Copenhagen \*.

Part II.—Systems containing only a Single Nucleus †. § 1. General Assumptions.

that the atoms of the elements consist of a positively charged nucleus surrounded by a cluster of electrons. The nucleus is the seat of the essential part of the mass of the atom, and has linear dimensions exceedingly small compared with the distances apart of the electrons in the surrounding cluster.

As in the previous paper, we shall assume that the cluster of electrons is formed by the successive binding by the nucleus of electrons initially nearly at rest, energy at the same time being radiated away. This will go on until, when the total negative charge on the bound electrons is numerically equal to the positive charge on the nucleus, the system will be neutral and no longer able to exert sensible forces on electrons at distances from the nucleus great in comparison with the dimensions of the orbits of the bound electrons. We may regard the formation of helium from  $\alpha$  rays as an

\* Communicated by Prof. E. Rutherford, F.R.S. † Part I. was published in Phil Mag. xxvi. p. 1 (1913).

observed example of a process of this kind, an a particle or this view being identical with the nucleus of a helium atom.

On account of the small dimensions of the nucleus, its internal structure will not be of sensible influence on the constitution of the cluster of electrons, and consequently will have no effect on the ordinary physical and chemical properties of the atom. The latter properties on this theory will depend entirely on the total charge and mass of the nucleus; the internal structure of the nucleus will be of influence only on the phenomena of radioactivity.

From the result of experiments on large-angle scattering of a-rays, Rutherford \* found an electric charge on the nucleus corresponding per atom to a number of electrons approximately equal to half the atomic weight. This result seems to be in agreement with the number of electrons per atom calculated from experiments on scattering of Röntgen radiation †. The total experimental evidence supports the hypothesis ‡ that the actual number of electrons in a neutral atom with a few exceptions is equal to the number which indicates the position of the corresponding element in the series of elements arranged in order of increasing atomic weight. For example on this view, the atom of oxygen which is the eighth element of the series has eight electrons and a nucleus carrying eight unit charges.

We shall assume that the electrons are arranged at equal angular intervals in coaxial rings rotating round the nucleus. In order to determine the frequency and dimensions of the rings we shall use the main hypothesis of the first paper, viz.: that in the permanent state of an atom the angular momentum of every electron round the centre of its orbit is

equal to the universal value  $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ , where h is Planck's constant. We shall take as a condition of stability, that the total

We shall take as a condition of stability, that the total energy of the system in the configuration in question is less than in any neighbouring configuration satisfying the same condition of the angular momentum of the electrons. If the charge on the nucleus and the number of electrons

in the different rings is known, the condition in regard to the angular momentum of the electrons will, as shown in § 2, completely determine the configuration of the system, i.e., the frequency of revolution and the linear dimensions of the rings. Corresponding to different distributions of the

<sup>\*</sup> Comp. also Geiger and Marsden, Phil. Mag. xxv. p. 604 (1913).
† Comp. C. G. Barkla, Phil. Mag. xxi. p. 648 (1911).
† Comp. A. v. d. Broek, *Phys. Zeitsehr*. xiv. p. 32 (1913).
Phil. Mag. S. 6. Vol. 26. No. 153. Sept. 1913,

2 K

of the angular momentum together with the condition of electrons in the rings, however, there will, in general, be more than one configuration which will satisfy the condition

sistent with those suggested by the chemical properties of In § 3 and § 4 it will be shown that, on the general view of the formation of the atoms, we are led to indications of the arrangement of the electrons in the rings which are con-

experimental values. element, and that this is in approximate agreement with the to calculate the minimum velocity of cathode rays necessary to produce the characteristic Röntgen radiation from the In § 5 it will be shown that it is possible from the theory

In  $\S$  6 the phenomena of radioactivity will be briefly considered in relation to the theory.

## § 2. Configuration and Stability of the Systems.

equilibrium gives general be dependent on a. moves in a circular orbit of radius a with a velocity v small compared with the velocity of light. Let us denote the radial force acting on the electrons by  $\frac{\epsilon}{a^2}$  F; F will in Let us consider an electron of charge e and mass m which The condition of dynamical

$$\frac{mv^2}{a} = \frac{e^2}{a^2} \text{ F.}$$

Introducing the condition of universal constancy of the angular momentum of the electron, we have

$$mva = \frac{h}{2\pi}$$
.

From these two conditions we now get

$$a = \frac{h^2}{4\pi^2 e^{2m}} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \text{ and } v = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar} \mathbf{F}; ... (1)$$

and for the frequency of revolution  $\omega$  consequently

$$\omega = \frac{4\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^3} F^2 \dots \qquad (2)$$

If F is known, the dimensions and frequency of the corresponding orbit are simply determined by (1) and (2). For a

ring of n electrons rotating round a nucleus of charge Ne we have (comp. Part I., p. 20)

$$F=N-s_n$$
, where  $s_n=rac{1}{4}\sum_{s=1}^{s=n-1}\sum_{n=1}^{s\pi}$ 

The values for  $s_n$  from n=1 to n=16 are given in the table

energy of the electrons is equal to the total amount of energy with a velocity small compared with the velocity of light, we have shown (see Part I., p. 24) that the total kinetic the first are at rest and the latter move in circular orbits energy by W, we consequently get configuration in which all the particles are at rest and at emitted during the formation of the system from an original infinite distances from each other. For systems consisting of nuclei and electrons in which Denoting this amount of

$$W = \sum_{k=0}^{m} v^{2} = \frac{2\pi^{2}e^{4}m}{l^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F^{2}. \qquad (3)$$

and  $h = 6.5 \cdot 10^{-27}$  we get Putting in (1), (2), and (3)  $e=4.7 \cdot 10^{-10}, \frac{e}{m}=5.31 \cdot 10^{17},$ 

a=0.55.10<sup>-8</sup>F<sup>-1</sup>, 
$$v=2\cdot1.10^{8}$$
F,  $\omega=6\cdot2.10^{15}$ F<sup>2</sup> and 
$$W=2\cdot0.10^{-11}\Sigma$$
F\*. (4)

of the particles are small compared with the velocity of light. The above calculations show that for this to hold, F must be small compared with 150. As will be seen, the latter conelements of low atomic weight and for a greater part of the electrons contained in the atoms of the other elements. the electrons we have in Part I. assumed that the velocities dition will be satisfied for all the electrons in the atoms of In neglecting the magnetic forces due to the motion of

ever, that the constancy of the angular momentum is the principal condition. Applying this condition for velocities 2 K 2 assumptions, we cannot therefore in this case determine the configuration of the systems on the basis of the considera-If the velocity of the electrons is not small compared with the velocity of light, the constancy of the angular momentum no longer involves a constant ratio between the energy and tions in Part I. Considerations given later suggest, howthe frequency of revolution. Without introducing

not small compared with the velocity of light, we get the same expression for v as that given by (1), while the quantity m in the expressions for a and w is replaced by and in the expression for W by  $\sqrt{(1-v^2/c^2)}$ 

$$m \cdot 2 \frac{c^2}{v^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}\right)$$

trons. We have also assumed that the stability for such displacements is secured through the introduction of the hypothesis of the universal constancy of the angular momentum of the electrons. escape from this difficulty, we have assumed that the ordinary ments of the electrons in the plane of the ring. round a positive nucleus in general is unstable for displacethe connected problem of the mechanism of binding of electhe problem in question, any more than in the discussion of principles of mechanics cannot be used in the discussion of mechanics gives the result, that a ring of electrons rotating As stated in Part I., a calculation based on the ordinary In order to

dynamical equilibrium and that the radius of the ring is  $a_0$ , the velocity of the electrons  $v_0$ , the total kinetic energy  $T_0$ , and the potential energy  $P_0$ . As shown in Part I. (p. 21) we have  $P_0 = -2T_0$ . Next consider a configuration of the system in which the electrons, under influence of extraneous As is easily shown, the latter assumption is included in the condition of stability in § 1. Consider a ring of electrons  $P_0 = -2T_0$ , we get momentum  $v = \frac{1}{a}v_0$  and  $T = \frac{1}{a^2}T_0$ . Using the relation  $P = \frac{1}{2}P_0$ , and on account of the uniformity of the angular nucleus in a ring of radius  $a=\alpha a_0$ . In this case we have forces, rotate with the same angular momentum round the rotating round a nucleus, and assume that the system is in

$$P + T = \frac{1}{\alpha} P_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} T_0 = P_0 + T_0 + T_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^2.$$

of radiation emitted or absorbed by the systems cannot be determined from the frequencies of vibration of the electrons in the plane of the orbits, calculated by help of the ordinary greater than in the original. According to the condition of stability in §1 the system is consequently stable for the marked that in Part I. we have assumed that the frequency displacement considered. In this connexion, We see that the total energy of the new configuration is greater than in the original. According to the condition of it may be re-

frequency of the radiation is determined by the condition  $h\nu=E$ , where  $\nu$  is the frequency, h Planck's constant, and E the difference in energy corresponding to two different "stationary" states of the system. We have, on the contrary, assumed that the

system is given by same angular momentum round the axis of the system as before. The kinetic energy is unaltered by the displacement, and neglecting powers of the quantities  $\delta z_1, \ldots, \delta z_n$  higher under influence of extraneous forces, rotate in circular orbits parallel to the original plane with the same radii and the of the system in which the electrons are displaced by  $\delta z_1, \delta z_2, \ldots, \delta z_n$  respectively, and suppose that the electrons, dicular to the plane of the ring, imagine a configuration In considering the stability of a ring of electrons rotating round a nucleus for displacements of the electrons perpenthan the second, the increase of the potential energy of the

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{a^3} \operatorname{N}\Sigma (\delta z)^2 - \frac{1}{32} \frac{e^2}{a^3} \operatorname{\Sigma}\Sigma \left| \operatorname{cosec}^3 \frac{\pi (r-\delta)}{n} \right| (\delta z_r - \delta z_*)^2,$$

where a is the radius of the ring, Ne the charge on the nucleus, and n the number of electrons. According to the condition of stability in § 1 the system is stable for the displacements considered, if the above expression is positive for arbitrary values of  $\delta z_1, \ldots, \delta z_n$ . By a simple calculation it can be shown that the latter condition is equivalent to the

$$N > p_{n,o} - p_{n,m} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (5)$$

where m denotes the whole number (smaller than n) for

$$p_{n,k} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{s=1}^{s=n-1} \cos 2k \frac{s\pi}{n} \operatorname{cosec}^3 \frac{s\pi}{n}$$

has its smallest value. This condition is identical with the condition of stability for displacements of the electrons perordinary mechanical considerations \* pendicular to the plane of the ring, deduced by help of

A suggestive illustration is obtained by imagining that the displacements considered are produced by the effect of extraneous forces acting on the electrons in a direction parallel to the axis of the ring. If the displacements are produced infinitely slowly the motion of the electrons will at any moment be parallel to the original plane of the ring. and the angular momentum of each of the electrons round

\* Comp. J. W. Nicholson, Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. 72. p. 52(1912)

to be in agreement with experiments on dispersion. apparent agreement with observations obtained by Nicholson in his theory of the origin of lines in the spectra of the solar corona and stellar nebulæ (see Part I. pp. 6 & 23). calculating the vibrations of the electrons perpendicular to the plane of the ring—contrary to the case of vibrations in the plane of the ring. This assumption is supported by the value; the increase in the potential energy of the system will be equal to the work done by the extraneous forces In addition it will be shown later that the assumption seems led to assume that the ordinary mechanics can be used in during the displacements. From such considerations we are the centre of its orbit will obviously be equal to its original

from n=1 to n=16. The following table gives the values of  $s_n$  and  $p_{n,o}-p_{n,m}$ 

တ	~1	G	Ç	4	ယ	10	<b>)—</b>	'n
2-805	2.305	1-828	1.377	0.957	0.577	0.25	0	$s_n$ ,
9.56	6.35	4.25	2.43	1.41	0-58	0 <u>.5</u> 2	0	$p_{n,o}-p_{n,m};$
16	15	14	.13	12	11	10	9	n,
7.379	6.764	6.159	5.565	4.984	4.416	3.863	3:328	$s_n$ ,
71.65	58-83	48:38	38-57	30.80	23.60	18:13	13.14	$p_{no,}-p_{n,m}$

rotate in a single ring round a nucleus of charge ne unless n=15. We see, further, that a ring of n electrons cannot can rotate in a single ring round a nucleus of charge Neincreases only very slowly for increasing N; for N=20 the maximum value is n=10; for N=40, n=13; for N=60We see from the table that the number of electrons which

circular orbits may be very small and the motion of the electrons to a close approximation may be identical with that obtained on the assumption that the charge on the electrons is uniformly distributed along the circumference of the rings. If the ratio between the radii of the rings is not move under the influence of a stationary radial force and that their orbits are exactly circular. The first condition will not be satisfied if we consider a system containing several rings of electrons which rotate with different frequencies. If, however, the distance between the rings is not small in comparison with their radii, and if the ratio between their frequencies is not near to unity, the deviation from In the above we have supposed that the electrons

> assumption may also be considered as sufficient. near to unity, the conditions of stability obtained on this

be situated in a single plane through the nucleus. For the sake of brevity, we shall therefore here only consider the will the planes of the rings separate; in the case of systems containing a moderate number of electrons, all the rings will We have assumed in § 1 that the electrons in the atoms rotate in coaxial rings. The calculation indicates that only in the case of systems containing a great number of electrons atter case.

Let us consider an electric charge E uniformly distributed along the circumference of a circle of radius a.

At a point distant z from the plane of the ring, and at a

distance  $\bar{r}$  from the axis of the ring, the electrostatic potential

$$U = -\frac{1}{\pi} E \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\vartheta}{\sqrt{(a^2 + r^2 + z^2 - 2ar \cos \vartheta)}}.$$

the notation Putting in this expression z=0 and  $\frac{r}{a}=\tan^2 a$ , and using

$$K(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2} & d\vartheta \\ \sqrt{(1-\sin^2\alpha\cos^2\vartheta)}, \end{cases}$$

in the plane of the ring we get for the radial force exerted on an electron in a point

$$e\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial r} = \frac{\mathbf{E}e}{r^2} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha),$$

where

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^4 \alpha (K(2\alpha) - \cot \alpha K'(2\alpha)).$$

The corresponding force perpendicular to the plane of the ring at a distance r from the centre of the ring and at a small distance  $\delta z$  from its plane is given by

$$e\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial z} = \frac{\mathbf{E}e\delta z}{r^2}\mathbf{R}(\alpha),$$

where

$$R(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^6 \alpha (K(2\alpha) + \tan (2\alpha)K'(2\alpha));$$

A short table of the functions  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{R}(\alpha)$  is given

on p. 485. Next consider a system consisting of a number of concentric

of Atoms and Molecules.

and the number of electrons on the different rings  $n_{1}$ , rings of electrons which rotate in the same plane round a nucleus of charge Ne. Let the radii of the rings be  $a_1, a_2, \ldots,$ 

Putting  $\frac{a_r}{a_s} = \tan^2(a_{r,s})$ , we get for the radial force acting on an electron in the rth ring  $\frac{r}{a_r^2} F_r$  where

$$\mathbf{F}_r = \mathbf{N} - s_n - \Sigma n_s \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_{r,s})$$
;

one considered. the summation is to be taken over all the rings except the

different rings, from the relation (1) on p. 478, we can, by help of the above, determine  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ . The calculation can be made by successive approximations, starting from a few forms of the calculation of the calcula gives  $\frac{\mathbf{F}_{s}}{\mathbf{F}_{s}} = \frac{a_{r}}{a_{s}} = \tan^{2}(\alpha_{r,s})$ , and so on. set of values for the &s, and from them calculating the F's, and then redetermining the &s by the relation (1) which If we know the distribution of the electrons in the

account. This interaction will involve that the quantities F are not constant, as for a single ring rotating round a nucleus, but will vary with the radii of the rings; the variation in F, however, if the ratio between the radii of the rings is not the result of the calculation. As in the case of a single ring it is supposed that the systems are stable for displacements of the electrons in the plane of their orbits. In a calculation such as that on p. 480, very near to unity, will be too small to be of influence on the interaction of the rings ought strictly to be taken into

inside the same ring are displaced in the same direction. The condition of stability for the first kind of displacements is given by the condition (5) on p. 481, if for every ring we replace N by a quantity  $G_r$  determined by the condition that  $\frac{e^2}{a_r^3}G_r \delta z$  is equal to the component perpendicular to the electrons in the other rings—acting on one of the electrons if it has received a small displacement  $\delta z$ . Using the same notation as above, we get plane of the ring of the force-due to the nucleus and the necessary to distinguish between displacements in which the centres of gravity of the electrons in the single rings are unaltered, and displacements in which all the electrons of the electrons perpendicular to the plane of the rings, it is Considering the stability of the systems for a displacement Using the same

$$G_r = N - \sum n_s R(\alpha_r, s)$$
.

same direction by help of extraneous forces, the displacement will produce corresponding displacements of the electrons in the other rings; and this interaction will be of influence on the stability. For example, consider a system charge Ne, and let us assume that the electrons in the of m concentric rings rotating in a plane round a nucleus of different rings are displaced perpendicular to the plane by  $\tilde{\Sigma}z_1, \tilde{\Sigma}z_2, \ldots, \tilde{\Sigma}z_m$  respectively. With the above notation the If all the electrons in one of the rings are displaced in the

 $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{N} \Sigma n_r \frac{e^2}{a_n^3} (\delta z_r)^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{t}} \Sigma \Sigma n_r n_s \frac{e^2}{a_r^3} \mathrm{R}(a_{r,\,s}) (\delta z_r - \delta z_s)^2.$ 

increase in the potential energy of the system is given by

system contains several rings of few electrons. influence compared with the condition of stability for the displacements considered above, except in cases where the for arbitrary values of  $\delta z_1, \ldots, \delta z_m$ . This condition can be worked out simply in the usual way. It is not of sensible The condition of stability is that this expression is positive

estimate of the order of magnitude of these functions:— The following Table, containing the values of Q(a) and R(a) for every fifth degree from  $a=20^{\circ}$  to  $a=70^{\circ}$ , gives an

_												
_	70	65	60	55	50	45	40	35	30	25	20	
	7.548	4.599	3-000	2.040	1.420	1.000	0.704	0-490	0.333 ~	0.217	0.132	tan² £.
_	1.013	1.037	1.093	1.233	1.708	:	0:373	0.080	0.021	0.005	0.001	Q(x).
-	1.041	1.115	1:301	1.839	4.438	:	1.549	0.217	0.048	0.011	0.002	R(æ).

outer rings on the dimensions of inner rings is very small, and that the corresponding effect of inner rings on outer is ratio of the radii of the rings is nearly unity the effect of  $\tan^2 \alpha$  indicates the ratio between the radii of the rings  $\tan^2\left(\alpha_{r,s}\right) = \frac{a_r}{a_s}.$ The values of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  show that unless the

the stability of outer is considerably greater than to neutralize a corresponding part of the charge of the nucleus.

The maximum number of electrons which the innermost to neutralize approximately the effect of a part of the charge on the nucleus corresponding to the number of electrons on between the radii is very great, the effect of inner rings on the stability of inner-though greater than the the dimensions—is small, but that unless the ratio The values of R(a) show that the effect of outer

ring can contain without being unstable is approximately equal to that calculated on p. 482 for a single ring rotating round a nucleus. For the outer rings, however, we get considerably smaller numbers than those determined by the condition (5) if we replace Ne by the total charge on the nucleus and on the electrons of inner rings.

If a system of rings rotating round a nucleus in a single plane is stable for small displacements of the electrons perpendicular to this plane, there will in general be no stable of the condition of the of the condition

configurations of the rings, satisfying the condition of the constancy of the angular momentum of the electrons, in which all the rings are not situated in the plane. An exception occurs in the special case of two rings containing nucleus, the electrons in the one ring being situated just opposite the intervals between the electrons in the other equal numbers of electrons; in this case there may be a stable configuration in which the two rings have equal radii and rotate in parallel planes at equal distances from the configuration in which all the electrons in the two rings are ring. The latter configuration, however, is unstable if the arranged in a single ring is stable.

# § 3. Constitution of Atoms containing very few Electrons.

corresponding elements, it will be attempted, in this section of the angular momentum of the electrons, together with elements arranged in order of increasing atomic weight. electrons in the atom is equal to the number which indicates the position of the corresponding element in the series of and the next, making use of the knowledge of the properties of the these considerations we shall assume that the number of the electrons may be expected to occur in the atoms. the general view of formation of atoms, however, and by the condition of stability, is in most cases not sufficient to letermine completely the constitution of the system. On As stated in § 1, the condition of the universal constancy to obtain indications of what configurations of may be expected to occur in the atoms. In

#### of Atoms and Molecules.

Exceptions to this rule will be supposed to occur only at such places in the series where deviation from the periodic law of the chemical properties of the elements are observed. In order to show clearly the principles used we shall first electrons. consider with some detail those atoms containing very few

used in § 2.  $n_1, n_2, \ldots$  are the numbers of electrons in the rings, starting from inside. By  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ a nucleus of charge Ne, satisfying the condition of the angular momentum of the electrons with the approximation we shall denote the radii and frequency of the rings taken in the same order. The total amount of energy W emitted by the formation of the system shall simply be denoted by For sake of brevity we shall, by the symbol  $N(n_1, n_2, ...)$ , refer to a plane system of rings of electrons rotating round  $\dot{\mathbb{W}} \left[ \mathbb{N}(n_1, n_2, \ldots) 
ight] .$ By  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ 

#### Hydrogen.

by a positive nucleus of charge e, and have shown that it is possible to account for the Balmer spectrum of hydrogen states in which the angular momentum of the electron round on the assumption of the existence of a series of stationary In Part I. we have considered the binding of an electron

of the spectrum was h is Planck's constant. The formula found for the frequencies the nucleus is equal to entire multiples of the value  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ , where

$$\nu = \frac{2\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^3} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_2^2} - \frac{1}{\tau_1^2}\right),$$

where  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are entire numbers. Introducing the values for e, m, and h used on p. 479, we get for the factor before the bracket  $3\cdot 1\cdot 10^{15}$  \*; the value observed for the constant in the Balmer spectrum is  $3\cdot 290\cdot 10^{15}$ .

we get  $\frac{2\pi^2\epsilon^4m}{\hbar^3}=3\cdot26$  .  $10^{15}$  in very close agreement with observations. (calculated by Planck's theory from the experiments of E. Warburg, G. Leithäuser, E. Hupka, and C. Müller, Ann. d. Phys. xl. p. 611 (1913))  $\frac{e}{m} = 5.81 \cdot 10^{17}$  (see P. Gmelin, *Ann. d. Phys.* xxviii. p. 1086 (1909) and values  $e=4.78 \cdot 10^{-10}$  (see R. A. Millikan, Brit. Assoc. Rep. 1912, p. 410), A. H. Bucherer, Ann. d. Phys. xxxvii. p. 597 (1912)), and  $\frac{e}{h} = 7.27.10^{10}$ \* This value is that calculated in the first part of the paper. Using the

of Atoms and Molecules.

get from the formula (1) and (2) in § 2, putting F=1, For the permanent state of a neutral hydrogen atom we

). 
$$a = \frac{h^2}{4\pi^2 e^2 m} = 0.55 \cdot 10^{-8}$$
,  $\omega = \frac{4\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^3} = 6.2 \cdot 10^{15}$ ,  $W = \frac{2\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^2} = 2.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$ .

value for the ionizing potential of a hydrogen atom, calculated by Sir J. J. Thomson from experiments on positive rays, is 11 volts \*. No other definite data, however, are available for hydrogen atoms. For sake of brevity, we shall in the following denote the values for a,  $\omega$ , and W correin the following denote the values for a,  $\omega$ , and we correin the following denote the values for a,  $\omega$ , and we correin the following denote the values for a,  $\omega$ , and we correin the following denote the values for a  $\omega$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\omega$ For We get 0.043, which corresponds to 13 volts; the These values are of the order of magnitude to be expected

sponding to the configuration 1(1) by  $a_0$ ,  $\omega_0$  and  $W_0$ . At distances from the nucleus, great in comparison with electrons. the system 1(1) will not exert sensible forces on free Since, however, the configuration:

1(2) 
$$a=1.33 a_0, \omega=0.563 \omega_0, W=1.13 W_0,$$

corresponds to a greater value for W than the configuration 1(1), we may expect that a hydrogen atom under certain acquire a double negative charge. only 0.54, a hydrogen atom cannot be expected to be able to conditions can acquire a negative charge. ment with experiments on positive rays. Since  $W[1(\tilde{g})]$ This is in agree-

electron by a nucleus of charge 2e, a spectrum is emitted, As shown in Part I, using the same assumptions as for hydrogen, we must expect that during the binding of an

$$u = \frac{2\pi^2 me^2}{h^3} \left( \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\tau_2}{2}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\tau_1}{2}\right)^2} \right),$$

ascribed to hydrogen. in the star & Puppis and the spectra recently observed by Fowler in experiments with vacuum tubes filled with a mixture of hydrogen and helium. This spectrum includes the spectrum observed by Pickering These spectra are generally

atom, we get For the permanent state of a positively charged helium

$$a = \frac{1}{2}a_0, \quad \omega = 4\omega_0, \quad W = 4W_0.$$
\* J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. xxiv. p. 218 (1912).

approximation, act on an electron as a simple nucleus of ation in which the two electrons have the same angular an assumption has already been used in Part I. in an attempt to explain the appearance of Rydberg's constant in the electron in the stationary states of a hydrogen atom. Such nucleus of charge 2e, we may therefore assume the existence of a series of stationary states in which the electron most radius of the bound electron, the system 2(1) will, to a close state of a neutral helium atom, we shall therefore adopt the from circular orbits would be very great. electrons would be so near to each other that the deviations the one outside the other. momentum round the nucleus and move in different orbits, however, hardly assume the existence of a stable configurformula for the line-spectrum of any element. configuration lightly bound moves approximately in the same way as the At distances from the nucleus great compared with the For a system consisting of two electrons and a In such a configuration the For the permanent We can,

 $a = 0.571 a_0$  $\omega = 3.06 \omega_0$  $W = 6.13 W_0$ 

$$W[2(2)] - W[2(1)] = 2.13 W_0,$$

firmly bound than the electron in a hydrogen atom. Using we see that both electrons in a neutral helium atom are more the values on p. 488, we get

$$2.13 \cdot \frac{W_0}{e} = 27 \text{ volts} \text{ and } 2.13 \frac{W_0}{h} = 6.6 \cdot 10^{15} \frac{1}{\text{sec.}};$$

sorption in helium determined by experiments on dispersion  $5.9 \cdot 10^{15} \frac{1}{\text{sec.}} \text{ f.}$ and the value for the frequency of the ultra-violet abobserved for the ionization potential in helium, 20.5 volt \* these values are of the same order of magnitude as the value

sponding to vibrations in the plane of the ring (see p. 480). The frequency of vibration of the whole ring perpendicular to the plane, calculated in the ordinary way (see p. 482), is The frequency in question may be regarded as corre-

corresponding to atmospheric pressure; these values, however, refer to double atmospheric pressure. Consequently the value there given for the number of electrons in a helium atom calculated from Drude's theory has \* J. Franck u. G. Hertz, Verh. d. Deutsch. Phys. Ges. xv. p. 34 (1913).
† C. and M. Cuthbertson, Proc. Roy. Soc. A. lxxxiv. p. 13 (1910). (In a previous paper (Phil. Mag. Jan. 1913) the author took the values for the refractive index in helium, given by M. and C. Cuthbertson, as to be divided by 2.)

given by  $\nu=3.27\,\omega_0$ . The fact that the latter frequency is great compared with that observed might explain that the number of electrons in a helium atom, calculated by help of Drude's theory from the experiments on dispersion, is only about two-thirds of the number to be expected. (Using

 $\frac{e}{m} = 5.31 \cdot 10^{17}$  the value calculated is 1.2.)

For a configuration of a helium nucleus and three electrons, re get

(3) 
$$a = 0.703 a_0$$
,  $\omega = 2.02 \omega_0$ ,  $W = 6.07 W_0$ .

Since W for this configuration is smaller than for the configuration 2(2), the theory indicates that a helium atom cannot acquire a negative charge. This is in agreement with experimental evidence, which shows that helium atoms have no "affinity" for free electrons \*.

In a later paper it will be shown that the theory offers a simple explanation of the marked difference in the tendency of hydrogen and helium atoms to combine into molecules.

In analogy with the eases of hydrogen and helium we must expect that during the binding of an electron by a nucleus of charge 3e, a spectrum is emitted, given by

$$u = \frac{2\pi^2 m e^4}{h^3} \left( \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \right).$$

On account of the great energy to be spent in removing all the electrons bound in a lithium atom (see below) the spectrum considered can only be expected to be observed in extraordinary cases.

In a recent note Nicholson† has drawn attention to the fact that in the spectra of certain stars, which show the Pickering spectrum with special brightness, some lines occur the frequencies of which to a close approximation can be expressed by the formula

$$\nu = K \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{(m \pm \frac{1}{3})^2} \right),$$

where K is the same constant as in the Balmer spectrum of hydrogen. From analogy with the Balmer- and Pickering-spectra, Nicholson has suggested that the lines in question are due to hydrogen.

\* See J. Franck, Verh. d. Deutsch. Phys. Ges. xii. p. 613 (1910). † J. W. Nicholson, Month. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. lxxiii. p. 382 (1913).

It is seen that the lines discussed by Nicholson are given by the above formula if we put  $\tau_2=6$ . The lines in question correspond to  $\tau_1=10,13$ , and 14; if we for  $\tau_2=6$  put  $\tau_1=9,12$  and 15, we get lines coinciding with lines of the ordinary Balmer-spectrum of hydrogen. If we in the above formula put  $\tau=1,2$ , and 3, we get series of lines in the ultra-violet. If we put  $\tau_2=4$  we get only a single line in visible spectrum, viz.: for  $\tau_1=5$  which gives  $\nu=6.662.10^{14}$ , or a wave-length  $\lambda=4503.10^{-8}$  cm. closely coinciding with the wave-length 4504.10<sup>-8</sup> cm. of one of the lines of unknown origin in the table quoted by Nicholson. In this table, however, no lines occur corresponding to  $\tau_2=5$ .

For the permanent state of a lithium atom with two positive charges we get a configuration

$$a = \frac{1}{3}a_0, \qquad \omega = 9\omega_0, \qquad W = 9W_0.$$

The probability of a permanent configuration in which two electrons move in different orbits around each other must for lithium be considered still less probable than for helium, as the ratio between the radii of the orbits would be still nearer to unity. For a lithium atom with a single positive charge we shall, therefore, adopt the configuration:

$$3(2)$$
  $a=0.364 a_0$ ,  $\omega=7.56 \omega_0$ ,  $W=15.13 W_0$ 

Since W[3(2)]—W[3(1)]=6·13 W<sub>0</sub>, we see that the first two electrons in a lithium atom are very strongly bound compared with the electron in a hydrogen atom; they are still more rigidly bound than the electrons in a helium atom.

From a consideration of the chemical properties we should expect the following configuration for the electrons in a neutral lithium atom:

This configuration may be considered as highly probable also from a dynamical point of view. The deviation of the outermost electron from a circular orbit will be very small, partly on account of the great values of the ratio between the radii, and of the ratio between the frequencies of the orbits of the inner and outer electrons, partly also on account of the symmetrical arrangement of the inner electrons. Accordingly, it appears probable that the three electrons

will not arrange themselves in a single ring and form the system:

although **3**(3) W for this configuration is greater than for  $a = 0.413 a_0$  $\omega = 5.87 \omega_0$  $W = 17.61 W_0$ 

3(2,1). Since  $W[3(2,1)]-W[3(2)]=0.89 W_0$ , we see that the Since  $W[3(2,1)]-W[3(2)]=0.89 W_0$ , we see that the is thus for the outer electron in lithium only 0.55, while for quantity G considered in § 2, which gives a kind of measure most electron in lithium lies also in the greater tendency of the latter electron to leave the plane of the orbits. The difference between the electron in hydrogen and the outer difference of 1.4 volts in the ionization potential. outer electron in the configuration 3 (2, 1) is bound even more lightly than the electron in a hydrogen atom. The difference of the configuration of the con elements. positive charge in chemical combinations with other tion of the apparent tendency of lithium atoms to take a hydrogen it is 1. This may have a bearing on the explanafor the stability for displacements perpendicular to this plane ference in the firmness of the binding corresponds to a A marked

expect the configuration: For a possible negatively charged lithium atom we may

hydrogen, or for most of the elements considered below. of the properties in the atomic state, either for lithium or It should be remarked that we have no detailed knowledge

#### Beryllium.

assume the following stages: lithium we may for the formation of a neutral beryllium atom For reasons analogous to those considered for helium and

4 (2, 2)	4 (2, 1)	4(2)	4(1)
$a_1 = 0.262 a_0$ $a_2 = 0.673 a_0$	$a_1 = 0.263 a_0$ $a_2 = 0.605 a_0$	$a = 0.267 a_0$	$a = 0.25 a_0$
$egin{array}{l} m{\omega}_1 \! = \! 14 \! \cdot \! 60  m{\omega}_0 \ m{\omega}_2 \! = \! 2 \! \cdot \! 21  m{\omega}_0 \end{array}$	$ \omega_1 = 14.46 \omega_0  \omega_2 = 2.74 \omega_0 $	$\omega = 14.06 \omega_0$	$\omega = 16 \omega_0$
$W = 33.61 W_0;$	$W = 31.65 W_0$	$W = 28.13 W_0$	$W=16 W_0$

although the configurations:

4 (3) 
$$a = 0.292 a_0$$
  $\omega = 11.71 \omega_0$  W = 35.14 W<sub>0</sub>,  
4 (4)  $a = 0.329 a_0$   $\omega = 9.26 \omega_0$  W = 37.04 W<sub>0</sub>,

of Atoms and Molecules.

figurations 4(2, 1) and 4(2, 2). correspond to less values for the total energy than the con-

From analogy we get further for the configuration of a possible negatively charged atom,

is only equal to 1·12. Since  $W[4(2,3)]-W[4(2,2)]=0.05 W_0$ , the beryllium atom, and partly because the quantity G, which for helium is equal to 2, for the outer ring in the configuration 4 (2,2) atom are more lightly bound than the electrons in a helium ring of a helium atom, we see that the presence of the inner ring of two electrons in the beryllium atom markedly changes electrons in the configuration adopted for a neutral beryllium the properties of the outer ring; partly because the outer Comparing the outer ring of the atom considered with the

for free electrons. atom will further have a definite, although very small affinity

## § 4. Atoms containing greater numbers of electrons.

theory gives very little information on this problem. It seems, however, possible by the help of simple considerations to throw some light on the question. electrons perpendicular to the plane of the orbits, the present confluence of two rings of electrons rotating round a nucleus appear that the problem of the arrangement of the electrons in the atoms is intimately connected with the question of the universal constancy of the angular momentum. outside each other, and satisfying the condition of the necessary conditions of stability for displacements of the From the examples discussed in the former section it will Apart from

along the circumference of the ring, and that the rings with this approximation satisfy the condition of the angular momentum of the electrons and of stability for displacements other as if the electric charge were uniformly distributed single plane, the one outside the other. the electrons in the one ring act upon the electrons in the Let us consider two rings rotating round a nucleus in a Let us assume that

perpendicular to their plane.

Now suppose that, by help of suitable imaginary extraneous forces acting parallel to the axis of the rings, we pull account of the repulsion from the inner ring, the outer will move to the opposite side of the original plane of the rings the inner ring slowly to one side. During this process, on

During the displacements of the rings the angular momentum of the electrons round the axis of the system will remain constant, and the diameter of the inner ring will increase while that of the outer will diminish. At the beginning of the displacement the magnitude of the extraneous forces to be applied to the original inner ring will increase but thereafter decrease, and at a certain distance between the plane of the rings the system will be in a configuration of equilibrium. This equilibrium, however, will not be stable. If we let the rings slowly return they will either reach their original position, or they will arrive at a position in which the ring, which originally was the outer, is now the inner, and vice versa.

If the charge of the electrons were uniformly distributed along the circumference of the rings, we could by the process considered at most obtain an interchange of the rings, but obviously not a junction of them. Taking, however, the discrete distribution of the electrons into account, it can be shown that, in the special case when the number of electrons on the two rings are equal, and when the rings rotate in the same direction, the rings will unite by the process, provided that the final configuration is stable. In this case the radii and the frequencies of the rings will be equal in the unstable configuration of equilibrium mentioned above. In reaching this configuration the electrons in the one ring will further be situated just opposite the intervals between the electrons in the other, since such an arrangement will correspond to the smallest total energy. If now we let the rings return to their original plane, the electrons in the one ring will pass into the intervals between the electrons in the other, and form a single ring. Obviously the ring thus formed will satisfy the same condition of the angular momentum of the electrons as the original rings.

If the two rings contain unequal numbers of electrons the system will during a process such as that considered behave very differently, and, contrary to the former case, we cannot expect that the rings will flow together, if by help of extraneous forces acting parallel to the axis of the system they are displaced slowly from their original plane. It may in this connexion be noticed that the characteristic for the displacements considered is not the special assumption about the extraneous forces, but only the invariance of the angular momentum of the electrons round the centre of the rings; displacements of this kind take in the present theory a similar position to arbitrary displacements in the ordinary mechanics.

The above considerations may be taken as an indication that there is a greater tendency for the confluence of two rings when each contains the same number of electrons. Considering the successive binding of electrons by a positive nucleus, we conclude from this that, unless the charge on the nucleus is very great, rings of electrons will only join together if they contain equal numbers of electrons; and that accordingly the numbers of electrons on inner rings will only be 2, 4, 8, .... If the charge of the nucleus is very great the rings of electrons first bound, if few in number, will be very close together, and we must expect that the configuration will be very unstable, and that a gradual interchange of electrons between the rings will be greatly facilitated.

greatly facilitated.

This assumption in regard to the number of electrons in the rings is strongly supported by the fact that the chemical properties of the elements of low atomic weight vary with a period of 8. Further, it follows that the number of electrons on the outermost ring will always be odd or even, according as the total number of electrons in the atom is odd or even. This has a suggestive relation to the fact that the valency of an element of low atomic weight always is odd or even according as the number of the element in the periodic series is odd or even

series is odd or even.

For the atoms of the elements considered in the former section we have assumed that the two electrons first bound are arranged in a single ring, and, further, that the two next electrons are arranged in another ring. If  $N \ge 4$  the configuration N(4) will correspond to a smaller value for the total energy than the configuration N(2,2). The greater the value of N the closer will the ratio between the radii of the rings in the configuration N(2,2) approach unity, and the greater will be the energy emitted by an eventual confluence of the rings. The particular member of the series of the elements for which the four innermost electrons will be arranged for the first time in a single ring cannot be determined from the theory. From a consideration of the chemical properties we can hardly expect that it will have taken place before boron (N=5) or carbon (N=6), on account of the observed trivalency and tetravalency respectively of these elements; on the other hand, the periodic system of the elements strongly suggests that already in neon (N=10) an inner ring of eight electrons will occur. Unless  $N \ge 14$  the configuration N(4,4) corresponds to a smaller value for the total energy than the configuration N(8); already

for  $N \ge 10$  the latter configuration, however, will be stable for displacements of the electrons perpendicular to the plane considerations mentioned above do not apply. unless N is very great; but in such a case the simple of their orbits. A ring of 16 electrons will not be stable

number, of electrons in the outer ring may be greater than in the next, and that the outer ring may show deviations from the assumption of 1, 2, 4, 8 electrons in the rings, e. g. the configurations 5 (2, 3) and 6 (2, 4) instead of the configurations 5 (2, 2, 1) and 6 (2, 2, 2). We shall here not discuss the normal valency of the corresponding element; i. e. for electronegative and electropositive elements respectively the number of hydrogen atoms and twice the number of oxygen electrons in the outer ring. In the scheme given below the number of electrons in this ring is arbitrarily put equal to round a nucleus of charge (N-n)e; for the stability of the rings for a displacement perpendicular to their plane will (see § 2) be smaller in the first than in the latter case. This further the intricate question of the arrangement of the electrons in the outer ring. In the scheme given below the dicular to the plane of the ring will be especially marked for the outer rings of electrons of a neutral atom. In the latter n electrons already bound, must be expected to take place case we must expect the confluence of rings to be greatly more easily than the confluence of two similar rings rotating which rotate round a nucleus of charge Ne outside a ring of facilitated, and in certain cases it may even happen that the tendency for stability to decrease for displacements perpen-The confluence of two rings of equal number of electrons,

electrons in the ring. Putting  $F = n - s_n$  in the equation (1) on p. 478, and denoting the value of a for n = 1 by  $a_0$ , we get for n = 2,  $a = 0.57a_0$ ; for n = 3,  $a = 0.41a_0$ ; and for n = 4,  $a = 0.33a_0$ . Accordingly the arrangement chosen atoms with which one atom of the element combines.

Such an arrangement of the outer electrons is suggested by considerations of atomic volumes. As is well known, the atomic volume of the elements is a periodic function of the atomic weights. If arranged in the usual way according to being greatest for columns corresponding to the smallest valency I and smallest for the greatest valency 4. An approximate estimate of the radius of the outer ring of a neutral atom can be obtained by assuming that the total that from a nucleus of charge ne, where n is the number of force due to the nucleus and the inner electrons is equal to volume changes considerably from one column to another, have approximately the same atomic volume, while this the periodic system, the elements inside the same column Accordingly the arrangement chosen

Atoms and Molecules.

for the electrons will involve a variation in the dimensions of the outer ring similar to the variation in the atomic atomic volumes in most cases are deduced from consideration be borne in mind that the experimental determinations of volumes of the corresponding elements. of molecules rather than atoms. the outer ring similar to the variation in the atomic It must, however,

for the arrangement of the electrons in light atoms:— From the above we are led to the following possible scheme

8 (4, 2, 2)	7 (4, 3)	6(2,4)	5 (2,3)	4 (2,2)	3 (2, 1)	2(2)	1 <sub>(1)</sub>
16 (8, 4, 2, 2)	15 (8, 4, 3)	14(8,2,4)	13 (8, 2, 3)	12 (8, 2, 2)	11(8,2,1)	10 (8, 2)	9 (4, 4, 1)
24 (8, 8, 4, 2, 2)	23 (8, 8, 4, 3)	22 (8, 8, 2, 4)	21 (8, 8, 2, 3)	20 (8, 8, 2, 2)	19 (8, 8, 2, 1)	18 (8, 8, 2)	17 (8, 4, 4, 1)

the elements similar with those observed. this constitution of the atoms will correspond to properties of Without any fuller discussion it seems not unlikely that

a period of 8. in every single group of the periodic system. A corresponding agreement holds for the variation of the atomic sponding to the observed increase of the electropositive weaker with increasing number of electrons per atom, correin every horizontal series of the above scheme will become volumes. character for an increase of atomic weight of the elements In the first place there will be a marked periodicity with Further, the binding of the outer electrons

chemical properties of the elements. At the end of the period of 8 elements we meet with the non-group. are suggested from consideration of the variations in assumptions used do not apply. A few indications, however, of higher atomic weight contain a recurrent configuration of 18 electrons in the innermost rings. the iron-group is no longer 8, but 18, suggests that elements the arrangement of the inner electrons. The fact that the period in the chemical properties of the elements after This circumsumve invested this group differ only included that the electrons. The fact that the This circumstance indicates that the configurations of the electrons in the elements of this group differ only in bouring atomic weights show similar chemical properties elements, since it is the first time that elements of neighgroup takes a particular position in the system of the In the case of atoms of higher atomic weight the simple At the end of the 3rd The deviation from

of Atoms and Molecules.

charge (N-18)e. It might therefore be possible that with increase of N another configuration of the same type will be formed outside the first, such as is suggested by the electrons in very nearly the same way as a nucleus of arranged in two parallel rings (see p. 486). Such a conbetween the rings, such as is indicated on p. 495. Since a ring of 18 electrons will not be stable the electrons may be 2, 4, 8, 16 may be due to a gradual interchange of electrons figuration of the inner electrons will act upon the outer

those between the elements of low atomic weight, we may conclude that the configuration of the innermost electrons presence of a second period of 18 elements.

On the same lines, the presence of the group of the rare earths indicates that for still greater values of N another sufficiently complete to give a definite answer to such will be again repeated. chemical properties with the atomic weight are similar to Since, however, for elements of higher atomic weight than those of this group, the laws connecting the variation of the gradual alteration of the innermost rings will take place. The theory, however, is not

### § 5. Characteristic Röntgen Radiation.

of cathode particles. This view of the origin of the characteristic Röntgen radiation has been proposed by Sir J. J. Thomson\*. during the reformation of an atom when one or more of the out during the settling down of the system if electrons in electrons in the outer rings are removed. In analogy it may inner rings are removed by some agency, e.g. by impact of cathode particles. This view of the origin of the be supposed that the characteristic Röntgen radiation is sent Part I., the ordinary line-spectrum of an element is emitted According to the theory of emission of radiation given in

calculating the energy necessary to remove one of the electrons from the different rings. Even if we knew the can, however, obtain very simply an approximate comparison Without any special assumption in regard to the constitu-tion of the radiation, we can from this view determine the minimum velocity of the cathode rays necessary to produce mentioned in Part I., p. 19, the calculation cannot be result largely dependent on the assumptions used; for, as this minimum energy might still be complicated, and the numbers of electrons in the rings, a rigorous calculation of the characteristic Röntgen radiation of a special type by formed entirely on the basis of the ordinary mechanics.

\* Comp. J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. xxiii. p. 456 (1912)

consider a simple system consisting of a bound electron rotating in a circular orbit round a positive nucleus of charge Ne. From the expressions (1) on p. 478 we get for the velocity of the electron, putting F=N, with experiments if we consider the innermost ring and as a first approximation neglect the repulsion from the electrons in comparison with the attraction of the nucleus. Let us

$$v = \frac{2\pi e^2}{h}$$
N=2·1·10<sup>8</sup>N

tron, the smallest kinetic energy possessed by the latter be equal to the kinetic energy of the bound electron before the collision. The velocity of the free electron therefore when at a great distance from the nucleus must necessarily from the nucleus by impact of another rapidly moving elecnucleus is equal to the kinetic energy of the bound electron. to remove the electron to an infinite distance from the ff, therefore, The total energy to be transferred to the system in order the electron is removed to a great distance

must be at least equal to v.

According to Whiddington's experiments \* the velocity of cathode rays just able to produce the characteristic Röntgen radiation of the so-called K-type—the hardest type of radia-As seen this is equal to the above calculated value for  $v_j$  if tion observed—from an element of atomic weight A is for elements from Al to Se approximately equal to A.10° cm./sec.

we put 
$$N = \frac{A}{2}$$
.

Since we have obtained approximate agreement with experiment by ascribing the characteristic Röntgen radiation of the K-type to the innermost ring, it is to be expected that no harder type of characteristic radiation will exist. This is strongly indicated by observations of the penetrating power of  $\gamma$  rayst.

to remove an electron from the innermost ring. nearly the right value for the energy required to remove an electron from the outer ring, but also the energy required atomic weight 70 differ by a ratio of 1000. mental values is all the more striking when it is recalled approximate agreement between the calculated and experithat the energies required in the two cases for an element of It is worthy of remark that the theory gives not only

In connexion with this it should be emphasized that the

\* R. Whiddington, Proc. Roy. Soc. A. Ixxxv. p. 323 (1911).
† Comp. E. Rutherford, Phil. Mag. xxiv. p. 453 (1912).

of Atoms and Molecules.

different stationary states is homogeneous.

Putting in (4) F=N, we get for the diameter of the experiments on diffraction of Röntgen rays in crystals—is radiation emitted during the passing of the systems between in agreement with the main assumption used in Part I. (see rays, as well as by the interference observed in recent radiation-indicated by experiments on absorption of the remarkable homogeneity of the characteristic in considering the emission of line-spectra, viz. that the Röntgen

innermost ring approximately  $2a = \frac{1}{N} \cdot 10^{-8}$  cm.

N=100 this gives  $2a=10^{-10}\,\mathrm{cm}$ , a value which is very small in comparison with ordinary atomic dimensions but still very great compared with the dimensions to be expected dimensions of the latter are of the same order of magnitude as for the nucleus. According to Rutherford's calculation the

#### Radioactive Phenomena.

sought elsewhere than in the electronic distribution round the nucleus. theory the origin of the latter phenomena may therefore be to the phenomena of radioactivity, and according to the properties of matter. It is, however, in striking opposition the energy emitted is a maximum. The stability involved by and the configuration is determined by the condition that surrounding the nucleus is formed with emission of energy, these assumptions seems to be in agreement with the general According to the present theory the cluster of electrons

sion of an a-particle can hardly be expected to produce a lasting effect on the stability of the cluster of electrons. The effect of the expulsion will be of two different kinds. Partly the particle may collide with the bound electrons during its expulsion of a 3-particle from the cluster of electrons surrounding the nucleus would be something quite foreign to expulsion of  $\beta$ -rays. by a-rays and cannot be expected to give rise to a subsequent that produced by bombardment of atoms of other substances sary that the nucleus is the seat of the expulsion of the in the nucleus. On the present theory it seems also necesstructure of atoms is that the &-particles have their origin passing through the atom. This effect will be analogous the assumed properties of the system. Further, the expulhigh-speed &-particles. A necessary consequence of Rutherford's theory of the Partly the expulsion of the particle In the first place, the spontaneous

> round a nucleus of charge (N-2)e. The consideration of this simple case strongly indicates that the expulsion of an  $\alpha$ -particle will not have a lasting effect on the stability of the internal rings of electrons in the residual atom. expand continuously, and after the expulsion will take the high atomic weight—the ring during the expulsion will trons—as it will be if we consider inner rings of an atom of a-particle is small compared with the velocity of the elecmomentum of the electrons; and if the velocity of the will obviously not produce any alteration in the angular is expelled from the nucleus in a direction perpendicular a nucleus of charge Ne, and let us assume that an a-particle effect let us regard a single ring of electrons rotating round different from the original. electrons, since the charge remaining on the nucleus position claimed by the theory for a stable ring rotating round a nucleus of charge (N-2)e. The consideration of will involve an alteration in the configuration of the bound In order to consider the latter

right, the fact that two apparently identical elements emit  $\beta$ -particles of different velocities, shows that the  $\beta$ -rays as well as the  $\alpha$ -rays have their origin in the nucleus. stances is greater than the number of places at our disposal in the periodic system. If, however, the assumption is suggested by the fact that the number of radioactive subwell as the configuration of the surrounding electrons, was identical in some of the elements, the only difference being the mass and the internal constitution of the nucleus. From radio-active properties and atomic weight but identical in all show the same line-spectrum\*. It has been suggested several writers that the substances are different only sidered from another point of view, based on a consideration of the chemical and physical properties of the radioactive the considerations of § 4 this assumption is already strongly theory, this would mean that the charge on the nucleus, as other physical and chemical respects. According to the resisted every attempt to separate them by chemical means. have very similar chemical properties and have hitherto substances. As is well known, several of these substances There is also some evidence that the substances in question The question of the origin of  $\beta$ -particles may also be con-

perties of the radioactive substances is connected with the simply the way in which the change in the chemical pro-This view of the origin of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -particles explains very

<sup>\*</sup> See A. S. Russell and R. Rossi, Proc. Roy. Soc. A. lxxxvii. p. 478

nature of the particles emitted. The results of experiments are expressed in the two rules \*...

I. Whenever an a-particle is expelled the group in the periodic system to which the resultant product belongs is two units less than that to which the parent body belongs.

2. Whenever a  $\beta$ -particle is expelled the group of the resultant body is I unit greater than that of the parent. As will be seen this is exactly what is to be expected according to the considerations of § 4.

from elements of lower atomic weight by impact of cathoderays. The assumption that the emission of γ-rays is due to of homogeneous \(\beta\)-rays expelled from certain radioactive collisions of \(\theta\)-rays with bound electrons is proposed by In escaping from the nucleus, the  $\beta$ -rays may be expected to collide with the bound electrons in the inner rings. This will give rise to an emission of a characteristic radiation of Rutherford † in order to account for the numerous groups the same type as the characteristic Röntgen radiation emitted

In the present paper it has been attempted to show that the application of Planck's theory of radiation to Ruther-ford's atom-model through the introduction of the hypothesis bound electrons, leads to results which seem to be in agreement of the universal constancy of the angular momentum of the with experiments.

containing more than one nucleus. In a later paper the theory will be applied to systems

From the Philosophical Magazine for November 1913.

On the Constitution of Atoms and Molecules. By N. Вонв, Dr. phil., Copenhagen \*.

PART III.—Systems containing Several Nuclei †.

#### § 1. Preliminary.

consists of a cluster of electrons surrounding a single atoms, the difference between an atom of an element and a molecule of a chemical combination is that the first other comparable with the distances apart of the electrons in positive nucleus of exceedingly small dimensions and of a mass great in comparison with that of the electrons, while A CCORDING to Rutherford's theory of the structure of atoms, the difference between the control of the structure of the latter contains at least two nuclei at distances from each

the surrounding cluster.

The leading idea used in the former papers was that the atoms were formed through the successive binding by the nucleus of a number of electrons initially nearly at rest.

<sup>\*</sup> See A. S. Russell, Chem. News, cvii. p. 49 (1913); G. v. Hevesy, Phys. Zeitschr. xiv. p. 49 (1913); K. Fajans, Phys. Zeitschr. xiv. pp. 131 & 136 (1913); Verh. d. deutsch. Phys. Ges. xv. p. 240 (1913); F. Soddy, Chem. News, cvii. p. 97 (1913).
† E. Rutherford, Phil. Mag. xxiv. pp. 453 & 893 (1912).

<sup>\*</sup> Communicated by Prof. E. Rutherford, F.R.S.

† Part I. and Part II. were published in Phil. Mag. xxvi. p. 1 & p. 476

sidering the formation of a system containing more than a Such a bind a small number of electrons, on the contrary, two nuclei highly charged obviously cannot be kept together by the help of a few electrons. We must therefore assume that single nucleus carrying a large positive charge is able to single nucleus; for in the latter case there will be nothing configurations containing several nuclei are formed by the electrons. to keep the nuclei together during the binding of the which already have bound a number of electrons. interaction of systems—each containing a single nucleus conception, however, cannot be utilized in con-In this connexion it may be noticed that while a

Part I. According to this, the angular momentum of every electron round the centre of its orbit is equal to a universal already formed. We shall consider only the simple case of a system consisting of two nuclei and of a ring of electrons rotating round the line connecting them; the result of the equilibrium can be deduced by help of the ordinary mechanics. former papers, we shall assume that the conditions of are to be expected in more complicated cases. calculation, however, gives indication of what configurations the systems, however, we shall use the main hypothesis of In determining the absolute dimensions and the stability of § 2 deals with the configuration and stability of a system As in the

stability is determined by the condition that the total energy value  $\frac{h}{2\pi}$ , where h is Planck's constant; further, the

of the system is less than in any neighbouring configuration satisfying the same condition of the angular momentum of

molecule is discussed in some detail. In § 3 the configuration to be expected for a hydrogen

possible to follow, step by step, the combination of two atoms to form a molecule. The configuration obtained will be shown to satisfy the conditions used in § 2. The part played in the considerations by the angular momentum of the electrons strongly supports the validity of the main hyposimple method of procedure is indicated, by which it is § 4 deals with the mode of formation of the systems.

electrons expected for systems containing a § 5 contains a few indications of the configurations to be spected for systems containing a greater number of

§ 2. Configurations and Stability of the Systems.

Let us consider a system consisting of two positive nuclei of equal charges and a ring of electrons rotating round the line connecting them. Let the number of electrons in the ring be n, the charge of an electron -e, and the charge on 2b is given by be in equilibrium if the nuclei are the same distance apart from the plane of the ring and if the ratio between the diameter of the ring 2a and the distance apart of the nuclei each nucleus Ne. As can be simply shown, the system will line connecting them.

 $b = a \left( \left( \frac{4n}{N} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$  $\Xi$ 

such that for each of the electrons the centrifugal force balances the radial force due to the attraction of the nuclei and the repulsion of the other electrons. shown in Part II. p. 478, force by  $\frac{\epsilon}{a^2}$ F, we get from the condition of the universal provided that the frequency of revolution  $\omega$  is of a magnitude constancy of the angular momentum of the electrons, as Denoting this

 $a = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 e^2 m} F^{-1}$  and  $\omega = \frac{4\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^3} \operatorname{F}^2.$ છ

to infinite distances from each other is equal to the total The total energy necessary to remove all the charged particles kinetic energy of the electrons and is given by

$$W = \frac{2\pi^2 e^4 m}{h^2} \Sigma F^2 \dots \dots (3)$$

For the system in question we have

$$F = \frac{N^2}{2n} \left( \left( \frac{4n}{N} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{3}{n}} - s_n, \quad . \quad . \quad (4)$$

where

$$s_n = \sum_{s=1}^{s=n-1} \operatorname{cosec} \frac{s\pi}{n};$$

a table of  $s_n$  is given in Part II. on p. 482. To test the stability of the system we have to consider displacements of the orbits of the electrons relative to the nuclei, and also displacements of the latter relative to each

A calculation based on the ordinary mechanics gives that

the systems are unstable for displacements of the electrons in the plane of the ring. As for the systems considered in Part II., we shall, however, assume that the ordinary principles of mechanics cannot be used in discussing the problem in question, and that the stability of the systems for the displacements considered is secured through the introduction of the hypothesis of the universal constancy of the angular momentum of the electrons. This assumption is included in the condition of stability stated in § 1. It should be noticed that in Part II. the quantity F was taken as a constant, while for the systems considered here, F, for fixed positions of the nuclei, varies with the radius of the ring. A simple calculation, however, similar to that given in Part II. on p. 480, shows that the increase in the total energy of the system for a variation of the radius of the ring from a to a +ba, neglecting powers of ba greater than the second, is given by

 $\delta(P+T) = T\left(1 + \frac{a}{F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial a}\right) \left(\frac{\delta a}{a}\right)^{2},$ 

where T is the total kinetic energy and P the potential energy of the system. Since for fixed positions of the nuclei F increases for increasing a (F=0 for  $\dot{a}$ =0; F=2N- $s_n$  for a= $\infty$ ), the term dependent on the variation of F will be positive, and the system will consequently be stable for the displacement in question.

From considerations exactly corresponding to those given in Part II. on p. 481, we get for the condition of stability for displacements of the electrons perpendicular to the plane of the ring

 $G > p_{n,o} - p_{n,m}, \ldots (5)$ 

where  $p_{n,o}-p_{n,m}$  has the same signification as in Part II.,

and  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  Gôz denotes the component, perpendicular to the plane of the ring, of the force due to the nuclei, which acts upon one of the electrons in the ring when it has suffered a small displacement  $\delta z$  perpendicular to the plane of the ring. As for the systems considered in Part II., the displacements can be imagined to be produced by the effect of extraneous forces acting upon the electrons in direction parallel to the axis of the system.

For a system of two nuclei each of charge Ne and with z ring of n electrons, we find

$$G = \frac{N^2}{2n} \left( \left( \frac{4n}{N} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \left( 1 - 3 \left( \frac{N}{4n} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \right). \quad . \quad . \quad (6)$$

of Atoms and Molecules.

By help of this expression and using the table for  $p_{n,o} - p_{n,m}$  given on p. 482 in Part II., it can be simply shown that the system in question will not be stable unless N=1 and n equal to 2 or 3.

In considering the stability of the systems for a displacement of the nuclei relative to each other, we shall assume that the motions of the nuclei are so slow that the state of motion of the electrons at any moment will not differ sensibly from that calculated on the assumption that the nuclei are at rest. This assumption is permissible on acount of the great mass of the nuclei compared with that of the electrons, which involves that the vibrations resulting from a displacement of the nuclei are very slow compared with those due to a displacement of the electrons. For a system consisting of a ring of electrons and two nuclei of equal charge, we shall thus assume that the electrons at any moment during the displacement of the nuclei move in circular orbits in the plane of symmetry of the latter.

Let us now imagine that, by help of extraneous forces acting on the nuclei, we slowly vary the distance between them. During the displacement the radius of the ring of electrons will vary in consequence of the alteration of the radial force due to the attraction of the nuclei. During this variation the angular momentum of each of the electrons round the line connecting the nuclei will remain constant. If the distance apart of the nuclei increases, the radius of the ring will obviously also increase; the radius, however, will increase at a slower rate than the distance between the nuclei. For example, imagine a displacement in which the distance as well as the radius are both increased to a times their original value. In the new configuration the radial force acting on an electron from the nuclei and the other electrons is  $\frac{1}{a^2}$  times that in the original

configuration. From the constancy of the angular momentum of the electrons during the displacement, it further follows that the velocity of the electrons in the new configuration is  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  times, and the centrifugal force  $\frac{1}{\alpha^3}$  times that in the original. Consequently, the radial force is greater than the centrifugal force.

On account of the distance between the nuclei increasing faster than the radius of the ring, the attraction on one of the nuclei due to the ring will be greater than the repulsion from the other nucleus. The work done during the displacement by the extraneous forces acting on the nuclei will therefore

of Atoms and Molecules.

angular momentum, which is common both for the ordinary use of any new assumption on the dynamics of the electrons, noticed that in the above considerations we have not made ment. Obviously the same result will hold in the case of the distance between the nuclei diminishing. It may be be positive, and but have only used the principle of the invariance of the the system will be stable for the displace-

mechanics and for the main hypothesis of § 1.

radius of the orbits is varied until the radial equilibrium is restored, the energy of the system will decrease. This for the condition of stability for displacements perpendicular to the plane of the ring. This condition, however, will not be sufficient to secure the stability of the system. For a dis-For a system consisting of a ring of electrons and two nuclei of unequal charge, the investigation of the stability is the plane of the ring divides the line connecting the nuclei. As a consequence, the full discussion of the general case is will the radius of the ring vary but also the ratio in which the calculation of stability for displacements of the nuclei. For a variation of the distance apart of the nuclei not only condition of stability of § 1. Similar complications arise in circumstance nust be taken into account in applying the be in equilibrium with the centrifugal force, and, if the he of the same order of magnitude as the displacement; therefore, in the new configuration the radial force will not placement of the electrons perpendicular to the plane of the be sufficient to secure the stability of the system. of the ring; also an expression corresponding to (5) will hold always stable for displacements of the electrons in the plane be unstable unless the charges on the nuclei are small and the rather lengthy; an approximate numerical calculation, however, shows that the systems, as in the former case, will ring, the variation of the radial force due to the nuclei will more complicated. As before, we find that the systems are

ring contains very few electrons.

The above considerations suggest configurations of systems, consisting of two positive nuclei and a number of electrons, which are consistent with the arrangement of the electrons which are consistent with the arrangement of the electrons. differently rotating in a ring round the line connecting the nuclei. The latter ring, which keeps the system together, represents the chemical "bond." and that only a few of the outer electrons will be arranged nucleus approximately as if the other nucleus were absent greater part of the electrons must be arranged around each great charges, it follows that in a stable configuration the to be expected in molecules of chemical combinations. thus consider a neutral system containing two nuclei with If we

> consisting of a single ring rotating round the line connecting two nuclei of minute dimensions. A detailed discussion, confine ourselves to systems containing very few electrons. of such a ring can be obtained by considering simple systems from a few indications given in § 5, we shall in this paper into account, involves elaborate numerical calculations. Apart however, of the configuration of systems containing a greater number of electrons, taking the effect of inner rings A first rough approximation of the possible configuration

#### § 3. Systems containing few Electrons. The Hydrogen Molecule.

Among the systems considered in § 2 and found to be stable the system formed of a ring of two electrons and of to the theory, may be expected to represent a neutral two nuclei of charge e is of special interest, as it, according hydrogen molecule.

Denoting the radius of the ring by a and the distances apart of the nuclei from the plane of the ring by b, we get from (1), putting N=1 and n=2,

 $\sqrt{3}a$ ;

from (4) we further get

$$F = \frac{3\sqrt{3} - 1}{4} = 1.049.$$

of a, w, and W for a system consisting of a single electron rotating round a nucleus of charge e (a hydrogen atom) by  $a_0$ ,  $\omega_0$ , and  $W_0$ , From (2) and (3) we get, denoting as in Part II. the values

$$a=0.95 a_0$$
,  $\omega=1.10 \omega_0$ ,  $W=2.20 W_0$ .

combine into a molecule with emission of energy. Putting  $W_0=2\cdot0\cdot10^{-11}$  erg (comp. Part II. p. 488) and  $N=6\cdot2\cdot10^{23}$ , where N is the number of molecules in a gram-molecule, we get for the energy emitted during the formation of a gram-molecule of hydrogen from hydrogen atoms  $(W-2W_0)N=2\cdot5\cdot10^{12}$ , which corresponds to  $6\cdot0\cdot10^4$  cal. This value is of the state of the stat by measuring the heat conduction through the gas from an incandescent wire in hydrogen. On account of the indirect siderably less than the value 13.104 cal. found by Langmuir is of the right order of magnitude; it is, however, con-Since W > 2Wo, it follows that two hydrogen atoms

\* I. Langmuir, Journ. Amer. Chem. Soc. xxxiv. p. 860 (1912).

method employed it seems difficult to estimate the accuracy to be ascribed to the latter value. In order to bring the theoretical value in agreement with Langmuir's value, the be only 2/3 of that adopted; this seems, however, difficult to magnitude of the angular momentum of the electrons should reconcile with the agreement obtained on other points.

axis of the system we get vibration of the whole ring in the direction parallel to the From (6) we get  $G = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16} = 0.325$ . For the frequency of

$$\nu = \omega_0 \sqrt{G \frac{a_0^3}{a_0^3}} = 0.61 \omega_0 = 3.8.10^{15} \text{ 1/sec.}$$

of radiation absorbed by the system and corresponding to vibrations of the electrons in the plane of the ring cannot be calculated from the ordinary mechanics, but is determined hydrogen atom. If we consider the latter state as one of the stationary states in question we get assume that the removing of one of the electrons will lead to figuration consisting of two nuclei and a single electron states of the system. Since we have seen in § 2 that a conthe difference in energy between two different stationary by the relation  $h\nu = \mathbb{E}$ , where h is Planck's constant, and  $\mathbb{E}$ the breaking up of the molecule into a single nucleus and a rotating round the line between them is unstable, we may We have assumed in Part I. and Part II. that the frequency

$$E=W-W_0=1.20W_0$$
, and  $\nu=1.2\frac{W_0}{h}=3.7.10^{15} \text{ I/sec.}$ 

vibrations parallel and perpendicular to the plane of the ring are nearly equal. As mentioned in Part II., the number of the number of electrons in a hydrogen molecule. The latter result might have connexion with the fact that the frequencies line in hydrogen calculated from experiments on dispersion is  $\nu=3.5\cdot10^{15}$  1/sec.\* Further, a calculation from such expedispersion; in the helium system, however, the frequency v.h=E agrees closely with the frequency observed from hydrogen molecule, the frequency determined by the relation expected in the atom, viz. two. For a helium atom, as for a dispersion is only about 2/3 of the number of electrons to be electrons in a helium atom calculated from experiments on calculated above for the radiation absorbed corresponding to riments based on Drude's theory gives a value near two for The value for the frequency of the ultra-violet absorption

\* C. and M. Cuthbertson, Proc. Roy. Soc. lxxxiii. p. 151 (1910)

corresponding to vibrations perpendicular to the plane of of Atoms and Molecules.

in question, and consequently of negligible influence on the the ring is more than three times as great as the frequency

radius of the ring is equal to y, and the distance apart of the nuclei 2x. The radial force acting on one of the electrons and due to the attraction from the nuclei and the system corresponding to displacement of the nuclei relative to each other, let us consider a configuration in which the repulsion from the other electron is In order to determine the frequency of vibration of the

$$R = \frac{2e^{2}y}{(y^{2} + x^{2})^{\frac{2}{3}}} - \frac{e^{2}}{4y^{2}}.$$

proportional to F: Therefore, during the displacement considered,  $\mathrm{R}y^3$  remains constant. This gives by differentiation during which the radial force balances the centrifugal force due to the rotation of the electrons, and the angular momenhave seen on p. 859 that the radius of the ring is inversely tum of the latter remains constant. Putting  $R = \frac{\epsilon}{y^2}F$ , we Let us now consider a slow displacement of the system

$$(8y^5 + 32y^3x^2 - (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})dy - 24xy^4dx = 0.$$

Introducing x=b and y=a, we get

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{27}{21\sqrt{3-4}} = 0.834.$$

from the ring and the repulsion from the other nucleus is The force acting on one of the nuclei due to the attraction

$$Q = \frac{2e^3x}{(x + y^2)^{\frac{2}{3}}} - \frac{e^3}{4x^2}.$$

For x=b, y=a this force is equal to 0.

putting  $Q = \frac{e^2}{a^3} H \delta x$ , which  $x=a+\delta x$  we get, using the above value for  $\frac{dy}{dx}$ Corresponding to a small displacement of the system for

$$H = \frac{27}{16} \left( \sqrt{3} - \frac{dy}{dx} \right) = 1.515.$$

placement in question we get, denoting the mass of one of For the frequency of vibration corresponding to the dis-

the nuclei by M,

$$\nu = \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}} \frac{a_0^3}{a^3} = 1.32 \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}.$$
Putting  $\frac{M}{m} = 1835$  and  $\omega_0 = 6.2 \cdot 10^{15}$ , we get  $\nu = 1.91 \cdot 10^{14}$ .

a strong argument in support of a constitution of a hydrogen molecule like that adopted here, compared with model-molecules in which the chemical bond is assumed to have its This frequency is of the same order of magnitude as that calculated by Einstein's theory from the variation of the intra-red absorption in hydrogen gas might be considered as symmetrical structure of the system and the great ratio between the frequencies corresponding to displacements of gas corresponding to this frequency is observed. This is, however, just what we should expect on account of the symmetrical structure of the system and the great ratio specific heat of hydrogen gas with temperature \*. the electrons and of the nuclei. The complete absence of the other hand, no absorption of radiation in hydrogen

origin in an opposite charge of the entering atoms.
As will be shown in § 5, the frequency calculated above can be used to estimate the frequency of vibration of more complicated systems for which an infra-red absorption is observed.

dicular to the plane of the ring. A calculation gives hree electrons rotating between them will, as mentioned in 2, also be stable for displacements of the electrons perpen-The configuration of two nuclei of charge e and a ring of

$$\frac{b}{z} = 0.486$$
, G = 0.623, and F = 0.879;

and further,

$$a = 1.14a_0$$
,  $\omega = 0.77\omega_0$ ,  $W = 2.32W_0$ .

Since W is greater than for the system consisting of two nuclei and two electrons, the system in question may be considered as representing a negatively charged hydrogen molecule. Proof of the existence of such a system has been obtained by Sir J. J. Thomson in his experiments on positive

A system consisting of two nuclei of charge e and a single

\* See N. Bjerrum, Zeitschr. f. Elektrochem. xvii. p. 731 (1911); xviii. p. 101 (1912). † J. J. Thonson, Phil. Mag. xxiv. p. 253 (1912).

planation, however, might be sought in the special conditions under which the systems are observed. We are probably dealing in such a case not with the formation of a stationary system by a regular interaction of systems containing single nuclei (see the next section), but rather with a delay in the positive rays may therefore at first sight be considered as a serious difficulty for the present theory. A possible exequilibrium G<0. electron rotating in a circular orbit round the line connecting breaking up of a configuration brought about by the sudden removal of one of the electrons by impact of a single particle. the nuclei, is unstable for a displacement of the electron positively charged hydrogen molecules in experiments on perpendicular to its orbit, since in the configuration of The explanation of the appearance of

charges e and 2e. one consisting of a ring of three electrons and two nuclei of Another stable configuration containing a few electrons is A numerical calculation gives

$$\frac{b_1}{a} = 1.446, \quad \frac{b_2}{a} = 0.137, \quad F = 1.552,$$

where a is the radius of the ring and  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  the distances apart of the nuclei from the plane of the ring. By help of (2) and (3) we further get

$$a = 0.644a_0$$
,  $\omega = 2.41\omega_0$ ,  $W = 7.22W_0$ ,

from each other. In spite of the fact that W is greater than the sum of the values of W for a hydrogen and a helium atom ( $W_0+6\cdot13W_0$ ; comp. Part II. p. 489), the configuration in question cannot, as will be shown in the next section, be considered to represent a possible molecule of hydrogen and energy necessary to remove the particles to infinite distances where  $\omega$  is the frequency of revolution and W the total helium.

The vibration of the system corresponding to a displacement of the nuclei relative to each other shows features charge e and two electrons. If, for example, the distance between the nuclei is increased, the ring of electrons will approach the nucleus of charge 2e. Consequently, the ot radiation. different from the system considered above of two nuclei of ribration must be expected to be connected with an absorption

### § 4. Formation of the Systems.

binding of electrons, such as we have assumed for the taining more than one nucleus are formed by successive As mentioned in § 1, we cannot assume that systems con-

Atoms and Molecules.

single nuclei, which already have bound electrons. systems are formed by the interaction of others, containing the simplest possible case, viz., hydrogen atoms to form a molecule. now consider this problem more closely, starting with the combination of two

equilibrium of the electrons for every position of the nuclei acting on the nuclei, we make these approach each other the displacements, however, being so slow that the dynamical electrons, and imagine that by help of extraneous forces comparison with the linear dimensions of the orbits of the Consider two hydrogen atoms at a distance apart great in

is the same as if the latter were at rest.

ring of electrons and the distance apart of the nuclei will increase, and the system will pass through a configuration in which it will be in equilibrium without the application approach each other more and more, until finally for a certain distance apart of the nuclei the planes will coincide, of extraneous forces on the nuclei. the electrons being arranged in a single ring rotating in the plane of symmetry of the nuclei. During the further approach of the nuclei the ratio between the diameter of the beginning of the process approach each other at a higher rate than do the nuclei. By the continued displacement of the latter the planes of the orbits of the electrons will the approach of the nuclei, the direction of the planes of the orbits of the electrons and the difference in phase will be unaltered. The planes of the orbits, however, will at the planes perpendicular to the straight line connecting the nuclei, and that the direction of rotation is the same and the difference in phase equal to half a revolution. During the approach of the nuclei, the direction of the planes of Suppose that the electrons originally rotate in parallel

obtained will therefore be identical with the one adopted in § 3 for a hydrogen molecule. As there shown, the consystem will therefore have done work against the extraneous energy than the one corresponding to two isolated atoms will remain constant, and the configuration of equilibrium of each of the electrons round the line connecting the nuclei addition, during the whole operation the angular momentum it can be simply shown that at any moment during this process the configuration of the electrons is stable for a displacement perpendicular to the plane of the orbits. forces acting on the nuclei; this fact may be expressed by During the process, the forces between the particles of the figuration will correspond to a smaller value for the total By help of a calculation similar to that indicated in §

> direction as to diminish the distance between the nuclei; nuclei, due to the particles of the system, will be in such a to the configuration of equilibrium, the forces acting on the distance apart of the nuclei greater than that corresponding saying that the atoms have "attracted" each other during while for any smaller distance the forces will have the the combination. A closer calculation shows that for any

be recalled that the latter configuration was deduced directly by help of the principal hypothesis of the universal constancy of the angular momentum of the classical constancy. step without introducing any new assumption on the opposite direction.

By means of these considerations, a possible process is peratures. In assuming a special arrangement of the electrons at the beginning of the process, very little information, however, is obtained by this method on the chance atoms. It may be remarked that the assumption in regard to the slowness of the motion of the nuclei relative to those of the electrons is satisfied to a high degree of approximation in a collision between two atoms of a gas at ordinary temsiderations also offer an explanation of the "affinity" of two dynamics of the electrons, and leads to the same conindicated for the combination of two hydrogen atoms to atoms. of combination due to an arbitrary collision between two figuration adopted in § 3 for a hydrogen molecule. form a molecule. This operation can be followed step by It may

charged atom. According to the theory a positively charged hydrogen atom is simply a nucleus of vanishing dimensions and of charge e, while a negatively charged atom is a system electrons will be arranged in the same way, since this is the only stable configuration for this distance in which the placement of the nuclei, as before, a negatively and a positively charged atom combine. We must assume that, as possible, since the energy emitted by the formation of it consisting of a nucleus surrounded by a ring of two electrons. formed is by the combination of a positively and a negatively hydrogen atom. As shown in Part II., the latter system may be considered angular momentum of the electrons has the value prescribed the configuration adopted for a bydrogen molecule, the when the nuclei have approached a distance equal to that in however, not vary in a continuous way with the displacement by the theory. The state of motion of the electrons will Another way in which a neutral hydrogen molecule may be greater than the corresponding energy for a neutral Let us now imagine that, by a slow dis-

Atoms and Molecules.

emitted during the binding of electrons by  $\hat{a}$  single nucleus and considered in Parts I. and II. a radiation of energy must be emitted, corresponding to that the nuclei will therefore, in the case of the combination of a negatively and a positively charged atom, not be equal to the difference in energy between the original and the final confinite amount; this is immediately deduced from the fact that the motion of the electrons by the combination of two of the nuclei as in the combination of two neutral atoms. For a certain distance apart of the nuclei the configuration figuration; but in passing through the unstable configurations uninterrupted series of stable configurations. neutral hydrogen atoms considered above, passes through an of the electrons will be unstable and suddenly change done by the system against the extraneous forces acting on The work

of the nuclei, we obtain two neutral hydrogen atoms and not a positively and a negatively charged one. This is in agreement with deductions drawn from experiments on positive hydrogen molecule by slowly increasing the distance apart On the above view, it follows that in the breaking up of

each other at a higher rate than the nuclei, and for a certain position of the latter the planes will coincide. During the further approach of the nuclei, the electrons will be arranged in equilibrium will always be in a direction to diminish the distance apart of the nuclei, and the system will never pass case, it can be shown that at any moment during this operation the system will be stable for a displacement of the electrons through a configuration of equilibrium; the helium atoms forces to be applied to the nuclei in order to keep the system perpendicular to the plane of the rings. Contrary, however, to what took place in the case of hydrogen, the extraneous at equal angular intervals in a single ring. As in the former the rings of electrons will, as in the former case, approach hydrogen. the electrons in the helium atoms differ by one quarter of a revolution instead of one half revolution as in the case of operation are orientated relatively to each other sider two helium atoms, i. e. systems consisting of a nucleus of charge 2e surrounded by a ring of two electrons, and go through a similar process to that considered on p. 868.
Assume that the helium atoms at the beginning of the hydrogen atoms, but with the exception that the phases of Next imagine that instead of two hydrogen atoms we con By the displacement of the nuclei, the planes of like the nd go 868.

will, during the process, "repel" each other. atoms to combine into molecules by a close approach of the sideration offers an explanation of the refusal of helium The con-

sider a hydrogen and a helium atom, and let us slowly a direction as to diminish the distance apart. and if the nuclei are brought very close together, the configuration of the electrons will coincide with that adopted in Part II. for a lithium atom. Further, the extraneous forces must be expected to rotate always outside the helium ring, in hydrogen and helium, the electron of the hydrogen atom the great difference in the radii of the orbits of the electrons case, contrary to the former cases, the electrons will have no approach the nuclei to each other in a similar way. therefore, we cannot obtain a combination of the atoms. to be applied to the nuclei during the process will be in such tendency to flow together in a single ring. Instead of two hydrogen or two helium atoms, next con On account of In this way

The stable configuration considered in § 3, consisting of a ring of three electrons and two nuclei of charge e and 2e, the ring of electrons were bound originally by one of the nuclei. Neither a hydrogen nor a helium nucleus will, however, he able to bind a ring of three electrons, since such a configuration cannot therefore be considered as representing a configuration would correspond to a greater total energy than the one in which the nucleus has bound two electrons give indications of the possible structure of the molecules of a possible combination of hydrogen and helium, in spite of cannot be expected to be formed by such a process, unless a certain class of chemical combinations. shall see in the next section, the configuration may, however, the fact that the value of W is greater than the sum of the values of W for a hydrogen and a helium atom. As we (comp. Part II. pp. 488 and 490). As mentioned in § 3, such

# § 5. Systems containing a greater number of Electrons

to indications of the configuration of the electrons in systems containing a greater number of electrons, consistent with those obtained in § 2. From the considerations of the former section we are led

on p. 868 for two hydrogen atoms, we make two atoms containing a large number of electrons approach each other. During the beginning of the process the effect on the configuration of the inner rings will be very small compared figuration of the inner rings will be very small compared. with the effect on the electrons in the outer rings, and Let us imagine that, in a similar way to that considered

<sup>\*</sup> Comp. J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag. xxiv. p. 248 (1912)

final result will mainly depend on the number of electrons in these rings. If, for example, the outer ring in both atoms contains only one electron, we may expect that during the apart of the nuclei is comparable with the radii of the inner system will arrive at a state of equilibrium before the distance prevent an approach of the systems. rings of electrons. case of hydrogen. By a further approach of the nuclei, the approach these two electrons will form a single ring as in the the repulsion of the nuclei will predominate and tend to If the distance be decreased still further,

configuration of the ring, due to the presence of inner rings of electrons in the atoms, will be of great influence on the heat of combination and consequently on the affinity of the substances. As mentioned in §2, a detailed discussion of other. In § 3, p. 866, we have calculated this frequency for a hydrogen molecule. Since now the binding of the atoms is assumed to be similar to that in hydrogen, the frequency of another molecule can be simply calculated if we know the ratio of the mass of the nuclei to be that of a hydrogen assumed for a hydrogen molecule. Since, however, as in the case of hydrogen, the energy emitted by a combination of the atoms is only a small part of the kinetic energy of the We may, however, make an approximate comparison of the theory with experiment, by considering the frequency of vibration of the two atoms in the molecule relative to each get for the frequency by  $\nu_0$  and the atomic weights of the substances entering in outer electrons, we may expect that small differences in the nucleus. these questions involves elaborate numerical calculations. the chemical bond is arranged in a similar way to that such as HCl-in which the ring of electrons representing molecule of a combination of two monovalent substances-In this way we are led to a possible configuration of combination in question by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively, Denoting the frequency of a hydrogen molecule

 $\frac{A_1+A_2}{2A_1A_2}$ 

above formula  $A_1=1$  and  $A_2=35$  and using the value for  $\nu_0$ symmetrical, and we cannot expect an absorption of radiation frequency of about 8.5.1013 is observed \*. Putting in the For HCl gas an infra-red absorption band corresponding to a corresponding to the frequency in question (comp. p. 866). If the two atoms are identical the molecule will be exactly

\* See H. Kayser, Handb. d. Spectr. iii. p. 366 (1905)

of Atoms and Molecules.

satistactory. on p. 866, we get  $\nu=13.7\cdot 10^{13}$ . On account of the approximation introduced the agreement may be considered as

two neutral atoms by the breaking up of the molecule. There may be another type of molecule, for which this does not hold, W=228.18 Wo. Since the latter value of than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first, the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the first of the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered than the configuration 8 (4.2,3) may be considered to the oxygen atom was given by 8 (4,2,2). From a calculation, as that indicated in Part II. we get for this configuration W = 228.07 W<sub>o</sub>, while for the configuration 8 (4,2,3) we get W = 228.18 W<sub>o</sub>. Since the latter value for W is greater combination of a positively and a negatively charged atom. as possible and as representing an oxygen atom with a single hydrogen or a helium atom, but is for an oxygen atom. With the symbols used in Part II. the configuration suggested for According to the theory, this condition is not satisfied for a of a configuration of this kind is that one of the atoms in the molecule is able to bind three electrons in the outer ring. As we have seen, the necessary condition for the formation nuclei of charges e and 2e, mentioned in the former section. the system consisting of a ring of three electrons and two viz., molecules which are formed in a manner analogous to As in the case of hydrogen, however, we shall expect to obtain mately as in the system mentioned above. In a breaking up of this configuration the ring of three electrons will system 8 (4,2,3) we may expect a stable configuration to be negative charge. If now a hydrogen nucleus approaches the remain with the oxygen atom. formed in which the outer electrons will be arranged approxi-The molecules in question may also be formed by the

water molecule, consisting of an oxygen nucleus surrounded by a small ring of 4 electrons and 2 hydrogen nuclei situated greater radius each containing three electrons; the latter rotate in parallel planes round the axis of the system, and are nucleus and kept in equilibrium by help of two rings of on the axis of the ring at equal distances apart from the first electrons will be arranged in two rings of three electrons each, rotating in parallel planes. The assumption of such a configuration for a water molecule offers a possible atom with a double negative charge, in which the outermost obtain two positively charged hydrogen atoms and an oxygen electrons in the other. If we imagine that such a system is broken up by slowly removing the hydrogen nuclei we should one ring are placed just opposite the interval between the situated relatively to each other so that the electrons in the explanation of the great absorption of water for rays in the Such considerations suggest a possible configuration for a

infra-red and for the high value of its specific inductive

far out of the range of the present theory. rotating round the lines connecting the centre and the chemical bonds are represented by 4 rings of 2 electrons each in the centre, and a hydrogen nucleus in every corner. rounded by a very small ring of two electrons being situated figuration suggested by the theory for a molecule of  $CH_4$  is of the ordinary tetrahedron type; the carbon nucleus suromit the assumption of exactly circular orbits. The conan axis of symmetry, and consequently we must in such cases molecule CH4 we cannot, however, assume the existence of assumed to rotate in circular orbits. possess an axis of symmetry around which the electrons are In the preceding we have only considered systems which The closer discussion of such questions, however, is In systems such as the

#### Concluding remarks.

explain the scattering of a-particles by matter In the present paper an attempt has been made to develop a theory of the constitution of atoms and molecules on the for the rudiation from a black body, and the theory of the structure of atoms proposed by Rutherford in order to basis of the ideas introduced by Planck in order to account

all the forces between the particles of an atomic system vary apply the main results obtained by Planck it is therefore radiation from an atomic vibrator of a constant frequency, independent of the amount of energy possessed by the system and absorption of radiation by an atomic system. necessary to introduce new assumptions as to the emission is inconsistent with Rutherford's theory, according to which in the moment considered. The assumption of such vibrators, however, involves the assumption of quasi-elastic forces and Planck's theory deals with the emission and absorption of

The main assumptions used in the present paper are:---

but only during the passing of the systems between different the continuous way assumed in the ordinary electrodynamics. stationary " states. 1. That energy radiation is not emitted (or absorbed) in

stationary states is governed by the ordinary laws of mechanics, while these laws do not hold for the passing of the systems between the different stationary states. That the dynamical equilibrium of the systems in the

of Atoms and Molecules.

that the relation between the frequency  $\nu$  and the total amount of energy emitted E is given by  $E=h\nu$ , where h is system between two stationary states is homogeneous, and Planck's constant. 3. That the radiation emitted during the transition of a

entire multiple of  $\frac{h}{2}$ . total energy, emitted during the formation of the configura-tion, and the frequency of revolution of the electron is an consisting of an electron rotating round a positive nucleus are determined by the condition that the ratio between the That the different stationary states of a simple system Assuming that the orbit of

the nucleus is equal to an entire multiple of  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ .

assumption that the angular momentum of the electron round

electron is circular, this assumption is equivalent with the

the state in which the energy emitted is maximum—is determined by the condition that the angular momentum of 5. That the "permanent" state of any atomic system—i. e.,

every electron round the centre of its orbit is equal to It is shown that, applying these assumptions to Rutherford's

combinations, which on several points is shown to be given of a theory of the constitution of the atoms of the elements and of the formation of molecules of chemical and Rydberg connecting the frequency of the different lines in the line-spectrum of an element. Further, outlines are atom model, it is possible to account for the laws of Balmer Ħ,

approximate agreement with experiments.

The intimate connexion between the present theory and modern theories of the radiation from a black body and of specific heat is evident; again, since on the ordinary electroelectromagnetic field. sumptions about the we shall expect a close relation to the theory of magnetons proposed by Weiss. The development of a detailed theory of in a circular orbit is proportional to the angular momentum dynamics the magnetic moment due to an electron rotating theory claims, however, heat radiation and of magnetism on the basis of the present behaviour of bound electrons in The writer hopes to return to these the introduction of additional as-