

TFM EVALUATION

Student:

Evaluator:

Date:

Score each item with: 0 = very weak, 1 = weak, 2 = fair, 3= good, 4 = very good, 5 = outstanding

REPORT

SCORE

1. Structure: follows scientific standards (e.g. APA style)	
2. Title: matches the contents of the work presented	
3. Abstract: provides a good overview of the contents of the report	
4. Introduction: reviews current state of the art, describes objectives, hypotheses	
5. Materials and methods: description of subjects, procedures, statistical analysis, and ethical issues	
6. Description of the results: precision, correctness in the use of statistics	
7. Figures and Tables: quality, information provided, legends	
8. Discussion: relevance of the study, relationship to previous studies, limitations	
9. Format and references: follows scientific standards	
10. Overall quality of the scientific work	

PRESENTATION

SCORE

1. Structure of the presentation	
2. Use of scientific expressions and vocabulary	
3. Precision in the description of the procedure	
4. Description of the results	
5. Precision in answering committee questions	
6. Maintains the attention of the audience during all its duration	
7. Easy to follow (even for non-experts of the topic)	
8. Aesthetics of the presentation and graphical elements	
9. Adheres to time schedule (15 minutes exposition, 10 minutes questions)	
10. Overall quality of the oral exposition	

REPORT (0 to 50)

PRESENTATION (0 to 50)

OVERALL SCORE (0 to 100)

Signature