

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

1. When submitting a text for publication, the Author shall specify his/ her: 1) first and last name; 2) degree or title; 3) affiliation; 4) e-mail address; 5) ORCID (*Open Researcher and Contributor ID*).
2. The volume of the scientific article should have the size of not less than 0.3 publisher's sheet (15 thousand characters/spaces with footnotes, without the abstract, keywords and references) and not more than 1 publisher's sheet (40 thousand characters/spaces with footnotes, without the abstract, key words and references).
3. The author shall attach to the article an abstract in English.
4. The abstract should have 300 to 350 words.
5. The author shall attach to the article keywords in English.
6. The author attaches to the text the references for the article:

- a. The following items shall be distinguished in the References: Literature; Legal acts; Case law. For articles on legal history, Archival Sources must also be identified.
- b. Bibliographic footnotes should be placed under the text in which they occur (so-called footnote).

We would point out that the published publication should additionally contain a bibliographical list (containing a list of used legal acts, case law, literature); Individual items in the literature should be ordered alphabetically - according to the name of the first of the authors (e.g. Matusik G., Śladkowski M., *Pozycja prawna aplikanta radcowskiego w postępowaniu cywilnym*, PS 2008, nr 11-12, s. 91-105), or - if the item is a collective work - on the basis of the title of this work (e.g. *Ewolucja polskiego postępowania cywilnego wobec przemian politycznych, społecznych i gospodarczych, Materiały konferencyjne Ogólnopolskiego Zjazdu Katedr Postępowania Cywilnego Szczecin-Niechorze 28-30.9.2007 r.*, red. H. Dolecki, K. Flaga-Gieruszyńska, Warszawa 2009, s. 265-279).

Avoid using too many footnotes in one sentence. If in a sentence it is referred to multiple individuals with the same theory by name, It is not advisable to place a separate footnote with each name but rather one footnote at the end of the sentence in which the bibliographical descriptions of the respective publications authored in the sentence of the persons will be indicated.

- c. The literature should be arranged alphabetically by the author's name (Karpiuk M. Kostrubiec J., *The Voivodeship Governor's Role in Health Safety*, "Studia Iuridica Lublinensia" 2018, no. 2) or the title, for the publication under editorship (e.g. *The Council of Europe: Pioneer and Guarantor for Human Rights and Democracy*, ed. R. Kicker, Strasbourg 2010; *System bezpieczeństwa w cyberprzestrzeni RP*, ed. W. Kitler, K. Chałubińska-Jentkiewicz, K. Badźmirowska-Masłowska, Warszawa 2018).
- d. Legal acts should be arranged alphabetically and chronologically within a given category of sources of law. Example: Act of 8 March 1990 on Municipal Self-Government (consolidated text Journal of Laws 2019, item 506 as amended); Constitution of the Republic of Poland of 2 April 1997 (Journal of Laws 1997, No. 78, item 483 as amended); Constitution of the Republic of Armenia of 5 July 1995, www.parliament.am/parliament.php?id=constitution [access: 28.12.2019]; Directive 2002/95/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 January

2003 on the restriction of the use of certain hazardous substances in electrical and electronic equipment (OJ L 37 of 13.2.2003, p. 19).

- e. Case-law must be arranged chronologically. Example: Judgement of the Constitutional Tribunal of 6 June 2006, K 23/05, OTK-A 2006, No. 6, item 62; Judgement of the Supreme Court of 14 February 2003, IV CKN 1779/00, OSNC 2004, No. 5, item 75.
7. Text Formatting Rules: Font: Times New Roman, 12 pts., spacing 1,5, margins 2,5 x 2,5 cm.; Footnotes – traditional Latin system.

REVIEW PROCEDURE – THE MOST IMPORTANT INFORMATION

1. The reviewer's comments are forwarded to the author of the review text. The rationale and motivated conclusions outlined in the reviews are binding on him. He is obliged to take into account the recommendations of the reviewers and correct the text accordingly. Reviewers have the right to revise their revised text.
2. If the author of the text does not agree with the reviewer's conclusions, he or she has the right to write a response to the Editorial office
3. The editorial decision is taken by the Editor-in-Chief, with the support of the members of the Editorial Committee, on the basis of the analysis of the comments and conclusions contained in the review together with any possible replies to the author of the text and the final version of the text provided by the author.
4. Once a year the editorial staff publishes an updated list of the reviewers they work with on the website.
5. Articles rejected by reviewers are archived in the magazine's editorial board for a period of five years.

We kindly remind you that the text should be sent by 11 June 2023 to the e-mail address:

edawidziuk@swps.edu.pl

and

brinislaw.sitek@gmail.com

with the subject:

„XIII TH Polish-Spanish Conference of European Legal Tradition”