Marina Camacho¹, Angélica Atehortúa¹, Tim Wilkinson² and Karim Lekadir¹

Early diagnosis of Dementia prediction using accessible exposome-based features: A comparison of Statistical and Machine Learning models on the UK Biobank

> ¹ Universitat de Barcelona ² The University of Edinburgh





- We are using UK-Biobank data (~500,000 individuals).
- To create a predictive test for Dementia affordable and exposome-based.
- We used different algorithms.

What are we doing?









- 1. Geldmacher, David S., and Peter J. Whitehouse. "Evaluation of dementia." New England Journal of Medicine 335.5 (1996): 330-336.
- 2. (February 28, 2022) Dementia statistics. Alzheimer's Disease International. https://www.alzint.org/about/ dementia-facts-figures/dementia-statistics/
- 3. Breitner, John CS. "Dementia—epidemiological considerations, nomenclature, and a tacit consensus definition." Journal of geriatric psychiatry and neurology 19.3 (2006): 129-136.
- 4. (February 28, 2022) Treatment. NHS. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/alzheimers-disease/treatment/
- 5. (February 28, 2022) Treatments for dementia. Alzheimer's Society. https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/about-dementia/treatments





Dementia is a syndrome without cure, and with a challenging diagnosis.



That's why currently there is more focus on:

Risk reduction, early intervention and timely diagnosis.



 $\bigcup \mathbf{N} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{E}$ BARCELONA



In clinical practice, Dementia is diagnosed at late stages when symptoms become highly pronounced.

~18 years before a diagnosis

https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/research/care-and-cure-research-magazine/signs-dementia-seen-18-years-diagnosis

Why an Early predictor?











FOR ECONOMIC REASONS

Dementia costs in the UK: - ~31 billion euros per year - Diagnosis: Several test and brain images over a period of time

* Additionally, our method could be applied in other cohorts and for other disorders, which will be extremely interesting for low-income countries or those having a private health care system.

- S1474-4422(18)30499-X. Epub 2019 Mar 14. PMID: 30879893; PMCID: PMC6459001.
- 2. World Health Organization. (2006). Neurological disorders : public health challenges. World Health Organization.
- 3. Olesen J, Gustavsson A, Svensson M, Wittchen HU, Jönsson B; CDBE2010 study group; European Brain Council. The economic cost of brain disorders in Europe. Eur J Neurol. 2012 Jan; 19(1): 155-62. doi: 10.1111/ j.1468-1331.2011.03590.x. PMID: 22175760.

Additionally...





1. GBD 2016 Neurology Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of neurological disorders, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2019 May; 18(5): 459-480. doi: 10.1016/











<< Unlike genetic factors which are stable and unmodifiable, the exposome has large spatiotemporal variability and can be modified at different levels. >>

Milena Gandy, Andreea I. Heriseanu, Joanne Dudeney, Madelyne A. Bisby, Amelia J. Scott, Alana Fisher, Taylor Hathway, Eyal Karin, Nick Titov, Blake Dear, Disability and life satisfaction in neurological disorders: The role of depression and perceived cognitive difficulties, General Hospital Psychiatry, 2021, ISSN 0163-8343, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2021.08.01

Why exposome-based?















Papers about: UK Biobank + Exposome + Dementia

- Sleep, major depressive disorder, and Alzheimer disease
- Meat consumption and risk of incident dementia: cohort study of 493,888 UK Biobank participants
- Is neuroticism differentially associated with risk of Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, and frontotemporal
- Diet and Dementia: A Prospective Study
- Identifying dementia outcomes in UK Biobank: a validation study of primary care, hospital admissions and mortality data
- Associations between vascular risk factors and brain MRI indices in UK Biobank \bullet
- High coffee consumption, brain volume and risk of dementia and stroke
- Sex differences in the association between major cardiovascular risk factors in midlife and dementia: a cohort study using data from the UK Biobank
- 1. Development and validation of a predictive algorithm for risk of dementia in the community setting (CANADA)
- 2. Machine learning prediction of incidence of Alzheimer's disease using large-scale administrative health data (KOREA)

Previous studies









External validation of four dementia prediction models for use in the general community-dwelling population: a comparative analysis from the Rotterdam Study

• All models showed similar discriminative ability when compared to prediction based on age alone. These findings highlight the urgent need for updated or new models to predict dementia risk in the general population.

Previous study



Prediction model	C-statistics at various follow-up horizons (95% CI)								
	2 years n/N = 63/6667	5 years n/N = 233/6667	10 years n/N = 515/6667	15 years n/N = 847/6667					
CAIDE	0.49 (0.42-0.56)	0.54 (0.50-0.58)	0.55 (0.53-0.58)	0.55 (0.53-0.57)					
Age only	NA	NA	NA	NA					
Without age	0.49 (0.42–0.56)	0.54 (0.50-0.58)	0.55 (0.53-0.58)	0.55 (0.53-0.57)					
BDSI Age only	0.83 (0.75-0.90)	0.80 (0.76-0.84)	0.78 (0.76-0.81)	0.76 (0.74-0.78)					
	0.83 (0.76-0.90)	0.81 (0.78-0.85)	0.81 (0.78-0.83)	0.79 (0.77-0.81)					
Without age	0.64 (0.57-0.71)	0.63 (0.59-0.66)	0.60 (0.58-0.63)	0.59 (0.57-0.61)					
ANU-ADRI	0.81 (0.77-0.86)	0.78 (0.76-0.81)	0.75 (0.74-0.77)	0.70 (0.69-0.72)					
Age only	0.83 (0.79-0.87)	0.80 (0.77-0.82)	0.77 (0.75-0.79)	0.72 (0.71-0.74)					
Without age	0.56 (0.49–0.64)	0.51 (0.47-0.55)	0.52 (0.49-0.54)	0.51 (0.49-0.53)					
DRS	0.84 (0.77-0.92)	0.82 (0.78–0.86)	0.81 (0.78-0.83)	0.79 (0.77–0.81)					
Age only	0.83 (0.76-0.90)	0.81 (0.78-0.85)	0.81 (0.78-0.83)	0.79 (0.77-0.81)					
Without age	0.63 (0.56-0.70)	0.58 (0.54-0.62)	0.57 (0.55-0.60)	0.55 (0.53-0.57)					

CI confidence interval, n number of cases, N number of people at risk, CAIDE cardiovascular risk factors, aging, and dementia study, NA not applicable, BDSI brief dementia screening indicator, ANU-ADRI Australian National University Alzheimer's Disease Risk Index and, DRS dementia risk score











Objectives:

- 1. Demonstrate that accessible exposome variables are powerful enough to predict Dementia.

- 2. Demonstrate that ML outperforms SL in our classification problem. 3. Demonstrate that our models work well in external validation. 4. Demonstrate that we are not predicting just age.

Our study



Early diagnosis of Dementia prediction using accessible exposome-based features: A comparison of Statistical and Machine Learning models on the UK Biobank







Dementia ICD-10

1. Alzheimer (AD)

- 2. Vascular Dementia (VD)
- 3. Frontotemporal dementia (FTD)
- 4. Other causes of dementia (OD)



D) entia (FTD) entia (OD)

Rejected cases with a Dementia diagnosis before 2011, hence before or during Baseline assessment.



1,523 individuals





Sample to Build the models and Perform Internal Validation 90% 2.740 Dementia Group after 2011 1370 Healthy Control Group 1370

Assessment Center Locations:

19/22 (All except: Edinburgh, Oxford, Barts)

Mean Birth: 1944.025

Treatment —> **1943.918**

Control -> **1944.132**

For Fairness Purposes:

Treatment —> Female = 623 Male = 747 $Control \longrightarrow Female = 747$ Male = 623

Overview



Sample to perform External Validation 10% 306 Dementia Group after 2011 153 Healthy Control Group 153 **Assessment Center Locations:** Edinburgh = 108Oxford = **107** Barts = **91 Mean Birth: 1943.973** Treatment -> **1943.300** Control -> **1944.647 For Fairness Purposes:** Treatment \rightarrow Female = 66 Male = 87 $Control \longrightarrow Female = 87$ Male = 66







- Physical measurements —> Weight 1.
- Sociodemographics —> Qualifications 2.
- Lifestyle —> Sleeplessness 3.
- Environmental factors —> Average evening noise 4.
- Early life factors —> Adopted as a child 5.
- 6. Traumatic events \rightarrow Victim of sexual assault
- Mental health —> Happiness

Exposome features



Exposome



Methods

1. Exposome data

502,664 patients from UK Biobank and 128 exposome features

2. Data pre-processing

Selection of Dementia patients, and 1,370 Healthy patients

80% missing value threshold for features leading to 78 exposome features

MissForest for Imputation

Predictive disease modeling

Logistic Regression (SL): linear based model XGBoost (ML): decision-tree-based ensemble model

Training set

2,349 patients's data

Training subset

Grid Search

5 inner loop









- **Experiment 1:** Exposome with Age
- **Experiment 2:** Exposome without Age
- Experiment 3: Age

- **Experiment 4:** Accessible with Age (30)
- **Experiment 5:** Accessible without Age (30)

Experiments







AUC can be interpreted as:

- 1) No discrimination [AUC = 0.5]
- 2) Poor discrimination $[0.6 \ge AUC > 0.5]$
- 3) Acceptable discrimination $[0.7 \ge AUC > 0.6]$
- 4) Excellent discrimination $[0.8 \ge AUC > 0.7]$
- 5) Outstanding discrimination [AUC>0.9]



Our Goal: Obtain an accessible model able to perform an Excellent or Outstanding discrimination.



Statistical Learning Results

Logistic Regression: Linear Model

	Exposome with Age	Exposome without Age	Age	Accessible with Age	Accessible with Age
AUC	0.75±0.02 0.77±0.01	0.75±0.01 0.76±0.01	0.58 ± 0.02 0.68±0.03	0.74±0.02 0.75±0.01	0.75±0.02 0.77±0
F1	0.74±0.02 0.73±0.01	0.74±0.01 0.73±0.01	0.58 ± 0.03 0.68±0.01	0.73±0.01 0.72±0.01	0.74±0.01 0.75±0
precision	0.77±0.02 0.86±0.01	0.77±0.02 0.85±0.01	0.59 ± 0.03 0.68±0.04	0.76±0.03 0.84±0.01	0.78±0.03 0.84±0
sensitivity	0.75±0.02 0.64±0.01	0.72±0.02 0.64±0.01	0.59 ± 0.07 0.69±0.02	0.71±0.02 0.63±0.01	0.71±0.02 0.67±0









Machine Learning Results

XGBoost: Non-Linear Model

	Exposome with Age	Exposome without Age	Age	Accessible with Age	Accessible witho Age
AUC	0.83±0.02 0.89±0.01	0.77±0.01 0.79±0.01	0.73±0.02 0.84±0.00	0.83±0.02 0.88±0.01	0.77±0.01 0.78±0
F1	0.82±0.01 0.88±0.01	0.76±0.01 0.76±0.01	0.67±0.02 0.82±0.00	0.82±0.02 0.87±0.01	0.76±0.01 0.75±0
precision	0.86±0.02 0.93±0.01	0.81±0.03 0.87±0.02	0.84±0.01 0.96±0.00	0.87±0.04 0.94±0.01	0.80±0.02 0.86±0
sensitivity	0.79±0.01 0.83±0.01	0.72±0.02 0.68±0.03	0.56±0.03 0.71±0.00	0.79±0.02 0.81±0.02	0.72±0.02 0.67±0











Internal Validation

sensitivity -	0.75	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.59	0.79	0.72	0.79	0.72	0.56	
precision -	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.59	0.86	0.81	0.87	0.8	0.84	value 0.8
F1 -	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.74	0.58	0.82	0.76	0.82	0.76	0.67	0.7
AUC -	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.75	0.58	0.83	0.77	0.83	0.77	0.73	
	SL_exposome_with_age	SL_exposome_without_age _	SL_accessible_with_age -	SL_accessible_without_age _	SL_age	ML_exposome_with_age -	ML_exposome_without_age -	ML_accessible_with_age -	ML_accessible_without_age -	ML_age	







External Validation





.69	0.83	0.68	0.81	0.67	0.71	
.68	0.93	0.87	0.94	0.86	0.96	value 0.9
.69	0.88	0.76	0.87	0.75	0.82	0.8
.68	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.78	0.84	
SL_age	ML_exposome_with_age	ML_exposome_without_age	ML_accessible_with_age	ML_accessible_without_age	ML_age	







Create new models with just 30 accessible variables.

noeducation, frequnenthusiasm2weeks, freqtiredness2weeks, unabletowork, university, freqdepressed, coffetype, lengthmobileuse, employed, sex, dietarychange, nonoilyfish, A.AS, NVQ.HND.HNC, professional, oilyfishintake, breadtype, waistcircum, CSE, variationdiet, waterintake, porkintake, partmultiplebirth, sleepduration, sleeplessness, O.GCSE, cheeseintake, facialageing, usesunprotection, standingheight

Accesible Models













30 accessible variables with age best models and their importance in experiment 4. At right results displayed for Statistical Learning using Logistic Regression, and at left results for machine learning using XGBoost.

Accessible with Age



Year of birth					
Water intake					
Waist circumference					
Variation in diet					
Use of sun/uv protection					
Sleeplessness					
Sleep duration					
sex/ gender					
Seen a psychiatrist for nerves, anxiety, tension or depression					
Qualifications: University					
Qualifications: Professional					
Qualifications: O.GCSE					
Qualifications: NVQ.HND.HNC					
Qualifications: No education					
Qualifications: CSE					
Qualifications: A.AS					
Pork intake					
Oily fish intake					
Non-oily fish intake					
Major dietary changes in the last 5 years					
Length of mobile phone use					
Frequency of unenthusiasm / disinterest in last 2 wee					
Frequency of tiredness / lethargy in last 2 weeks					
Frequency of depressed mood in last 2 weeks					
Facial ageing					
Current employment status: unabletowork					
Current employment status: employed					
Coffee type					
Cheese intake					
Bread type					
	0	00 0	05	0.1	0
	0.	fe	ature importa	ance	-

Accessible with age experiment: Machine Learning









Accessible without Age

-6

4

2



Accessible without age experiment: Statistical Learning

30 accessible variables without age best models and their importance in experiment 5. At right results displayed for Statistical Learning using Logistic *Regression, and at left results for machine learning using XGBoost.*











- 1. If we move from SL to ML for dementia prediction we we gain accuracy. 2. Exposome data is good enough for an accurate prediction of the
- syndrome.
- 3. Our models are predicting not just age.
- 4. We got a high accuracy in external validation.
- 5. Most relevant exposures found in this study could be used in the future to select risk patients for drug studies.

Conclusions









Possible Future Research

Mapping the exposome effect on the brain

An Artificial Intelligence approach using MRI images from the UK Biobank cohort





es from







.





I hope you find it interesting. Thanks for listening!

