

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

IBERTAS PERFVNDET

Quiver representations of neural networks

Rubén Ballester Bautista Topological Machine Learning @ UB November 29, 2022



Neural networks formalism



・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Neural networks are defined as computational graphs, i.e. functions f : ℝⁿ × Θ → ℝ^m given by the composition of multiple smaller functions determined by the vertices of the graph.



Neural networks formalism



Neural networks are defined as computational graphs, i.e. functions f: ℝⁿ × Θ → ℝ^m given by the composition of multiple smaller functions determined by the vertices of the graph.



Computational graphs are hard-to-study mathematical structures. We would like to have some easier, algebraic representations of neural networks.



• **Quivers** generalise the concept of graphs.





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- **Quivers** generalise the concept of graphs.
- The field of representation theory has strong results for quiver representations.



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- **Quivers** generalise the concept of graphs.
- The field of representation theory has strong results for quiver representations.
- Decomposition of persistence modules into persistence barcodes is proved by quiver representation theory!

$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{n}^{2} \underbrace{\underbrace{}}_{n}^{2} \underbrace{}_{n} \underbrace{}_{n}^{2} \underbrace{}_{n} \underbrace{}_{n}^{2} \underbrace{}_{n}^{2}$$



- **Quivers** generalise the concept of graphs.
- The field of representation theory has strong results for quiver representations.
- Decomposition of persistence modules into persistence barcodes is proved by quiver representation theory!

Can neural networks benefit from quiver theory?



Basics of quiver representation theory

Quiver theory for neural networks

Quiver theory for data representations



About the talk







^aMarco Armenta and Pierre-Marc Jodoin. "The representation theory of neural networks". In: *Mathematics* 9.24 (2021), p. 3216.

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA

About the talk

- We will explore mainly the paper "The Representation Theory of Neural Networks"^a, by Marco Antonio Armenta and Pierre-Marc Jodoin.
- Several papers have been developed studying neural networks theoretically:
 - Neural teleportation, by Armenta et al. Study of neural network *isomorphisms* and consequences during training.
 - Double Framed Moduli Spaces of Quiver Representations, by Armenta et al. Definition of the neural network category. Further advances in neural network representation theory.

^aMarco Armenta and Pierre-Marc Jodoin. "The representation theory of neural networks". In: *Mathematics* 9.24 (2021), p. 3216.





Basics of quiver representation theory



Quivers



A quiver Q is given by a tuple (V, E, s, t) where (V, E) is an oriented graph with a set of vertices V and a set of oriented edges E and maps s, t: E → V that send e ∈ E to their source and target vertices s(e) ∈ V and t(e) ∈ V respectively.



Quiver representations



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

A quiver representation of Q is given by a pair of sets
W := ((W_v)_{v∈V}, (W_e)_{e∈E}) where the W_v's are vector spaces and the
W_e 's are linear maps such that W_e: W_{s(e)} → W_{t(e)} for every e ∈ E.



Representation morphisms



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Let Q be a quiver and let W and U be two representations of Q. A morphism of representations τ : W → U is a set of linear maps τ = (τ_v)_{v∈V} indexed by the vertices of Q, τ_v : W_v → U_v, such that τ_{t(e)}W_e = U_eτ_{s(e)} for every e ∈ E. It is an isomorphism if τ_v is an isomorphism for all v ∈ V(Q).



Thin representation of a quiver



(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

A thin representation of a quiver Q is a quiver representation W such that W_v = ℂ for all v ∈ V.



Actions and change of basis group



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

▶ Let G be a group and let X be a set. We say that there is an **action** of G on X if there exists a map \cdot : $G \times X \to X$ such that $e \cdot x = x$ and $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (ab) \cdot x$.

Actions and change of basis group



- Let G be a group and let X be a set. We say that there is an **action** of G on X if there exists a map $\cdot: G \times X \to X$ such that $e \cdot x = x$ and $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (ab) \cdot x$.
- ► The change of basis group of thin representations over a quiver Q is $G = \prod_{v \in V} \mathbb{C}^*$ where $\tau \sigma = (\tau_1 \sigma_1, \dots, \tau_n \sigma_n)$, n = |V(Q)|.

Actions and change of basis group



- Let G be a group and let X be a set. We say that there is an **action** of G on X if there exists a map $\cdot: G \times X \to X$ such that $e \cdot x = x$ and $a \cdot (b \cdot x) = (ab) \cdot x$.
- ► The change of basis group of thin representations over a quiver Q is $G = \prod_{v \in V} \mathbb{C}^*$ where $\tau \sigma = (\tau_1 \sigma_1, \dots, \tau_n \sigma_n)$, n = |V(Q)|.
- G will act on the set X of thin representations of Q.



Quiver theory for neural networks

Input-output quivers



An input-output quiver Q is a quiver where a subset of d source vertices of Q are called input vertices.





Input-output quivers



- An input-output quiver Q is a quiver where a subset of d source vertices of Q are called input vertices.
- Non-input source vertices are called **bias**.
- Sinks are called **output** vertices.





Input-output quivers



- An input-output quiver Q is a quiver where a subset of d source vertices of Q are called input vertices.
- Non-input source vertices are called **bias**.
- Sinks are called **output** vertices.
- It is arranged by layers if it is arranged by layers in the known, natural way.







- A network quiver Q is an input-output quiver arranged by layers such that:
 - 1. There are no loops on source vertices (input and bias) nor sink (output) vertices.
 - 2. There is exactly one loop on each hidden vertex.

Delooped quivers



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

► The **delooped** quiver \mathring{Q} of Q is the quiver obtained by removing all loops of Q and it is denoted by $\mathring{Q} = (\mathring{V}, \mathring{E}, \mathring{s}, \mathring{t})$.

Delooped quivers



- ► The **delooped** quiver \mathring{Q} of Q is the quiver obtained by removing all loops of Q and it is denoted by $\mathring{Q} = (\mathring{V}, \mathring{E}, \mathring{s}, \mathring{t})$.
- The weights of a neural network define a thin quiver representation of the delooped quiver Q of its network quiver Q.

Delooped quivers



- ▶ The **delooped** quiver \mathring{Q} of Q is the quiver obtained by removing all loops of Q and it is denoted by $\mathring{Q} = (\mathring{V}, \mathring{E}, \mathring{s}, \mathring{t})$.
- The weights of a neural network define a thin quiver representation of the delooped quiver Q of its network quiver Q.
- Together with activation functions f : C → C we can obtain the neural network function f(x, θ).



A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.





- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.





- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.
- ► The activation output of v ∈ V(Q) in x, a(W, f)_v(x), is:



A D > A P > A B > A B >



- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.
- The activation output of $v \in V(Q)$ in $x, a(W, f)_{v}(x)$, is: If v input, $a(W, f)_{v}(x) = x_{v}$. $(1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{r^{mox}} R_{elv}(x)$ $(1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{r^{mox}} R_{elv}(x)$ $(1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{r^{mox}} R_{elv}(x)$ $(1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{r^{mox}} R_{elv}(x)$



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.



- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.





- A neural network over a network quiver Q is a pair (W, f) where W is a thin representation of the delooped quiver Q and f = (f_v)_{v∈V} are activation functions, assigned to the loops of Q.
- The weights of the neural network (W, f) are the complex numbers defining the maps W_e for all e ∈ E.





▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

A(n) (iso)morphism of neural networks over the same quiver Q τ: (W, f) → (V, g) is:





- - 1. A(n) (iso)morphism of thin quiver representations $au\colon W o V$,





- - 1. A(n) (iso)morphism of thin quiver representations $au \colon W o V$,
 - 2. such that $\tau_v = 1$ for v not hidden vertex,





- - 1. A(n) (iso)morphism of thin quiver representations $au \colon W \to V$,
 - 2. such that $\tau_v = 1$ for v not hidden vertex,
 - 3. and such that $\forall v$ hidden vertex $\tau_v \circ f_v = g_v \circ \tau_v$.



Hidden quiver and group of change of basis HINGERSITATES

• The **hidden quiver** of Q denoted by \overline{Q} is given by \mathring{Q} but without the source and sink vertices.

Hidden quiver and group of change of basis HINGERSITATES

- The hidden quiver of Q denoted by Q
 is given by Q
 but without the source and sink vertices.
- The group of change of basis for neural networks is $\bar{G} = \prod_{v \in \bar{V}} \mathbb{C}^*$.

Hidden quiver and group of change of basis BARCELONA

- The hidden quiver of Q denoted by Q
 is given by Q
 but without the source and sink vertices.
- The group of change of basis for neural networks is $\bar{G} = \prod_{v \in \bar{V}} \mathbb{C}^*$.

Hidden quiver and group of change of basis

- The hidden quiver of Q denoted by Q
 is given by Q
 but without the source and sink vertices.
- The group of change of basis for neural networks is $\bar{G} = \prod_{v \in \bar{V}} \mathbb{C}^*$.
- An element $\bar{\tau}$ induces an element $\tau \in G$ group of change of basis of thin representations \mathring{Q} by setting $\tau_v = 1$ for all v not hidden vertices.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

▶ We define the action of $au \in ar{\mathcal{G}}$ in a neural network (W, f) as

$$(\tau \cdot W)_e = W_e \frac{\tau_{t(e)}}{\tau_{s(e)}}.$$
$$(\tau \cdot f)_v(x) = \tau_v f\left(\frac{x}{\tau_v}\right).$$

Hidden quiver and group of change of basis

- The hidden quiver of Q denoted by Q
 is given by Q
 but without the source and sink vertices.
- The group of change of basis for neural networks is $\bar{G} = \prod_{v \in \bar{V}} \mathbb{C}^*$.
- An element $\bar{\tau}$ induces an element $\tau \in G$ group of change of basis of thin representations \mathring{Q} by setting $\tau_v = 1$ for all v not hidden vertices.
- ▶ We define the action of $au \in ar{G}$ in a neural network (W, f) as

$$(\tau \cdot W)_e = W_e \frac{\tau_{t(e)}}{\tau_{s(e)}}.$$
$$(\tau \cdot f)_v(x) = \tau_v f\left(\frac{x}{\tau_v}\right)$$

Theorem: If τ: (W, f) → (V, g) is an isomorphism of neural networks then their network functions ψ(W, f) = ψ(V, g) coincide.

Implications and applications



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

There are an infinite number of neural networks with the same network function, independently of the architecture and the activation functions.

Implications and applications



- There are an infinite number of neural networks with the same network function, independently of the architecture and the activation functions.
- ReLU neural networks are **positive scale invariant**, i.e. ,multiplying weights by positive factors in an appropriate way do not change the network function nor the *architecture* (infinite minima in loss functions).

Implications and applications



- There are an infinite number of neural networks with the same network function, independently of the architecture and the activation functions.
- ReLU neural networks are positive scale invariant, i.e. ,multiplying weights by positive factors in an appropriate way do not change the network function nor the *architecture* (infinite minima in loss functions).
- Use of persistent path homology directly on the quiver representation of neural networks.





Quiver theory for data representations



Data representations (I)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

▶ A labeled dataset is given by a finite set $D = \{(x_i, t_i)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^o$.

Data representations (I)



- ▶ A labeled dataset is given by a finite set $D = \{(x_i, t_i)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^o$.
- The data quiver representation of a neural network N over a quiver Q and example x ∈ D is the thin representation of Q²

$$\left(W_x^f \right)_e = \begin{cases} W_e x_{s(e)}, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is an input vertex} \\ W_e, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is an bias vertex} \\ W_e \frac{a(W,f)_{s(e)}(x)}{\sum_{\beta \in \zeta_{s(e)}} W_\beta \cdot a(W,f)_{s(\beta)}(x)}, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is a hidden vertex}, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta_{s(e)}$ is the set of oriented edges of Q with target s(e).

Data representations (I)



- ▶ A labeled dataset is given by a finite set $D = \{(x_i, t_i)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^o$.
- The data quiver representation of a neural network N over a quiver Q and example x ∈ D is the thin representation of Q²

$$\left(W_x^f\right)_e = \begin{cases} W_e x_{s(e)}, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is an input vertex} \\ W_e, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is an bias vertex} \\ W_e \frac{a(W,f)_{s(e)}(x)}{\sum_{\beta \in \zeta_{s(e)}} W_\beta \cdot a(W,f)_{s(\beta)}(x)}, & \text{if } s(e) \text{ is a hidden vertex}, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta_{s(e)}$ is the set of oriented edges of Q with target s(e).

The neural network defined by W^f_x and identity activation functions satisfy Ψ(W^f_x, 1)(1^d) = Ψ(W, f)(x) (linear rectification!).

Data representations (II)







▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

Isomorphism classes [W^f_x] = {τ ⋅ W^f_x : τ ∈ Ḡ} induce bad-behaved Lie algebras.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

- Isomorphism classes [W^f_x] = {τ ⋅ W^f_x : τ ∈ Ḡ} induce bad-behaved Lie algebras.
- Under some technical transformations, we get what is called the moduli space of data of a neural network N: a smooth algebraic variety coming from the previous isomorphism classes.



- Isomorphism classes [W^f_x] = {τ ⋅ W^f_x : τ ∈ Ḡ} induce bad-behaved Lie algebras.
- Under some technical transformations, we get what is called the moduli space of data of a neural network N: a smooth algebraic variety coming from the previous isomorphism classes.

Two possible outcomes:



- Isomorphism classes [W^f_x] = {τ ⋅ W^f_x : τ ∈ Ḡ} induce bad-behaved Lie algebras.
- Under some technical transformations, we get what is called the moduli space of data of a neural network N: a smooth algebraic variety coming from the previous isomorphism classes.
- Two possible outcomes:
 - Theoretical and practical study of the adjacency matrices W^f_x for a dataset D and different neural networks. Do these linear transformations give us information about the general robustness of a neural network? Should we study the topology of the set of linear transformations induced by the neural network?



- Isomorphism classes [W^f_x] = {τ ⋅ W^f_x : τ ∈ Ḡ} induce bad-behaved Lie algebras.
- Under some technical transformations, we get what is called the moduli space of data of a neural network N: a smooth algebraic variety coming from the previous isomorphism classes.
- Two possible outcomes:
 - Theoretical and practical study of the adjacency matrices W^f_x for a dataset D and different neural networks. Do these linear transformations give us information about the general robustness of a neural network? Should we study the topology of the set of linear transformations induced by the neural network?
 - 2. **Manifold-hypothesis**: It can be proved that the data manifold \mathcal{M} in the input space of a neural network (W, f) forms a **sub-manifold** of the moduli space that parameterise the image of the neural network.

Generalisation using moduli spaces



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

The dimension of the moduli space is equal to the number of basis paths in ReLU networks, that yields, provably, an upper bound of the generalisation error.



Generalisation using moduli spaces



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

The dimension of the moduli space is equal to the number of basis paths in ReLU networks, that yields, provably, an upper bound of the generalisation error.



Can we use these moduli spaces to further amplify our knowledge on generalisation bounds?





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Quiver theory can be used effectively to model most neural networks.





- Quiver theory can be used effectively to model most neural networks.
- Quiver theory allows us to use new persistent theory models to study neural networks (persistent path homology).





- Quiver theory can be used effectively to model most neural networks.
- Quiver theory allows us to use new persistent theory models to study neural networks (persistent path homology).
- Quiver theory allows us to give data representations depending on the structure of the neural network (moduli spaces).