

Goodwillie Calculus II

14 November 2022

Let S denote the ∞ -category of spaces. For a small ∞ -category C , denote by $[C, S]$ the ∞ -category of functors $F: C \rightarrow S$, that is, presheaves on C^{op} .

Recall that an ∞ -topos is an accessible left exact localization of $[C, S]$ for some small ∞ -category C , where *left exact* means that it preserves finite limits.

If \mathcal{E} is an ∞ -topos, then a *modality* on \mathcal{E} is a factorization system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ such that \mathcal{L} is stable by base change (i.e., pullback along some map).

If F is a left exact localization on an ∞ -topos \mathcal{E} , then the class \mathcal{L} of F -equivalences and the class \mathcal{R} of F -local maps form a modality. A map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is called *F-local* if the square $f \rightarrow Ff$ is a pullback.

1 Construction of P_n

Let C be a small ∞ -category with finite colimits and a terminal object. The main examples are the ∞ -categories Fin and Fin_* of *finite spaces* and *finite pointed spaces*. The category Fin is defined as the smallest full subcategory of S which contains the terminal object $*$ and is closed under finite colimits (the closure of $*$ under colimits is S). Then $\text{Fin}_* = [*, \text{Fin}]$.

Recall that a functor $F: C \rightarrow S$ is *n-excisive* if it carries strongly cocartesian $(n+1)$ -cubes in C to cartesian cubes in S . We denote by $[C, S]^{(n)}$ the full subcategory of $[C, S]$ whose objects are the n -excisive functors.

A functor $F: C \rightarrow S$ is *reduced* if $F(1) = *$. A *spectrum* is a reduced 1-excisive functor $\text{Fin}_* \rightarrow S$. If E is a spectrum and we denote $E_n = ES^n$, then E_n is a pointed space such that $E_n \simeq \Omega E_{n+1}$ for all n . More generally, a *spectrum object* in an ∞ -category X with finite limits is a reduced 1-excisive functor $\text{Fin}_* \rightarrow X$.

If the condition that F be reduced is omitted, then $[\text{Fin}_*, S]^{(1)}$ can be viewed as the ∞ -category of *parametrized spectra*. A spectrum parametrized by a space B is a spectrum object in S/B .

For a functor $F: C \rightarrow S$ and $n \geq 0$, define $T_n F: C \rightarrow S$ as

$$T_n F = \lim_{\emptyset \neq U \in [n]} F(K \star U)$$

with the map $t_n: F \rightarrow T_n F$ determined by the fact that $K \star \emptyset = K$. Here a finite set U is viewed as an object of C by taking a coproduct of U copies of the terminal object 1 , and define $K \star U$ as the colimit of a cone from K to U . For example, if U has two elements, then $K \star U$ is a suspension of K .

Note that $T_0 F$ is the constant functor taking the value $F(1)$, and $T_1 F = \Omega \circ F \circ \Sigma$. Then one defines, as in [4],

$$P_n F = \text{colim}(F \rightarrow T_n F \rightarrow T_n T_n F \rightarrow \dots).$$

As a special case, if F is reduced, then $P_1 F = \lim_m \Omega^m \circ F \circ \Sigma^m$.

2 Properties of P_n

The functor $P_n F$ is n -excisive and the canonical map $F \rightarrow P_n F$ is universal among maps from F to n -excisive functors. Moreover, the functor $P_n: [C, S] \rightarrow [C, S]^{(n)}$ is a left exact localization (that is, it commutes with finite limits), and P_n also commutes with colimits.

Therefore, $[C, S]^{(n)}$ is an ∞ -topos.

The classes \mathcal{L} of P_n -equivalences and \mathcal{R} of P_n -local maps form a modality, called the *n -excisive modality*.

It is shown in [2, 3] that $[\text{Fin}_*, S]^{(n)}$ classifies *pointed n -nilpotent objects*.

A central result in [1] is a Blakers–Massey-style theorem stating that if K is the pushout of two maps $f: F \rightarrow G$ and $g: F \rightarrow H$ between functors $C \rightarrow S$, and if f is a P_m -equivalence and g is a P_n -equivalence, then the canonical map $F \rightarrow G \times_K H$ is a P_{m+n+1} -equivalence. Dually, if F is the pullback of $f: H \rightarrow K$ and $g: G \rightarrow K$ and if f is a P_m -equivalence and g is a P_n -equivalence then the canonical map $G \cup_F H \rightarrow K$ is a P_{m+n+1} -equivalence.

The proof of this result uses the Yoneda embedding $Y: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [C, S]$ in order to prove that, given a P_i -equivalence f and P_j -equivalence g between functors $C \rightarrow S$, the pushout-product $(\Delta f) \square (\Delta g)$ is a P_{i+j+1} -equivalence, where $\Delta f: A \rightarrow A \times_B A$ if $f: A \rightarrow B$. The *pushout product* of two maps $u: A \rightarrow B$ and $v: S \rightarrow T$ is the canonical map $u \square v: (A \times T) \cup_{A \times S} (B \times S) \rightarrow B \times T$.

References:

- [1] M. Anel, G. Biedermann, E. Finster, A. Joyal, Goodwillie’s calculus of functors and higher topos theory, *J. Topology* **11** (2018), 1100–1132.
- [2] M. Anel, G. Biedermann, E. Finster, A. Joyal, Left-exact localizations of ∞ -topoi I: Higher sheaves, arXiv:2101.02791v4 [math.CT] (2022).
- [3] M. Anel, G. Biedermann, E. Finster, A. Joyal, Left-exact localizations of ∞ -topoi II: Grothendieck topologies, arXiv:2201.01236v1 [math.CT] (2022).
- [4] J. Lurie, Higher Algebra, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>